

Question: Why do we use the Koszul sign convention?

E.g. • $f \otimes g (a \otimes b) = (-1)^{|g||a|} f(a) \otimes g(b)$

$(f: A \rightarrow A', g: B \rightarrow B' \text{ graded homomorphisms of graded rings})$

• $S\varphi(a) = (-1)^{|a|} \varphi(\partial a)$

$(a \in S_{p+1}(X), \varphi \in S^p(X; \mathbb{R}))$

Answer: 1) We can't do without signs! See next pages.

2) Koszul sign convention yields formulas one can guess by applying the rule

"Whenever two elements of degrees m and n are interchanged, insert the sign $(-1)^{mn}$."

Two examples where signs can't be avoided:

A) Tensor product of chain complexes:

Let (A, ∂_A) , (B, ∂_B) be chain complexes. The formula

$$\delta(a \otimes b) := \partial_A a \otimes b + a \otimes \partial_B b$$

does not define a differential on $A \otimes B$!

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^2(a \otimes b) &= \underbrace{\partial_A^2 a}_{=0} \otimes b + \partial_A a \otimes \partial_B b + \partial_A a \otimes \partial_B b + a \otimes \underbrace{\partial_B^2 b}_{=0} \\ &\neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \parallel & & \parallel \\ (\partial_A \otimes \text{id})(a \otimes \partial_B b) & & (\text{id} \otimes \partial_B)(\partial_A a \otimes b) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \end{array}$$

these terms need to have different signs!

Try to insert signs in the definition of δ , to achieve $\delta^2=0$!
You will see, that you need a sign that depends on the degree of a or b .

One possible way is

$$\tilde{\delta}(a \otimes b) := \partial_A a \otimes b + (-1)^{|a|} a \otimes \partial_B b$$

So with the Koszul convention one gets

$$\tilde{\delta} = \partial_A \otimes \text{id} + \text{id} \otimes \partial_B.$$

B) Hom of chain complexes

Let (A, ∂_A) , (B, ∂_B) be chain complexes. Define

$$\text{hom}^p(A, B) := \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{hom}(A_i, B_{i-p}).$$

We would like to define a differential

$$\tilde{\delta} : \text{hom}^p(A, B) \longrightarrow \text{hom}^{p+1}(A, B)$$

"compatible" with ∂_A and ∂_B .

Compatibility should look like a Leibniz formula:

$$\partial_B \langle f, a \rangle = \langle \tilde{\delta} f, a \rangle + \langle f, \partial_A a \rangle.$$

But then:

$$0 = \partial_B^2 \langle f, a \rangle = \langle \tilde{\delta}^2 f, a \rangle + \underbrace{\langle \tilde{\delta} f, \partial a \rangle + \langle \tilde{\delta} f, \partial a \rangle}_{\neq 0} + \langle f, \underbrace{\partial_A^2 a}_{=0} \rangle$$

and hence $\tilde{\delta}^2 \neq 0!$

