

lecture

31 March 2021



II.8 Decomposition of sym.-spaces.

To show: If M is a RSS $\Rightarrow M$

is the product of (possibly)

three kinds of RSS.

- Euclidean spaces
- RSS of cpt type
- RSS of non-cpt type

RSS are RSP are RSS

↓
orthogonal symmetric Lie algebras

M RSS, $\alpha \in M$, $M = G/K$, $G = \text{Iso}(h)^\circ$

B a Lie grp $\Rightarrow \mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$.

~~$G \xrightarrow{\text{II}} G_K \text{ and } d_G^{\text{II}} : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{Lie}(K)$~~

RSP (G, σ) , $d_G^{\sigma} = \oplus$

(\mathfrak{g}, Θ) the prototype of SLA

Recall The Killing form κ of Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is a bilinear sym. form

$B_{\mathfrak{g}} : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{k} = \text{field of defn.}$
of \mathfrak{g}

$$B_{\mathfrak{g}}(x, y) := \text{tr}(\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}(x)\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}(y))$$

Properties (1)

If $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}) \Rightarrow$

$$\Rightarrow B_{\mathfrak{g}}(\alpha(x), \alpha(y)) = B_{\mathfrak{g}}(x, y) \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

(2) If $D \in \text{Der}(\mathfrak{g})$,
(that is $D[x, y] = [Dx, y] + [x, Dy]$)

$$\Rightarrow B_{\mathfrak{g}}(Dx, y) + B_{\mathfrak{g}}(x, Dy) = 0$$

In particular if $z \in \mathfrak{g} \Rightarrow$
 $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}(z) \in \text{Der}(\mathfrak{g})$ and hence

$$B_{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}(z)x, y) + B_{\mathfrak{g}}(x, \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}(z)y) = 0$$

Back to the notation of (\mathfrak{g}, Θ) :
related to a RSP (G, K) .

(1) Θ is an involution of \mathfrak{g}
and $\text{Lie}(K) = k$ is the
eigenvalue with eigenvalue 1.

(2) $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}} = d_G \text{Ad}_G$. Since K cpt.
 $\Rightarrow \text{Ad}_G(k) \subset \text{GL}(\mathfrak{g})$ cpt.
subgp.

$$\text{Lie}(\text{Ad}_G(k)) = \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}(k).$$

Defn of lie algebra. We say
that a subalgebra $\mathfrak{u} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is
compactly embedded in
 $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{u}) \subset \text{Lie}(\mathfrak{g})$ is the
lie algebra of a cpt
subgroup $U \subset \text{GL}(\mathfrak{g})$ -

We would like to say that

$U = \text{Ad}_G(k)$, $K \subset G$ cpt.
and $K = U$ but K \neq ht
have a center

$$U = \text{Ad}_G(k) / z(G) \cap k$$

Fact Any such $U \subset \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$

Pf By naturality $\# \text{ter}(\mathfrak{g})$

$$\text{Ad}_G(\exp(tx)) = \exp(\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}(tx))$$

But $\text{Ad}_G = d_G \text{c}_g \Rightarrow$
 $\Rightarrow \text{Ad}_G(g) \subset \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}) \quad \forall g \in G$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Lie}(U) = \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{u}) \subset \text{Lie}(\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}))$$

only needed that not cpt emb.
 $\Rightarrow U^\circ \subset \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$, U conn \Rightarrow

$$\Rightarrow U \subset \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}). \quad \square$$

Definition (1) An **orthogonal symmetric Lie algebra (OSLA)** is a pair (\mathfrak{g}, Θ) such that

- (1) \mathfrak{g} is a real Lie algebra;
- (2) Θ is an involution of \mathfrak{g} such that the subalgebra $\mathfrak{u} := \{x \in \mathfrak{g} : \Theta x = x\}$ of fixed pts of Θ is compactly embedded.

Θ involution $\Rightarrow \Theta^2 = \text{Id} \Rightarrow$

\Rightarrow e.v. ± 1

$$\mathfrak{u} = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} : \Theta x = x\}$$

$$\mathfrak{e} = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} : \Theta x = -x\}$$

that is \mathfrak{u} -invariant \Rightarrow

$$\Rightarrow \mathfrak{u} \subset O(\mathfrak{g}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{u}) = \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{u}) \subset O(\mathfrak{g}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$$

that is elements in $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{u})$ are skew-sym. w.r.t. $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \Rightarrow$

if $x \in \mathfrak{u}$, $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ basis of $\mathfrak{g} \Rightarrow$

$$\Rightarrow B_{\mathfrak{g}}(x, x) = \text{tr}(\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}(x)^2) =$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^n \langle \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}(x) e_j, e_j \rangle =$$

$$= - \sum_{j=1}^n \langle \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}(x) e_j, \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}(x) e_j \rangle$$

$$= - \sum_{j=1}^n \| \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}(x) e_j \|^2 \leq 0$$

with equality $\Leftrightarrow \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}(x) = 0$

that $x \in \mathfrak{u} \cap Z(\mathfrak{g}) = \{0\}$ \blacksquare

Lemma II.2b (1) The decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{u} \oplus \mathfrak{e}$ is orthogonal w.r.t. $B_{\mathfrak{g}}$.

(2) If \mathfrak{g} is effective \Rightarrow
 $\Rightarrow B_{\mathfrak{g}}|_{\mathfrak{u} \times \mathfrak{u}}$ is negative definite

Part (2) sb the defn.

\mathfrak{g} is effective if $\mathfrak{u} \cap Z(\mathfrak{g}) = \{0\}$

Pf sb lemma (1) $x \in \mathfrak{u}, y \in \mathfrak{e}$,
so that $\Theta x = x$, $\Theta y = -y$.

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow B_{\mathfrak{g}}(x, y) &= B_{\mathfrak{g}}(\Theta x, \Theta y) = \\ &= -B_{\mathfrak{g}}(x, y) \Rightarrow B_{\mathfrak{g}}(x, y) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

(2) (\mathfrak{g}, Θ) OSLA, $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{u}) = \text{Lie}(\mathfrak{u})$
 $\cup \langle \text{GL}(\mathfrak{g}) \rangle$ cpt lie gp - let
 $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be an inner product on \mathfrak{g}

Defn. Let (\mathfrak{g}, Θ) be an effective OSLA with killing form $B_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and decoupl. $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{u} \oplus \mathfrak{e}$

(1) (\mathfrak{g}, Θ) is of **compact type** if $B_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is negative-def.

(2) (\mathfrak{g}, Θ) is of **non-compact type** if $B_{\mathfrak{g}}|_{\mathfrak{e}}$ is positive-def.

(3) (\mathfrak{g}, Θ) is of **Euclidean type** if \mathfrak{e} is an Abelian ideal.

Recall / Remark

- \mathfrak{g} lie alg. is **simple** if
 - \mathfrak{g} not Abelian
 - \exists no non-trivial ideals
- \mathfrak{g} lie alg. is **semisimple** if it is direct sum of simple ideals. $\mathfrak{g} = \sum \mathfrak{g}_j$

• \mathfrak{g} is semi-simple \Leftrightarrow
 $B_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is non-degenerate.

- (i) In cases (1) & (2) \Rightarrow
 \mathfrak{g} is semi-simple
- (ii) (\mathfrak{g}, θ) Euclidean iff
 $[\mathfrak{e}, \mathfrak{e}] = 0$ (\Leftarrow easy
to check that $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{e}] \subset \mathfrak{e}$).

Thm II.27 (Decomposition thm
for OSLA) (\mathfrak{g}, θ) effective OSLA.
Then $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_- \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_+$ is
a decomp. into θ -invariant
ideals s.t. $(\mathfrak{g}_-, \oplus |_{\mathfrak{g}_-})$ is
of non-apt. type, $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \oplus |_{\mathfrak{g}_0})$
is Euclidean & $(\mathfrak{g}_+, \oplus |_{\mathfrak{g}_+})$

is of apt. type. Moreover the
decomp. is nth. w.r.t. $B_{\mathfrak{g}}$.

How to construct $\mathfrak{g}_+, \mathfrak{g}_0, \mathfrak{g}_-$

$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{u} \oplus \mathfrak{k}$ is a \mathfrak{v} -invariant
decomposition \Rightarrow let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$
be a \mathfrak{v} -invariant inner product
on \mathfrak{e} . Since $B_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is a
sym. bilinear form $\Rightarrow \exists!$
 $A \in \text{End}(\mathfrak{e})$ symmetric s.t.

$$B_{\mathfrak{g}}(x, y) = \langle Ax, y \rangle + x, y \in \mathfrak{e}$$

We said already that
 $\mathfrak{v} \subset \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$. Since $B_{\mathfrak{g}}$
is \mathfrak{v} -invariant $\Rightarrow \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{e}$,
 $\Rightarrow B_{\mathfrak{g}}(kx, ky) = B_{\mathfrak{g}}(x, y)$ _{key}

$\begin{aligned} \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{v}\text{-inv.}} \langle Akx, ky \rangle &= \langle Ax, y \rangle \\ \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{v}\text{-inv.}} \langle k^{-1}Akx, y \rangle &= \langle Ax, y \rangle \\ \Rightarrow Ak &= kA \quad (*) \\ \Rightarrow A \cdot \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}(x) &\stackrel{(*)}{=} \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}(x) \cdot A \quad \forall x \in \mathfrak{e} \end{aligned}$

A sym. \Rightarrow o.n. basis of \mathfrak{e}
 $\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$ consisting of e.v. of A
with e.v. $\{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$.

Since $(*)$ they are also
preserved by \mathfrak{v} and $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}(u)$.
Define the following subspaces
of \mathfrak{e} .

$$\mathfrak{e}_- := \sum_{\beta_i < 0} \mathbb{R} f_i$$

$$\mathfrak{e}_0 := \sum_{\beta_i=0} \mathbb{R} f_i$$

$$\mathfrak{e}_+ := \sum_{\beta_i > 0} \mathbb{R} f_i$$

Lemma II.28

- (1) $\mathfrak{e}_0 = \{x \in \mathfrak{e} : B_{\mathfrak{g}}(x, y) = 0 \forall y \in \mathfrak{e}\}$
= null space of $B_{\mathfrak{g}}$ in \mathfrak{e} .
- (2) $[\mathfrak{e}_0, \mathfrak{e}_+] = 0$ and \mathfrak{e}_0 is
an Abelian ideal in \mathfrak{g} .
- (3) $[\mathfrak{e}_-, \mathfrak{e}_+] = 0$.

Pf later

Then we define

$$u_+ := [\mathfrak{e}_+, \mathfrak{e}_+]$$

$$u_- := [\mathfrak{e}_-, \mathfrak{e}_-]$$

Lemma II.29 u_+ & u_-

are orthogonal w.r.t. $B_{\mathfrak{g}}$

$$B_{\mathfrak{g}}(x, y) = 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathfrak{u}_+, y \in \mathfrak{u}_-$$

Finally $u_0 := u \ominus (u_+ \oplus u_-) =$
 = orthogonal complement
 of $u_+ \oplus u_-$ in u
 w.r.t. B_g .

Corollary II.30

- (1) e_0 is an Abelian ideal in \mathfrak{g}
- (2) $u_+ \oplus e_+$, $u_0 \oplus e_0$, $u_- \oplus e_-$
 are pairwise orthogonal ideal in \mathfrak{g}
 (w.r.t. B_g).

We can now use II.28

Lemma II.28

- (1) $e_0 = \{x \in \mathfrak{e} : B_g(x, y) = 0 \forall y \in \mathfrak{e}\}$
 = nullspace of B_g in \mathfrak{e} .
- (2) $[e_0, \mathfrak{e}] = 0$ and e_0 is
 an Abelian ideal in \mathfrak{g}
- (3) $[e_-, e_+] = 0$.

$$(2) [e_0, \mathfrak{e}] \subset [\mathfrak{e}, \mathfrak{e}] \subset u.$$

$x \in e_0$, $y \in \mathfrak{e}$, $z \in u$

$$B_g\left(\underbrace{[x, y]}_{\in e_0}, z\right) = -B_g(y, \underbrace{[x, z]}_{\in u}) =$$

$$= B_g\left(x, \underbrace{[y, z]}_{\in \mathfrak{e}}\right) =$$

$$= \langle Ax, [y, z] \rangle = 0$$

$\stackrel{!}{=} 0$ since $x \in e_0$.

But II.2? (2) $B_g|_{\mathfrak{e} \times u} < 0$

$$\Rightarrow [x, y] = 0 \quad \forall x \in e_0 \quad \forall y \in \mathfrak{e}.$$

$$\text{In particular } [e_0, e_0] = 0$$

$\Rightarrow e_0$ Abelian.

Pf (1) $\mathfrak{g}^\perp := \{x \in \mathfrak{g} : B_g(x, y) = 0 \forall y \in \mathfrak{g}\}$
 B_g is \mathfrak{g} -invariant $\Rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^\perp$ \mathfrak{g} -inv.
 $\Rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^\perp = (\mathfrak{g}^\perp \cap u) \oplus (\mathfrak{g}^\perp \cap \mathfrak{e}).$
 $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$ effective $\xrightarrow{\text{II.2? (2)}} B_g|_{\mathfrak{e} \times u}$ is
 negative definite $\Rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^\perp \cap u = 0$
 $\Rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^\perp \subset \mathfrak{e} \Rightarrow$
 $\Rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^\perp = \{x \in \mathfrak{e} : B_g(x, y) = 0 \forall y \in \mathfrak{g}\} =$
 $\xrightarrow{\text{II.2? (1)}} = \{x \in \mathfrak{e} : B_g(x, y) = 0 \forall y \in \mathfrak{e}\}$
 $= \{x \in \mathfrak{e} : \langle Ax, y \rangle = 0 \forall y \in \mathfrak{e}\}$
 $= \ker A = e_0$ (by defn.)
 $e_0 = \sum_{\beta_j=0} R f_i$

$$[e_0, \mathfrak{g}] = [e_0, u] + [e_0, \mathfrak{e}] =$$

$$= [e_0, u] \stackrel{!}{=} e_0$$

because u commutes
 with $A \Rightarrow$ preserves e_+, e_-, e_0 .

$$(3) \forall x \in e_-, y \in e_+, z \in u.$$

$$B_g([x, y], z) = -B_g(y, [x, z])$$

$$= -\underbrace{\langle Ay, [xz] \rangle}_{\substack{\uparrow \\ e_+ \\ e_+}} = 0$$

and $e_+ \perp e_-$ w.r.t. $<, >$

because they are defn.

using an o.n. basis.

$$\Rightarrow [x, y] = 0 \quad \forall x \in e_-$$

$y \in e_+$ □