

Chapter 6 The superrigidity theorem.

Let's first introduce some objects in order to state the main theorem. The adjoint representation

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ad} : GL(V) &\rightarrow GL(\text{End } V) \\ g &\mapsto \text{Ad}(g) \end{aligned}$$

where $\text{Ad}(g)(u) = gu\bar{g}^{-1}$, $u \in \text{End } V$, will play an important role.

Thm 6.1. Let $\Gamma \leq SL(n, \mathbb{R})$ be a lattice and $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow GL(V)$ a strongly irreducible proximal representation. Assume $n \geq 3$, and $\dim V \geq 2$. Then $K = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} , and there exist $T : SL(n, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow GL(I)$ an almost faithful continuous repre-

presentation, $\mathcal{J} \subset \text{End}(V)$ an $\text{Ad}_\rho(\Gamma)$ invariant linear subspace and a linear isomorphism $\varepsilon: \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$ intertwining $T|_\Gamma$ and $\text{Ad} \circ \rho$, that is:

$$\varepsilon \circ T(x) = \text{Ad}(\rho(x)) \circ \varepsilon \quad \forall x \in \Gamma.$$

This theorem together with some expertise in algebraic groups implies the more familiar form of superrigidity

Thm 5.2. Let $\Gamma < \text{SL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ be a lattice $n \geq 3$ and H a connected \mathbb{R} -almost simple algebraic group where k is a local field of characteristic zero. Let

$$\rho: \Gamma \rightarrow \text{H}(k)$$

be a homomorphism with Zariski

dense image. Assume $\rho(\Gamma) \subset \mathrm{H}_k$ is not relatively compact. Then $k = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ and ρ extends to an algebraic homomorphism $\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_k / \mathbb{Z}(\mathrm{H}_k)$.

In fact the connection between the two theorems is given by

Lemma 6.3. (see Margulis, book VI, 4.5)

With H and k as above, let $F \subset \mathrm{H}_k$ be a Zariski dense not relatively compact subgroup. Then there is a representation $\rho: \mathrm{H}_k \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(W)$ such that $\rho(F)$ is strongly irreducible proximal.

A basic theorem about lattices going back to the 60's is the following

Thm 6.4. (Borel density) A lattice $\Gamma \leq SL(n, \mathbb{R})$ is Zariski dense.

In other words any polynomial $P \in \mathbb{R}[x_{ij}]$ in matrix entries x_{ij} that vanishes on Γ vanishes on $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$. There is now a rather simple proof available that uses concepts from ergodic theory we have treated in Chapter 4. We will come back to this later.

First I want to give an overview on the strategy of the proof of Thm 6.1. In the

sequel $G = SL(n, \mathbb{R})$, $P = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} * & & * \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & * \end{pmatrix} \right\}$,

and $A = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix} : \prod \lambda_i = 1, \lambda_i > 0 \right\}$.

Step 1. : Using that $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow GL(V)$
 and its contragredient $\rho^* : \Gamma \rightarrow GL(V^*)$
 are strongly irreducible (see Lemma 6.5
 below), together with Chapter 4, we
 show the existence of

$$E : G/A \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$$

such that (1) E is $\text{Ad} \rho - \Gamma$ -equivariant measurable.

(2) for a.e. $x \in G/A$,

$E(x) \in \text{End}(V)$ is a rank 1 endomorphism.

Step 2. : let $F_\Gamma(G, \text{End}(V))$ be the
 vector space of (classes) of measurable
 $\text{Ad} \rho - \Gamma$ -equivariant maps with the
 topology of convergence in measure (see).

— G —

Define $T: G \rightarrow GL(F_r(G, \text{End} V))$

by $(T(a)f)(h) = f(hg)$. Notice that

We can view E as a vector in $F_r(G, \text{End} V)$

satisfying $T(a)E = E \quad \forall a \in A$.

Here is the main technical part:

Main Lemma (see) Let $S \subset A$ be

closed non-compact and

$$I \subset F_r(G, \text{End} V)$$

a finite dimensional $T(S)$ -invariant
subspace. Then

$$\text{Lin} \left\{ T(c)f : f \in I, c \in Z_G(S) \right\}$$

is finite dimensional.

This will use repeatedly the ergodicity
properties established in Chap 4.

Step 3: now is the first ~~and only~~ time we use the hypothesis $n \geq 3$. We show that there are closed subgroups $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_e$ in A that are non-compact (in fact isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}_{>0}^{\times}$) such that:

$$SL(n, \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{Z}_G(\Gamma_1) \cdots \mathbb{Z}_G(\Gamma_e).$$

This in itself is just manipulation with matrices. But it is equivalent to saying that the spherical building associated to $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$ is connected.

Step 4: We deduce from Step 2 and 3 that when $n \geq 3$,

$$I := \text{Lin} \{ T(g)E : g \in G \}$$

is a finite dimensional subspace of $F_{\mathbb{R}}(G, \text{End } V)$. We conclude that

The restriction $G \longrightarrow GL(I)$

$$g \longmapsto T(g)|_I$$

gives a measurable group homomorphism into the locally compact (!) group $GL(I)$.

Step 5: The map $E : G \longrightarrow \text{End}(V)$ coincides almost everywhere with a unique $\text{Ad}_S - \Gamma$ -equivariant continuous map.

This follows from Step 4 and a thm. of Mackey that says that a measurable hom. $m : G \longrightarrow H$ where G is l.c.

s.c. and H is second countable coincides a.e. with a continuous homomorphism.

Step 6: By the above we can identify

I with a space of strictly $\text{Ad}_S - \Gamma$ -equivariant continuous maps $G \longrightarrow \text{End}(V)$. The map

$$E : I \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$$

$$f \mapsto f(e)$$

is then shown to be injective, by the use of Borel density, and $J := E(I)$.

6.1. Step 1.

We outline the construction of the map $E : G/A \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$.

It will be obtained as the composition of the following maps:

$$G/A \rightarrow G/P \times G/P \xrightarrow{\varphi \times \varphi^*} P(V) \times P(V^*) \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$$

The first map sends G/A to a G -orbit of full measure in $G/P \times G/P$, where G acts diagonally on $G/P \times G/P$. The last one is a partially defined map which stems from

from the fact that ~~given~~ ^{to} $v \in V$ and $\lambda \in V^*$ with $v \notin \text{Ker } \lambda$, one can associate a rank 1 endomorphism with image $\text{ts. } v$.

Let's concentrate on the second map.

Let thus $\rho: \Gamma \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$ be strongly irreducible proximal. Recall ^{that} the contragredient representation $\rho^*: \Gamma \rightarrow \text{GL}(V^*)$ is defined by:

$$\rho^*(\gamma)(\lambda)(v) = \lambda(\rho(\gamma)v).$$

Lemma 6.5. If $\rho: \Gamma \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$ is strongly irreducible proximal, then so is $\rho^*: \Gamma \rightarrow \text{GL}(V^*)$.

Proof: This follows rather directly from Prop. 5.21.

First, since ρ is strongly irreducible, then

so is ρ^* , since if $W \subset V^*$ is invariant

under $\Gamma' \subset \Gamma$ then $W^\perp = \{v \in V : \lambda(v) = 0 \text{ } \forall \lambda \in W\} \subset V$

$$\forall \lambda \in W \subset V^*$$

is $f(P')$ -invariant and hence $W^\perp = \{0\}$ or V implying $W = V^*$ or $\{0^*\}$. Next by Prop. 5.21

since f is s.i. proximal there exists $(\delta_n)_{n \geq 1}$ in Γ such that $(f(\delta_n))_{n \geq 1}$ is contracting,

that is:
$$\frac{f(\delta_n)}{\lambda_n} \rightarrow u, \quad u \text{ of rank 1.}$$

But then
$$\frac{f(\delta_n)^*}{\lambda_n} \rightarrow u^*$$

and since $f^*(\delta_n^{-1}) = f(\delta_n)^*$ this implies

$$\frac{f^*(\delta_n^{-1})}{\lambda_n} \rightarrow u^*$$

It is easy to verify that u^* is rank 1;

thus $(f^*(\delta_n^{-1}))_{n \geq 1}$ is a contracting sequence

and hence by Prop. 5.21, f^* is strongly

irreducible proximal



Thus Thm 5.6 applied to \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{g}^* gives us Γ -equivariant measurable maps

$$\varphi : \mathfrak{G}/\mathfrak{p} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V)$$

$$\varphi^* : \mathfrak{G}/\mathfrak{p} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V^*).$$

and hence a Γ -equivariant measurable map $\mathfrak{G}/\mathfrak{p} \times \mathfrak{G}/\mathfrak{p} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V) \times \mathbb{P}(V^*)$,

where now \mathfrak{G} and Γ act diagonally.

Next we need to know something about the \mathfrak{G} -orbits in $\mathfrak{G}/\mathfrak{p} \times \mathfrak{G}/\mathfrak{p}$. This goes under the name of Bruhat decomposition which is rather standard so we will be brief. Let as usual

$$A = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix} : \lambda_i > 0, \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 1 \right\}$$

$$K = \text{SO}(n)$$

$$P = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & & \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & x \end{pmatrix} : \det(\) = 1 \right\}.$$

Let $W = N_K(A) / Z_K(A)$. Then by its very definition W acts faithfully by conjugation on A , in fact $\forall w \in W, \exists \sigma \in S_n$:

$$w \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) w^{-1} = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, \lambda_{\sigma(n)})$$

and the resulting homomorphism

$$W \longrightarrow S_n$$

is an isomorphism.

It is now useful to think of G/P as the set of complete flags in k^n where P is the stabilizer of the flag

$$(e_1) \subset (e_1, e_2) \subset \dots \subset (e_1, \dots, e_{n-1})$$

where $e_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and (e_1, \dots, e_r) is

the linear span of e_1, \dots, e_r . If $w_0 \in W$ is the element corresponding to the permutation

$$\sigma_0 \in S_n, \quad \sigma_0(i) = n-i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n$$

then

$w_0 P w_0^{-1}$ is the stabilizer of the flag

$$(e_n) \subset (e_n, e_{n-1}), \dots \subset (e_n, \dots, e_2)$$

and hence

$$w_0 P w_0^{-1} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} * & & & & 0 \\ & \dots & & & \\ & & * & & \\ & & & \dots & \\ & & & & * \end{pmatrix} : \det(\) = 1 \right\}$$

Thus $P \cap w_0 P w_0^{-1} \supset A$.

Lemma 6.6. The diagonal G -orbits in $G/P \times G/P$ are in 1-1 correspondence with

W , in fact

$$G/P \times G/P = \bigsqcup_{w \in W} G(eP, wP)$$

and the G -orbit of (eP, w_0P) is

open, dense and of full measure in $G/P \times G/P$.

With Corollary 4.27 Chapter 4 we deduce:

Corollary 6.7. The map: $G/A \rightarrow G/P \times G/P$
 $gA \mapsto g(e_P, w_0 P)$

is G -equivariant with image a subset of full measure. The Γ -action on $G/P \times G/P$ is ergodic.

Proof: The first part follows from Lemma 6.6. The second part follows from the fact that A being closed non-compact, by Cor. 4.27, the Γ -action on G/A is ergodic, but then by the first part this implies that the Γ -action on $G/P \times G/P$ is ergodic. \square

Now we turn to the definition of the third map. For every $v \in V \setminus \{0\}$ and $\lambda \in V^* \setminus \{0\}$

with $\lambda(v) \neq 0$, define:

$$\begin{aligned} V &\longrightarrow V \\ w &\longmapsto \frac{\lambda(w) \cdot v}{\lambda(v)} \end{aligned}$$

which is a rank 1 endomorphism with image $\mathbb{K} \cdot v$; in addition, and this is essential, it depends only on $\bar{v} \in \mathbb{P}(V)$ and $\bar{\lambda} \in \mathbb{P}(V^*)$ and we'll denote it by $P_{(\bar{v}, \bar{\lambda})}$. It is an easy verification that the map:

$$\begin{aligned} \{ (\bar{v}, \bar{\lambda}) \in \mathbb{P}(V) \times \mathbb{P}(V^*) : \lambda(v) \neq 0 \} &\longrightarrow \text{End}(V) \\ (\bar{v}, \bar{\lambda}) &\longmapsto P_{(\bar{v}, \bar{\lambda})} \end{aligned}$$

is G -equivariant in the following sense:

$$P_{(g\bar{v}, g^{*-1}\bar{\lambda})} = g P_{(\bar{v}, \bar{\lambda})} g^{-1}, \quad g \in G.$$

In order to compose this map with $\rho \times \rho^t$

We show:

Lemma 6.8. The set $\{(x, y) \in G/p \times G/p : \varphi(x) \notin \text{Ker } \varphi^*(y)\}$ has full measure.

Proof: This set ~~is~~ is a Γ -invariant subset of $G/p \times G/p$ and hence (Cor. 6.7) by ergodicity it either has full measure or zero measure. Assume we are in the latter case, that is, for a.e. $(x, y) \in G/p \times G/p$ $\varphi(x) \in \text{Ker } \varphi^*(y)$. In particular, by Fubini, there exists $x \in G/p$ such that $\varphi(x) \in \text{Ker } \varphi^*(y)$ for a.e. $y \in G/p$. Since Γ is countable, the set of such y 's can be taken Γ -invariant and still of full measure. Thus there is y such that $\varphi(x) \in \text{Ker } \varphi^*(\sigma y) \forall \sigma \in \Gamma$. But: $\varphi^*(\sigma y) = \varphi^*(\sigma) \circ \varphi^*(y)$ and hence

$\varphi(x) \subset \bigcap_{y \in \Gamma} \varphi^*(y) (\text{Ker } \varphi^*(y))$. This implies

$$0 \neq \bigcap_{y \in \Gamma} \varphi^*(y) (\text{Ker } \varphi^*(y)) \subsetneq V$$

and contradicts the irreducibility of φ^* .

□

Thus we can finally define

~~$E: \mathcal{G}/A \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$~~ $E: \mathcal{G}/A \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$

$$\text{by } E(gA) = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi(gP) \\ \varphi^*(gW_0P) \end{pmatrix}$$

Corollary 6.5. The map E satisfies

(1) E is Ad_G -equivariant measurable

(2) for a.e. $x \in \mathcal{G}/A$, $E(x) \in \text{End}(V)$

has rank 1.

(3) The map E is not essentially constant.

Proof: We already showed (1) and (2).

Let's prove (3): if E were essentially constant then $E(x) = P$ for a.e. $x \in G/A$

and P is a rank 1 endomorphism. Now

again the set of such x 's can be taken

Γ -invariant. Thus, for some $x \in G/A$ and

every $\gamma \in \Gamma$, $E(\gamma x) = P$, implying by

Γ -equivariance that $P = \rho(\gamma) P \rho(\gamma)^{-1} \forall \gamma \in \Gamma$

and hence $\text{Im } P$ is $\rho(\Gamma)$ -invariant.

But $\dim \text{Im } P = 1$ and $\dim V \geq 2$

which contradicts the irreducibility of ρ .

□

6.2. Step 2, the Main Lemma.

Here we will consider the following general situation. We have a representation

$$\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow GL(W)$$

where W is a f.d. k -vector space;

in our application W will be $\text{End}(V)$ and

$$\rho(r)u := \rho(r)u \rho(r)^{-1}. \text{ Let } F_\Gamma(G, W)$$

denote the k -vector space of classes

of measurable Γ -equivariant maps

$G \rightarrow W$, where two maps that coincide

almost everywhere are identified. Fix

a probability measure $\mu \in \mathcal{M}^1(G)$ that

is equivalent to the Haar measure of G .

We endow $F_\Gamma(G, W)$ with the topology

having as subbasis of open sets:

$$V(f, \varepsilon, \delta) = \{ f' \in F_r(G, W) : \mu \{ x \in G : \| f(x) - f'(x) \| > \varepsilon \} < \delta \}$$

where $f \in F_r(G, W)$, $\varepsilon > 0$, $\delta > 0$.

This is also called the topology of convergence in measure.

Exercise: Let $F([0,1])$ be the vector-space of Lebesgue measurable functions $[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with the topology of convergence in measure.

Then $F([0,1])$ is a topological vector-space which is Hausdorff and does not admit any nonzero continuous linear functionals.

Coming back to our situation, define $\forall g \in G$,

$$T(g)f(x) = f(xg), \quad f \in F_r(G, W).$$

Then $T: G \rightarrow GL(F_r(G, W))$ is a homomorphism and

Lemma 6.10.

(1) $F_r(G, W)$ is a topological vector space over k which is Hausdorff.

(2) For every $f \in F_r(G, W)$, the map

$$\begin{aligned} G &\longrightarrow F_r(G, W) \\ g &\longmapsto T(g)f \end{aligned}$$

is measurable.

We leave this as a tedious exercise.

The following is now the main Lemma:

Lemma 6.11. Let $S \leq A$ be a closed non-compact subgroup and $I \subseteq F_r(G, W)$ a finite dimensional $T(S)$ -invariant subspace. Then

$\text{Lin} \{ T(c)f : c \in Z_0(S), f \in I \}$ is finite dimensional.

Proof: Since I is finite dimensional we can choose measurable maps $f_1, \dots, f_\ell : G \rightarrow W$, $\ell = \dim I$ such that the corresponding classes form a basis of I . This provides a linear section $I \xrightarrow{\sigma} \text{Map}(G, W)$ into the space of measurable maps $G \rightarrow W$ and hence every $x \in G$ gives rise to a well defined linear ~~linear~~ map

$$\begin{aligned} I &\rightarrow W \\ f &\mapsto \sigma(f)(x) \end{aligned}$$

Which we denote $f(x)$ by abuse of notation.

Given vector spaces B, C we let $\text{Sym}^2(B, C)$

denote the vector space of quadratic maps

$B \rightarrow C$, that is, the restriction to the

diagonal of bilinear symmetric maps

$B \times B \rightarrow C$.

Now we consider

$$q: G \rightarrow \text{Sym}^2(I, I \otimes W)$$

$$x \mapsto \{ f \mapsto f \otimes f(x) \}.$$

Now we establish some equivariance

properties.

(1) For a.e. $x \in G$ and every $\gamma \in \Gamma$:

$$q(\gamma x)(f) = f \otimes f(\gamma x) = f \otimes \delta(\gamma)(f(x))$$

$$= \left(\underset{I}{\text{Id}} \otimes \delta(\gamma) \right) (f \otimes f(x))$$

$$= (\text{Id} \otimes \delta(\gamma))(q(x)(f)).$$

Thus if we define for $r \in \text{Sym}^2(I, I \otimes W)$:

$$(\tilde{\delta}(r))(\dagger) = \text{Id} \otimes \delta(r)(\dagger)$$

We obtain a representation $\tilde{\delta}$ of Γ in $\text{Sym}^2(I, I \otimes W)$ and:

$$g(x) = \tilde{\delta}(g)(g(x)) \quad (4)$$

for a.e. $x \in G$, $\forall g \in \Gamma$.

(2) For every $s \in S$ and a.e. $x \in G$:

$$\begin{aligned} g(xs)(\dagger) &= f \otimes f(xs) = f \otimes (T(s)f)(x) \\ &= (T(s^{-1}) \otimes \text{Id}_W) \underbrace{(T(s)f \otimes (T(s)f)(x))}_{g(x)(T(s)f)} \end{aligned}$$

Thus defining for $r \in \text{Sym}^2(I, I \otimes W)$

$$(\tilde{T}(s)r)(\dagger) = T(s^{-1}) \otimes \text{Id}(r(T(s)\dagger))$$

We obtain a representation \tilde{T} of S in $\text{Sym}^2(I, I \otimes W)$ and:

$$g(xc) = \tilde{T}(s)(g(x)) \quad (2)$$

for every $s \in S$ and almost every $x \in G$.

Now consider for every $x \in G$ the

$$\begin{aligned} \text{map } g_x : Z_G(S) &\longrightarrow \text{Sym}^2(I, I \otimes W) \\ c &\longmapsto g(xc) \end{aligned}$$

and let S_x be the linear span of the essential image of g_x .

Then we have: for a.e. $x \in G$ and

$$\text{every } \gamma \in \Gamma, \quad \gamma S_x = \tilde{T}(\gamma)(S_x)$$

and for every $s \in S$ and a.e. $x \in G$:

$$S_{xs} = S_x.$$

Hence the function $G/S \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$

$$x \mapsto \dim S_x$$

being measurable Γ -invariant, is essentially

constant; remember that $S \ll S$ being closed non-compact, Γ acts ergodically on G/S .

Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ be this constant.

Claim: there is $J \subset \mathbb{Z}(S)^{\sim}$ of positive measure such that for all

$(c_1, \dots, c_N) \in J$ and almost every $x \in G$:

$$\text{Lin} \{ q_x(c_1), \dots, q_x(c_N) \} = S_x.$$

Indeed, otherwise for a.e. $(c_1, \dots, c_N) \in \mathbb{Z}(S)^{\sim}$

and a.e. $x \in G$

$$\dim \text{Lin} \{ q_x(c_1), \dots, q_x(c_N) \} \leq N-1.$$

and by Fubini this implies that for a.e. $x \in G$

and s.e. $(c_1, \dots, c_N) \in \mathbb{Z}(S)^{\sim}$

$$\dim \text{Lin} \{ q_x(c_1), \dots, q_x(c_N) \} \leq N-1.$$

But this contradicts the definition of S_x

and the fact that $\dim S_x = N$ for a.e. $x \in G$.

Fix now c_1, \dots, c_N in $\mathbb{Z}_G(S)$ such that

$$\text{Lin} \{ \eta_x(c_1), \dots, \eta_x(c_N) \} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^k}$$

for a.e. $x \in G$.

Thus for a.e. $x \in G$ and a.e. $c \in \mathbb{Z}_G(S)$:

$$g(xc) = \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i(x, c) g(xc_i)$$

where $\alpha: G \times \mathbb{Z}_G(S) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are measurable

functions. We proceed to establish some

invariance properties of α .

First from (1) that is, $g(\gamma xc) = \widetilde{\delta}(\gamma) g(xc)$ ↑
xc

We get:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_i \alpha_i(\gamma x, c) g(\gamma xc_i) &= \widetilde{\delta}(\gamma) \left(\sum_i \alpha_i(x, c) g(xc_i) \right) \\ &= \sum_i \alpha_i(x, c) g(\gamma xc_i) \end{aligned}$$

and hence $\alpha_i(\gamma x, c) = \alpha_i(x, c)$

for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and a.e. $(x, c) \in G \times \mathbb{Z}_G(S)$.

Next we have for a.e. $x \in G$, $s \in S$, $c \in \mathbb{Z}(S)$:

$$g(xsc) = g(xcs) = \tilde{T}(s)(g(xc)).$$

Hence:

$$\sum_i \alpha_i(xs, c) g(xsc_i) = \sum_i \alpha_i(x, c) \underbrace{\tilde{T}(s)(g(xc_i))}_{g(xsc_i)}$$

hence $\alpha_i(xs, c) = \alpha_i(x, c)$.

Together with the ergodicity of Γ on

G/S that implies that $\alpha_i(x, c) = \alpha_i(c)$

for a.e. $x \in G$, for a.e. $c \in \mathbb{Z}(S)$.

Thus: $g(xc) = \sum_i \alpha_i(c) g(xc_i)$

that is $f \otimes f(xc) = \sum_i \alpha_i(c) f \otimes f(xc_i)$
 $= f \otimes \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i(c) f(xc_i)$

which implies: $f(xc) = \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i(c) f(xc_i)$

Thus if f_1, \dots, f_e is a basis of \mathbb{I}

then $\{T(c_i) f_j : 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq e\}$

is a basis of $\text{Lin} \{T(c) f : c \in \mathbb{Z}_G(S), f \in \mathbb{I}\}$.

□

6.3. Step 3 and 4

As announced, the next step involves using the assumption $\text{rank } G \geq 2$:

Prop. 6.12. Assume $n \geq 3$. Then there are closed non-compact subgroups S_1, \dots, S_e in A such that

$$SL(n, \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{Z}_G(S_1) \cdots \mathbb{Z}_G(S_e)$$

The S_1, \dots, S_e come possibly with repetition.

The proof goes by induction on $n \geq 3$. We illustrate the case $n = 3$:

Let

$$S_1 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & & \\ & \lambda & \\ & & \lambda^{-2} \end{pmatrix} : \lambda > 0 \right\}$$

$$S_2 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & & \\ & \lambda^{-2} & \\ & & \lambda \end{pmatrix} : \lambda > 0 \right\}$$

$$S_3 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{-2} & & \\ & \lambda & \\ & & \lambda \end{pmatrix} : \lambda > 0 \right\}$$

Then

$$\sum_6 (S_1) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} : A \in GL(2, \mathbb{R}), (\det A) \cdot b = 1 \right\}$$

$$\sum_6 (S_2) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & b \\ 0 & 3 & 0 \\ c & 0 & d \end{pmatrix} : (ad - bc)^3 = 1 \right\}$$

$$Z_G(s_3) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} b & 0 \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix} : b \cdot \det A = 1 \right\}.$$

Then the product $Z_G(s_1) Z_G(s_2) Z_G(s_3)$

clearly contains

$$P = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & y & z \\ 0 & x & x \\ 0 & 0 & x \end{pmatrix} : \det(\) = 1 \right\}.$$

Next: $Z_G(s_1) \ni \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ & & -1 \end{pmatrix}$

$$Z_G(s_2) \ni \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$Z_G(s_3) \ni \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and hence $Z_G(s_1) Z_G(s_2) Z_G(s_3)$ contains

a set W' of representatives of the

Weyl group $N_K(A)/Z_K(A)$. The Bruhat decomp. says that $SL(3, \mathbb{R}) = P W' P$ which implies that Prop. 6.12 holds with the sequence $S_1, S_2, S_3, S_1, S_2, S_3, S_1, S_2, S_3$.

Now we apply Step 2 to the context of Thm 6.1 in particular $W = \text{End}(V)$ and $\mathcal{S} = \text{Ad} \circ \mathcal{S}$. We start with Corollary 6.9 and consider the map E as a $T(A)$ -inv. vector in $F_\Gamma(G, \text{End}(V))$. We now use Step 2 and 3 to prove:

Prop. 6.13. Assume $n \geq 3$. Then

$$I := \text{Lin} \{ T(g)E : g \in G \}$$

is a finite dimensional subspace of $F_\Gamma(G, \text{End}(V))$.

Proof: Take S_1, \dots, S_e as in lemma 6.12 and define $I_0 = k \cdot E$, and for $j \geq 1$

$$I_j = \left\{ T(c) f : c \in \mathbb{Z}_6(S_j), f \in I_{j-1} \right\}.$$

Observe that by prop. 6.12:

$I_e = I$ and we proceed to show by recurrence that $\dim I_j < +\infty$. By definition $\dim I_0 = 1$. Let's assume $j \geq 1$ and $\dim I_{j-1} < +\infty$. Now I_{j-1} is $T(\mathbb{Z}_6(S_{j-1}))$ invariant by construction; since

$$\mathbb{Z}_6(S_{j-1}) \supset A \supset S_j.$$

the subspace I_{j-1} is $T(S_j)$ -invariant finite dimensional and hence by lemma 6.11

$$I_j = \left\{ T(c) f : c \in \mathbb{Z}_6(S_j), f \in I_{j-1} \right\}$$

is finite dimensional. \square

6.4. Step 5.

Let $I = \text{Lin} \{ T(g)E : g \in G \}$ and f_1, \dots, f_n be a basis of this k -vector-space. Since I is a finite dimensional Hausdorff topological vector space the

$$\begin{aligned} \text{map} \quad k^n &\longrightarrow I \\ \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_n \end{pmatrix} &\longmapsto \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i f_i \end{aligned}$$

is a topological vector space isomorphism.

In particular if $m(g)$ is the matrix of $T(g)$ in this basis it follows from lemma 6.10 that the resulting homo-

morphism $m: G \rightarrow GL(n, k)$

is measurable.

Now we have:

Thm 6.14. (Mackey) Let G be l.c.n.c.
 H a second countable topological group
and $m: G \rightarrow H$ a measurable homo-
morphism. Then m is continuous.

Proof: Let μ be the Haar measure on G .
First we show that if $M \subset G$ is
measurable if $\mu(M) > 0$ then $M \cdot M^{-1} \ni e$
is a neighborhood of e . Pick $K \subset M$
compact with $\mu(K) > 0$. Let $W \supset K$
be open such that $\mu(W) < 2\mu(K)$.
Since K is compact we can find $N \ni e$
neighborhood of e such that $N = N^{-1}$
and $N \cdot K \subset W$. So $x \cdot K \subset W \forall x \in N$.
Since $\mu(xK) = \mu(K)$ and $\mu(W) < 2\mu(K)$

We must have $x \in K \cap K \neq \emptyset \quad \forall x \in N$

hence $N \subset K \cdot K^{-1}$!

Now we prove the Theorem. We can

assume that $m(G) = H$. Let $U \ni e$

be a neighborhood of e and $V \ni e$
symmetric open with $V \subset U$. Let

$\{y_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a countable dense subset

of H and $\{g_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset G$ with

$m(g_n) = y_n$. Then $H = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} y_n \cdot V$ hence

$G = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} g_n \bar{m}^{-1}(V)$. Thus for some n_0 ,

$\mu(g_{n_0} \bar{m}^{-1}(V)) > 0$ hence $\mu(\bar{m}^{-1}(V)) > 0$.

This implies by the above that

$$\bar{m}^{-1}(U) \supset \bar{m}^{-1}(V) \cdot \bar{m}^{-1}(V)$$

is a neighborhood of e . \square

Let now $C_\Gamma(G, \text{End}(V))$ be the vector space of continuous $\text{Ad}_g - \Gamma$ -equivariant maps; we ~~still~~ denote by $\tilde{T}(g)$ the linear map $\tilde{T}(g)f(h) = f(hg)$, $f \in C_\Gamma(G, \text{End}V)$.

Corollary 6.15. The map E coincides almost everywhere with a unique continuous map $\tilde{E} \in C_\Gamma(G, \text{End}(V))$ ^{and $k = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C}} . The vector space $\tilde{I} = \{ \tilde{T}(g)\tilde{E} : g \in G \}$

is isomorphic to I via the map which to a ~~fixed~~ continuous $f \in C_\Gamma(G, \text{End}V)$ associates its class in $F_\Gamma(G, \text{End}V)$ and this map intertwines \tilde{T} and T .

Proof: The main point is the first assertion. The rest follows from the fact that