

15.4.2021

Remark - Take $G = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{S}^1$
($\mu_G = dt$) $t \mapsto e^{it}$

The characters are

$$\chi_h: t \mapsto e(h t) \quad , \quad h \in \mathbb{Z}$$

" $\frac{1}{2i\pi h t}$

e

Suppose $\mu_n = f_n(t) dt$, $n \geq 1$
where f_n is 1-periodic
and continuous

Then $\int_G \chi_h(t) d\mu_n(t)$

" "

$$\int_G f_n(t) e^{2i\pi h t} dt$$

" "

$(-h)$ -th Fourier coefficient
of the function f_n

So the condition in Weyl Criterion
is that all Fourier coefficients of

f_n converge to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$,
 $h \neq 0$.

One deduces then for any
convergent subsequence $(\mu_{n_h})_{h \geq 1}$,
the limit μ satisfies

$$\forall h \neq 0, \int \chi_h d\mu = 0.$$

Again if we knew that $\mu = f(t) dt$,
then f has all $\neq 0$ Fourier
coefficients equal to 0.

\Rightarrow f is constant $\Rightarrow f = \underline{1}$

since μ is a probability measure

$\Rightarrow \mu = dt$ is the Haar measure.

In general: the characters of a
compact group form an orthonormal
basis of $L^2(G, \mu_G)$.

Proof of Kronecker's Theorem

(Why should we expect it to be true? μ_B are measures on $(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^d$ supported on T , so (the) limit is also supported on T ; could it be converging to μ_T ?)

We apply the Weyl Criterion:

let $\chi: T \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$, $\chi \neq 1$, be a character of T . We have

$$\int_T \chi(x) d\mu_B(x) = \frac{1}{B} \int_0^B \chi(y \otimes \delta) dy.$$

$\in \mathbb{R}^d$

Fact: any character χ of $T \subset (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^d$ extends to a character $\tilde{\chi}$ of $(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^d$.

This means that there exists a $h = (h_1, \dots, h_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$

such that $\chi(x) = e\left(\underbrace{x \cdot h}_T\right)$, and
 so $\hookrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^d x_i h_i$

$$\int_T \chi(x) d\mu_B(x) = \frac{1}{B} \int_0^B e^{2i\pi y \underbrace{(z \cdot h)}_{\text{real number}}} dy$$

Claim: because χ is not equal to 1 on T , we have $z \cdot h \neq 0$ (otherwise $\chi(yz) = e^{2i\pi y (z \cdot h)} = 1$ for all $y \in \mathbb{R}$, so $\chi = 1$ on \overline{T} by definition of T .)

So the integral is

$$\frac{1}{B} \frac{1}{2i\pi (z \cdot h)} \left(e^{2i\pi B (z \cdot h)} - 1 \right)$$

\hookrightarrow fixed

$$\xrightarrow{B \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

This concludes the proof.

□

In practice, we often use the case $T = (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^d$ [i.e. the image mod \mathbb{Z}^d of the line $\mathbb{R}z$ is dense in $(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^d$].

Lemma. We have $T = (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^d$
| $\Leftrightarrow (z_1, \dots, z_d)$ are \mathbb{Q} -linearly
| independent.

(Ex. $d = 2$: $z = (1, \sqrt{2})$)

$\rightsquigarrow T = (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^2$

$d = 3$: $z = (1, \sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3})$)

$\rightsquigarrow T = (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^3$)

Proof. \Rightarrow : by contraposition, if
we have

$$n_1 z_1 + \dots + n_d z_d = 0$$

with $n_i \in \mathbb{Q}$, not all 0, then multiply by a suitable $N \geq 1$ to get a relation with $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, not all 0; then T is contained in

$$T' = \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^d \mid \underbrace{n_1 x_1 + \dots + n_d x_d}_{\substack{\text{makes} \\ \text{sense} \\ \text{in } (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^d}} = 0 \right\}.$$

(T' is closed)

and $T' \neq (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^d$ (because $n \neq 0$ (n.i.)).

\Leftarrow : trick: the assumption

implies that $\frac{1}{B} \int_0^B e(y(h \cdot z)) dy \rightarrow 0$ as $B \rightarrow \infty$

$$\frac{1}{B} \int_0^B e(y(h \cdot z)) dy \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } B \rightarrow \infty$$

for all $h \in \mathbb{Z}^d - \{0\}$ [because

$$\underline{h \cdot z} = h_1 z_1 + \dots + h_d z_d \neq 0$$

by linear independence], so by

The Weyl Criterion, we then get

$\mu_B \longrightarrow \mu_{(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^d}$ automatically,
and this means that $T = (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^d$.

□

Proof of Th. 5.3.3

Recall: $F \subset \mathbb{R}$ finite

$\alpha(y) \in \mathbb{C}^k$ for $y \in F$

Goal: $V_x \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Omega_x \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^k \\ \alpha \longmapsto \sum_{y \in F} \alpha(y) \alpha^{iy} \end{array} \right.$
converges in law.

Let $f: \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (\mathbb{S}^1)^F \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ (x_y) \longmapsto \sum_{y \in F} \alpha(y) x_y \end{array} \right.$

continuous; then

$$V_x = f(W_x)$$

where

$$\omega_x \begin{cases} \Omega_x \longrightarrow (\mathbb{S}^1)^F \\ x \longmapsto (x^{iy})_{y \in F} \end{cases}$$

Note that there is an isomorphism

$$\begin{cases} (\mathbb{R}/2\pi)^F \xrightarrow{e} (\mathbb{S}^1)^F \\ (z_\gamma) \longmapsto (e(z_\gamma))_{\gamma \in F} \end{cases}$$

[both of groups and homeomorphism]

For $x \in \Omega_x$, we have

$$x^{iy} = e^{2i\pi y \frac{\log x}{2\pi}}$$

$$= e(z_\gamma \log x)$$

where $z_\gamma = \frac{\gamma}{2\pi}$.

Moreover for $x \in \Omega_x$, $\log x \in [0, \log x]$

and "the corresponding measure on

$[0, \log(x)]$ is uniform":

$$\mathbb{E}_x(f(x)) = \frac{1}{\log x} \int_1^x f(x) \frac{dx}{x}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\log X} \int_0^{\log X} f(e^t) dt.$$

$$t = \log(x)$$

$$dt = \frac{dx}{x}$$

So for $f: (\mathbb{S}^1)^F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, continuous

$$\mathbb{E}_x (f(\omega_x))$$

$$= \frac{1}{\log X} \int_0^{\log X} f(e(yz)) dy$$

where $z = (z_\sigma) = \left(\frac{\gamma}{2\pi} \right)_{\gamma \in F}$, i.e.

$$= \int_T f(e(\omega)) d\mu_{\log X}(\omega)$$

(with notation T from Kronecker's Theorem). Since $\mu_{\log X}$ converges

in law as $X \rightarrow +\infty$ (Kronecker's Th.), so

does ω_x , and the limit is

e (uniform measure on T), which

is the uniform measure on the closed subgroup $e(T) \subset e((\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^F) = (\mathcal{S}')^F$.

[And if z is \mathbb{Q} -linearly independent then $e(T) = (\mathcal{S}')^F$].

□

Summary: for $\sum_{j \in F} \alpha(j) x^{ij}$

on Ω_x , we have the limit law

$$\sum_{j \in F} \alpha(j) x^{ij} \xrightarrow[x \rightarrow \infty]{\text{law}} \sum_{j \in F} \alpha(j) X_j$$

$(x \in \Omega_x)$

where $(X_j)_{j \in F}$ is $(\mathcal{S}')^F$ -valued and is uniformly distributed on the closed subgroup

$$T_F = \overline{\left\{ (x^{ij})_{j \in F} \mid x > 0 \right\}}$$

If the $y \in F$ are \mathbb{Q} -linearly independent, then $(X_y)_{y \in F}$ is a vector of independent r.v. on \mathcal{S}' , all uniformly distributed, and the limit is the sum of independent r.v.

Remark: if we take

$$\tilde{\Omega}_x = [1, x+1]$$

$$\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_x = \frac{1}{x} dt \text{ on } \tilde{\Omega}_x$$

then for $F = \{x\}$, the W_x

does not converge in law:

$$W_x(t) = t^{ix} \text{ on } \tilde{\Omega}_x$$

and for $f = \text{Id} : \mathcal{S}' \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'$,

we get

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_x (f(W_x)) = \frac{1}{x} \int_1^{x+1} t^{ix} dt$$

$$= \frac{1}{x} \frac{1}{1+iy} \left(X^{1+iy} - 1 \right)$$

$$= \frac{X^{iy}}{1+iy} + \underbrace{o(1)}_{\text{as } X \rightarrow +\infty}$$

→ has no limit as $X \rightarrow \infty$, in fact has

the circle of radius $\frac{1}{|1+iy|}$ as set of limit points

This explains why one uses Ω_x and P_x to study the Chebychev bias!

Back to the Rubinstein-Sarnak measure

We have to study

$$M_X(a) = m_q(a) - \sum_{\chi \neq \varepsilon_q} \overline{\chi(a)} \sum_{\substack{L(\frac{1}{2} + iy, \chi) = 0 \\ |y| \leq X}} \frac{x^{iy}}{\frac{1}{2} + iy}$$

as $X \rightarrow +\infty$.

This is not quite literally the situation of Th. 5.3.3, because the set of y 's varies with X , instead of being a fixed F .

But Th. 5.3.3 says that if we fix $1 \leq T$ then for $X \rightarrow +\infty$, the r.v.

$$m_q(a) - \sum_{\chi \neq \varepsilon_q} \overline{\chi(a)} \sum_{|y| \leq T} \frac{x^{iy}}{\frac{1}{2} + iy}$$

finite, since $L(s, \chi)$ are holomorphic

has a limit as $X \rightarrow \infty$ ($x \in \Omega_X$).

This is the right setup for Prop. B.4.4!