

# Chapter II

## "Classical" probabilistic number theory

### 1. Distribution of additive functions

Euler function : "multiplicative"

Def. (1)  $f : \{1, 2, \dots\} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$

is multiplicative if

$$f(mn) = f(m)f(n), \quad \text{if } m \text{ and } n \text{ are coprime}$$

[Ex:  $\varphi(n)$ ;  $\varphi(mn) = \varphi(m)\varphi(n)$ , if

but not for all  $m, n$ :

$$\varphi(p^2) = p^2 - p \neq (p-1)^2$$

$$\boxed{(m, n) = 1}$$

$$\text{gcd} = 1$$

(2)  $f$  is called additive if

$$f(mn) = f(m) + f(n), \quad \text{if } (m, n) = 1$$

## Examples:

(1)  $f(n) = \log\left(\frac{\varphi(n)}{n}\right)$  is additive

(2)  $f(n) = \log(n)$  " "

(3)  $f(n) = \omega(n) =$  nb. of primes  $p|n$  without multiplicity  
is additive

(4) Most generally:  $f$  additive

$$f(n) = \sum_{p^v \parallel n} f(p^v)$$

"exact division",  
 $p^v | n, p^{v+1} \nmid n$

Conversely: for arbitrarily chosen

$a_{p^v} \in \mathbb{C}$  for every prime  $p$  and

$v \geq 1$ , defining

$$f(n) = \sum_{p^v \parallel n} a_{p^v}$$

gives an additive function.

Theorem (Erdős - Wintner; ~ 1939)

$$\Omega_N = \{1, \dots, N\}$$

$$\mathbb{P}_N(x) = \frac{1}{N}, \quad x \in \Omega_N$$

$f$  additive function

The r.v.  $(\underline{F}_N : n \mapsto f(n))$

converge in law  $\Leftrightarrow$  The  $\text{Three series}$  on  $\Omega_N$

$$\sum_{|f(p)| \leq 1} \frac{f(p)}{p} ; \quad \sum_{|f(p)| \leq 1} \frac{|f(p)|^2}{p} ; \quad \sum_{|f(p)| > 1} \frac{1}{p}$$

converge.

$1$  can be replaced by any fixed  $c > 0$

When this is the case, the limit is

$$F = \sum_p f(p^{V_p})$$

where  $(V_p)$  is a sequence of

independent geometric r.v. with

parameter  $1 - \frac{1}{p}$ , i.e.

$$(k \geq 0) \quad \mathbb{P}(V_p = k) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) p^{-k}.$$

Ex. (1)  $f(n) = \log\left(\frac{\varphi(n)}{n}\right)$

$$f(p) = \log\left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \sim -\frac{1}{p}$$

$\Rightarrow$  The three series converge

(2)  $f(n) = \omega(n) : f(p) = 1$ , and

The first two series do not converge

because of

Th. (Euler)  $\sum_{\substack{p \\ \text{prime}}} \frac{1}{p} = +\infty.$

In fact:

Th. (Mertens; Chebyshev)

$$\sum_{p \leq x} \frac{1}{p} = \log \log(x) + O(1)$$

$f \ll g, f = O(g)$   
 $\iff$   
 $|f(x)| \leq Cg(x)$  for some  $C \geq 0$

[ Digression: proof of Euler's Th.

Consider:  $\prod_{p \leq x} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{p}}$  for  $x \geq 2$

$$\prod_{p \leq x} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p^2} + \dots + \frac{1}{p^h} + \dots \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{\substack{d \geq 1 \\ (p|d \Rightarrow p \leq x)}} \frac{1}{d} \geq \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{1}{d} \\ &= \log(x) + O(1) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow - \sum_{p \leq x} \log \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) \geq \log \log(x) + O(1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{p \leq x} \frac{1}{p} + O \left( \sum_{p \leq x} \frac{1}{p^2} \right) \\ &= O(1) \end{aligned}$$

(3) If  $|f(p)| \leq \frac{1}{p^d}$  for some  $d > 0$ , then the three series converge,

since  $\sum \frac{1}{n^{1+d}}$ ,  $\sum \frac{1}{n^{1+2d}}$  are

# Motivation for the limit

$$f(n) = \sum_{p^v \parallel n} f(p^v)$$

$$= \sum_p f(p^{v_p(n)})$$

where  $v_p(n) =$   $p$ -adic valuation  
 $=$  exponent of  $p$  in the factor. of  $n$

(note  $f(1) = 0$ )

Now note :

Lemma -  $(\frac{v_p}{p})_p$  on  $\Omega_{\mathbb{N}}$

converges in law to  $(v_p)_p$ , ind.  
geometric r.v., as in the statement.

[meaning  $(\frac{v_p}{p})_{p \leq M}$

$\downarrow$  law  
 $(v_p)_{p \leq M}$  ]

Proof. Direct application

of Th. 1.3.1 + Cor. : for

instance

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} V_p = k \\ V_q = l \end{array} \right\}_{\Omega_N} \quad [p \neq q]$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} n \bmod p^{k+1} \in \dots \\ x \bmod p^{k+1} / \\ x \neq 0, \quad x = 0 \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad \bmod p^k \end{array} \right\}$$

and

$$\left\{ n \bmod q^{l+1} \in \dots \right\}_{\Omega_N}$$

which has probability tending to

$$\left( \frac{1}{p^{k+1}} (p-1) \right) \times \left( \frac{1}{q^{l+1}} (q-1) \right)$$

$$\frac{1}{p^k} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) \times \frac{1}{q^l} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{q} \right)$$

$$P(V_p = k \text{ and } V_q = l)$$

□

One can expect that if there is convergence, the limit must be

$$F = \sum_p f(p^{V_p})$$

One can determine when the series exist

by using Kolmogorov's Th. Assume  $|f| \leq 1$ ,

Then the conv. in law <sup>of  $F$</sup>  is equivalent to convergence of

$$\sum_p \mathbb{E}(f(p^{\vee p}))$$

$$\text{and } \sum_p \mathbb{V}(f(p^{\vee p})).$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(f(p^{\vee p})) &= \sum_{k \geq 0} f(p^k) \frac{1}{p^k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \\ &= \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{f(p^k)}{p^k} - \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{f(p^k)}{p^{k+1}} \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\sum_p \mathbb{E}(f(p^{\vee p})) = \sum_p \frac{f(p)}{p} - \sum_{k \geq 2} \frac{f(p^k)}{p^k} + (\dots)$$

1st series

E-W Theorem

$$= \sum_p \frac{f(p)}{p}$$

$$+ O\left(\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n^2}\right) + (\dots)$$



Similar for the  $\sum \chi(-)$  and the second E-W series.

### Proof of convergence in Erdős-Wintner

Assume that  $|f| \leq C$  for some  $C$   
and  $f(p^k) = 0$  if  $k \geq 2$ .

Idea: again use Th. B.4.4 as in Schoenberg's Th:

$$\underline{F}_N(n) = f(n) = \sum_p f(p \vee_p(n))$$

so we approximate  $\underline{F}_N$  by

$$\underline{F}_{N,M} = \sum_{p \leq M} f(p \vee_p)$$

Step 1.

Fix  $M$ . By the lemma above,  
[and the composition principle]  
we get

$$\underline{F}_{N,M} \xrightarrow[N \rightarrow \infty]{\text{law}} \sum_{p \leq M} f(p \vee_p) \\ \parallel \\ G_M$$

[So if the series  $\sum^F$  converges, it is the limit of  $G_M$ ]

This gives the 1<sup>st</sup> assumption in B.9.4

Step 2. Goal:

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \overline{\lim}_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_N(|E_{-N, M}|)$$

where 
$$F_{-N} = F_{-N, M} + E_{-N, M}$$

We have

$$E_{-N, M} = \sum_{p > M} f(p^{-\nu_p})$$

Triangle inequality does not work here.

Next idea: use Cauchy-Schwarz

inequality

$$\mathbb{E}_N(|E_{-N, M}|)^2 \leq \mathbb{E}_N(|E_{-N, M}|^2)$$

Further:

$$\mathbb{E}_N\left(\left|\sum_{p > M} f(p^{-\nu_p})\right|^2\right)$$

$$= \sum_{p_1, p_2 > M} \mathbb{E}_N \left( f(p_1^{v_{p_1}}) \overline{f(p_2^{v_{p_2}})} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{p_1, p_2 > M} f(p_1) \overline{f(p_2)} \mathbb{P}_N (v_{p_1} = v_{p_2} = 1)$$

because  $f(p^v) = 0$  unless  $v = 1$

Two cases:

$p_1 = p_2$  : then we get

$$\sum_{p > M} |f(p)|^2 \mathbb{P}_N (v_p = 1)$$

$$\equiv \frac{1}{N} \left| \left\{ n \in N \mid p \mid n \text{ and } p^2 \nmid n \right\} \right|$$

$$= \sum_{p > M} \frac{|f(p)|^2}{p} \left( \xrightarrow{M \rightarrow \infty} 0 \text{ by the} \right)$$

convergence  $\sum_p \frac{|f(p)|^2}{p}$

OK

$$\underline{p_1 \neq p_2} :$$

$$\sum_{\substack{p_1, p_2 > M \\ p_1 \neq p_2}} \overline{f(p_1) f(p_2)} \frac{1}{p_1 p_2} \mathbb{P}_N(p_1 p_2 | n) \quad \left[ \text{but } \begin{array}{l} p_1^2 \nmid n, p_2^2 \nmid n \end{array} \right]$$

neglect

$$= \frac{1}{p_1 p_2} + O\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)$$

and

$$= 0 \quad \text{if}$$

$$p_1 p_2 > N$$

by Th. 1.3.1

Therefore the contribution of  $p_1 \neq p_2$

is :

$$\sum_{\substack{p_1, p_2 > M \\ p_1 p_2 \leq N \\ p_1 \neq p_2}} \frac{\overline{f(p_1) f(p_2)}}{p_1 p_2}$$

$$+ O\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{\substack{p_1, p_2 > M \\ p_1 p_2 \leq N}} |f(p_1) f(p_2)|\right)$$

$$= \left| \sum_{p > M} \frac{f(p)}{p} \right|^2 - \sum_{p > M} \frac{|f(p)|^2}{p^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)$$

$$\ll \left| \sum_{p > M} \frac{f(p)}{p} \right|^2$$

$\rightarrow 0$  by convergence of the 1<sup>st</sup> series

$$+ O$$

$$+ O \left( \frac{1}{N} |\{ p_1 p_2 \leq N \}| \right)$$

forgot that  
 $p_i > M$

Lemma - For  $N \geq 1$ ,

$$\left[ \frac{1}{N} |\{ n \leq N \mid n = p_1 p_2 \}| \right]$$

$n = p$

$$= O \left( \frac{\log \log N}{\log N} \right)$$

$$= O \left( \frac{1}{\log N} \right)$$

[This is at the level of  
Mertens - Chebychev - type bounds]

Conclusion:

$$|E_N ( |E_{N,M}|^2 )$$

$$\leq \sum_{p>M} \frac{|f(p)|^2}{p} + \left| \sum_{p>M} \frac{f(p)}{p} \right|^2 + O\left( \frac{\log \log N}{\log N} \right)$$

$$\text{so } \overline{\lim}_{N \rightarrow \infty} \dots \leq \text{"} + \text{"}$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \overline{\lim}_{N \rightarrow \infty} (\dots) = 0$$

by convergence  
of the  $\text{tr}$  two series  
in  $E-W$ .

Hence (B. 4.4) we get

$$\frac{F}{-N} \xrightarrow{\text{law}} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} G_m = \sum_p f(p^{\vee} p)$$

□