

lecture

---

25 March 2021

---

---

---



Thm II.25  $M = G_K$  Rss,  $\sigma \in M$ ,  
 $K = \text{stab}_G(\sigma)$ ,  $G = \text{ISO}(M)^\circ$ . Let  
 $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$  Cartan decomposition.

- (1) If  $\mathfrak{N} \subset \mathfrak{k}$  Lie triple system  
 $\Rightarrow N := \exp(\mathfrak{N})$  is a t.g. subm.  
and  $T_0 N = \mathfrak{N}$ .
- (2)  $N \subset M$  t.g. sbmf. through  $\sigma$   $\Rightarrow$   
 $\mathfrak{N} := d_e \pi(T_0 N)$  is a lie triple system.

Yesterday: Claim  $\forall x, y \in \mathfrak{N} \Rightarrow$   
 $\Rightarrow T_x y = (\text{adj}_y(x))^2(y) \in \mathfrak{N}$ .  
With this we completed the proof.

Pf of Claim  $\mathfrak{N} := d_e \pi(T_0 N)$ .

$x \in \mathfrak{N} \Rightarrow d_e \pi(x) \in T_0 N \Rightarrow$   
 $t \mapsto (\exp t x)_*^0 = (\exp \circ d_e \pi)$   
is an  $M$ -geodesic through  $0$   
s.t. the tg vector at  $0$  is

- Proposition II.14 If  $\mathcal{E}_t$  is the transvection along  $\mathfrak{x}$   
 $(\mathcal{E}_t = s_{\mathfrak{x}(t)} \cdot s_{\mathfrak{x}(0)}) \Rightarrow$   
 $\Rightarrow d\mathcal{E}_t : T_{\mathfrak{x}(0)} \rightarrow T_{\mathfrak{x}(t)}$   
is the parallel transport  
along  $\mathfrak{x}$ .  
 $\Rightarrow d_e(\exp t x)$  is the parallel  
transport along  $t \mapsto \exp t x \in N$   
&  $N$  is tot. geod  $\Rightarrow$  the geod.  
is all contained in  $N$ .

Thm II.24  $\Rightarrow$  parallel transport  
preserves  $\{T_p N : p \in N\} \Rightarrow$   
 $\Rightarrow \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\text{adj}_y(x))^n(y)}{(2n+1)!} \in \mathfrak{N}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{We write } \varphi(t) &:= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\text{adj}_y(tx))^n(y)}{(2n+1)!} = \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{((\text{adj}_y(tx))^2)^n(y)}{(2n+1)!} = \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} d_e \pi(x) &\in T_0 N. \quad N \text{ tot. g.} \Rightarrow \\ t &\mapsto \exp \circ d_e \pi(x) \subset N \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R} \\ T \mathfrak{N} &\xrightarrow{d_e \pi} T_0 N \xrightarrow{\exp} N \\ d_e(\exp \circ d_e \pi) : T_x \mathfrak{N} &= \mathfrak{N} \xrightarrow{T_{\exp(d_e \pi)(x)}} N \end{aligned}$$

Corollary II.23  $\Rightarrow \forall Y \in \mathfrak{N}$

$$d_e(\exp \circ d_e \pi)(Y) = d_e \pi \circ \exp(x) \circ d_e \pi(Y) \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\text{adj}_y(x))^n(y)}{(2n+1)!} \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow (d_e \pi \circ \exp(x)) \underbrace{d_e(\exp \circ d_e \pi)(Y)}_{n!} = d_e \pi \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\text{adj}_y(x))^n(y)}{(2n+1)!}$$

$$T_{\exp \circ d_e \pi}(X)$$

Want to see that  $d_e \pi \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\text{adj}_y(x))^n(y)}{(2n+1)!} \in T_0 N$ .

Recall:

- (Proof of Thm II.21 - rel. between  $\exp \circ \tilde{\exp}$ )  $t \mapsto \exp(tx)$  is a geod & let  $\mathcal{E}_t$  be the translation along it.  $\mathcal{E}_t$  is a t.p.m. sbgp.  
 $\Rightarrow \mathcal{E}_t = \exp(tx)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{N} &= t^2 \frac{\text{adj}_y(x)^2(y)}{3!} + t^4 \left( \dots \right) \\ \varphi'(t) &= \frac{1}{3} (\text{adj}_y(x))^2(y) = \\ &= \frac{1}{3} T_x(y) \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Remark  $\mathfrak{N} \subset \mathfrak{g}$  lie triple system with f.g. sbmf.  $N = \exp(\mathfrak{N})$  through  $0$ . Let  $\mathfrak{g}' = \mathfrak{N} + [\mathfrak{N}, \mathfrak{N}]$  is a subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with lie subgroup  $G' \subset G$ . Set  $K' := K \cap G'$ . Let  $\Theta = d_e \mathcal{E}_K$  the Cartan involution  $\Rightarrow \Theta x = -x \quad \forall x \in \mathfrak{N} \subset \mathfrak{g}'$ .

Moreover

$$\Theta([\mathfrak{N}, \mathfrak{N}]) = [\Theta(\mathfrak{N}), \Theta(\mathfrak{N})] \subset$$

$$[\mathfrak{N}, \mathfrak{N}] \Rightarrow \Theta(\mathfrak{g}') = \mathfrak{g}'$$

and also  $\Theta(G') \subset G$ .

Let  $\delta' := \sigma|_{G'}$  be an involution of  $G'$ . Want to show that  $((G')^{\delta'})^\circ \subseteq k' \subseteq (G')^{\delta'}$ .

If so then  $(G', k')$  is a RSP associated to  $N \Rightarrow N$  is a RSS,  $N \cong G'/k'$

$$k' = k \cap G' \subseteq (G^\circ)^\circ \cap G' = (G')^{\delta'}$$

$$k' = k \cap G' \supseteq (G^\circ)^\circ \cap G'$$

But  $(G^\circ)^\circ \cap G'$  is an open subgp of  $G'$   $\Rightarrow$

$$(G^\circ)^\circ \cap G' \supseteq ((G')^{\delta'})^\circ \quad \checkmark$$

### II.7 Example

Riemann sym. space for the sym. lar  $(\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{R}), \mathrm{SO}(n, \mathbb{R}))$

$\delta \in \mathrm{Aut}(G)$ ,  $G := \mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $k := \mathrm{SO}(n, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\delta(g) = {}^t \bar{g}^{-1}$  is the involution with

$$k = G^\circ. \quad \mathrm{SO}(n, \mathbb{R}) \text{ cpt} \Rightarrow$$

$\Rightarrow (\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{R}), \mathrm{SO}(n, \mathbb{R}))$  RSP.

$$\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{R}) < \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R}) \Rightarrow$$

$\Rightarrow \exp : \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{R})$  is the matrix exp.

$$\exp(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!} \Rightarrow$$

$$\Rightarrow \exp({}^t \bar{x}) = {}^t(\exp(x))$$

$$\Rightarrow \delta(\exp t x) = \exp(-{}^t \bar{x})$$

$\Rightarrow \Theta = d_x \delta$  is

$$\Theta(x) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \exp(-{}^t \bar{x}) =$$

$$= -{}^t \bar{x}$$

$$\mathrm{sl}(n, \mathbb{R}) = \{x \in \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R}) : t_i x = 0\}$$

$$k = \{x \in \mathrm{sl}(n, \mathbb{R}) : \Theta(x) = x\}$$

$$= \{x \in \mathrm{sl}(n, \mathbb{R}) : -{}^t \bar{x} = x\}$$

$$\mathbb{P} = \{x \in \mathrm{sl}(n, \mathbb{R}) : {}^t \bar{x} = x\}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathrm{sl}(n, \mathbb{R}) = k \oplus \mathbb{P}$$

$$x \in \mathrm{sl}(n, \mathbb{R}) \Rightarrow$$

$$\Rightarrow x = \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}(x - {}^t \bar{x})}_{k} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}(x + {}^t \bar{x})}_{\mathbb{P}}$$

Want an  $\mathrm{Ad}_G(k)$ -inv.

inner product on  $\mathbb{P}$ .

Recall that

$$\mathrm{Ad}_G : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(\mathfrak{g})$$

is conjugation since

$$G < \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$$

$$\mathrm{Ad}_G(g)(x) = g x \bar{g}^{-1}$$

To get suitable inner product

$$M_{n \times n} \times M_{n \times n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$(A, B) \longmapsto t(A, B)$$

(if  $M_{n \times n} \cong \mathbb{R}^{n^2}$ , this is the usual scalar product on  $\mathbb{R}^{n^2}$ ). This is clearly  $\mathrm{Ad}_G(\mathrm{O}(n, \mathbb{R}))$ -inv.

However on  $\mathbb{P}$  the inner product is

$$(A, B) \mapsto \text{tr}(AB)$$

Consider the model  $\mathcal{P}'(n)$  for  $SL(n, \mathbb{R}) / SO(n, \mathbb{R})$

$$\mathcal{P}'(n) := \left\{ S \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R}) : {}^t S = S, \det S = 1, S \gg 0 \right\}$$

where  $SL(n, \mathbb{R}) \curvearrowright \mathcal{P}'(n)$   
via  $g * S := g S^t g^{-1}$ .

Notation Take  $\mathbb{I} \in \mathcal{P}'(n)$  as base point. We note that  $(\text{Exp}_{\mathbb{I}} \circ d_{\mathbb{I}})(x) = (\text{Exp } x)_* \mathbb{I}$

Let  $x \in \mathbb{P}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad \text{Exp}(x) &= (\text{Exp } x)_* \mathbb{I} = \\ &= \exp(x) \mathbb{I} \exp(-x) = \\ &= \exp(2x). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (2) \quad \exp(-x)_* \text{Exp}(x) &= \\ &= \exp(-x) \text{Exp}(x) \exp(-x) \\ &= \exp(-x) \exp(2x) \exp(-x) \\ &= \mathbb{I} \in \mathcal{P}'(n) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Let } \mathcal{O}\mathcal{C} := \left\{ \text{diag}(x_1, \dots, x_n) : \sum x_i = 0 \right\}$$

Then  $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{P}$  since  
 $({}^t \mathcal{O}\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{O}\mathcal{C})$  and

$$\text{Exp} := \text{Exp}_{\mathbb{I}} \circ d_{\mathbb{I}}$$

Fact:  $\text{Exp}: \mathbb{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}'(n)$  diffeom. and if we consider  $\text{Exp}(0), \text{Exp}(x) \in \mathcal{P}'(n)$   $\exists$  a unique geodesic between these two pts,  $t \mapsto \text{Exp}(tx)$  and this geod. is length minimizing  $\Rightarrow$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow d(\text{Exp}(x), \text{Exp}(0)) &= \\ &= \|x\| \end{aligned}$$

$[\partial\mathcal{C}, \partial\mathcal{C}] = 0 \Rightarrow \partial\mathcal{C}$  is a Lie triple system  $\Rightarrow$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \mathcal{F} &:= \text{Exp}(\partial\mathcal{C}) = \\ &= \left\{ \text{diag}(x_1, \dots, x_n) : \sum x_i = 1 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

is a totally geodesic submfd.  
Want to compute the distance in  $\mathcal{F}$ .  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{C}$ ,  $\text{Exp } x_i \in \mathcal{F}$

$$d(\text{Exp}(x_1), \text{Exp}(x_2)) \stackrel{(1)}{=} \underset{\text{inv. gd}}{\text{dist}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= d(\exp(-x_2)_* \text{Exp}(x_1), \mathbb{I}) \\ &= d(\exp(-x_2) \exp(2x_1) \exp(-x_2), \mathbb{I}) \\ &= d(\exp(2x_1 - 2x_2), \mathbb{I}) \\ &\stackrel{\uparrow \text{ Fact}}{=} \|x_1 - x_2\| \end{aligned}$$

$x_1, x_2$  commute

$\Rightarrow \text{Exp} : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  is an isometry.  $\mathfrak{X} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$

$\Rightarrow$  we call  $\mathbb{F}$  a flat and  $\dim \mathbb{F} = n-1$ .

$\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{R})$  is max. abelian subalgebra that is diagonalizable ( $= \mathfrak{X}$ )  
 $\dim \mathfrak{X} = \dim \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{R})$

This is the same as the max. dim. of a flat.

$\mathfrak{sl}$	1	2	3	...	
		higher rank			

$$[\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{X}] = 0 \Rightarrow \mathfrak{X} \text{ is a Lie triple system} \Rightarrow$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbb{F} := \text{Exp}(\mathfrak{X}) = \{ \text{diag}(x_1, \dots, x_n) : \prod_i x_i = 1 \}$$

is a totally geodesic submfd.  
Want to compute the distance in  $\mathbb{F}$ .  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathfrak{X}, \text{Exp } x_i \in \mathbb{F}$

$$d(\text{Exp}(x_1), \text{Exp}(x_2)) \stackrel{(\star)}{=} \frac{\|\text{ad}(x_1 - x_2)\|}{\text{inv. of d}}$$

$$= d(\exp(-x_2) * \exp(x_1), \mathbb{I})$$

$$= d(\exp(-x_2) \exp(2x_1) \exp(x_2), \mathbb{I})$$

$$= d(\exp(2x_1 - x_2), \mathbb{I})$$

$\uparrow$  Fact  
 $x_1, x_2$  commute  $= \|x_1 - x_2\|$