

lectures

21 April 2021



II.11 Duality

- V \mathbb{R} -vector space: a **complex structure** on V is $J \in \text{End}(V)$, $J^2 = -\text{id}$.
 $(\alpha + i\beta)J := \alpha J + \beta J(v) \forall v \in V$ C-U.S.
- E \mathbb{C} -vector space $\cong E^{\mathbb{R}}$ \mathbb{R} -U.S. & $(E^{\mathbb{R}})^{\mathbb{C}} = E$
- \mathfrak{N} \mathbb{R} -Lie algebra: a **complex structure** on \mathfrak{N} is a $J \in \text{End}(\mathfrak{N})$, $J^2 = -\text{id}$ s.t. $[x, Jy] = J[x, y]$ & $x, y \in \mathfrak{N} \Rightarrow [,] : \mathfrak{N} \times \mathfrak{N} \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}$ is \mathbb{C} -bilinear & \tilde{N} is a \mathbb{C} -Lie alg.

W \mathbb{R} -vector space, $J \in \text{End}(W \times W)$, $J(v, w) = (-w, v)$. Set $W^{\mathbb{C}} = \widetilde{W \times W} =$ **Complexification of W** . On $W^{\mathbb{C}}$ define the **complex conjug.**
 $\tau \in \text{End}(W \times W)$, $\tau(v, w) = (v, -w)$
 $W \hookrightarrow W^{\mathbb{C}}$
 $v \mapsto (v, 0)$ as an \mathbb{R} -subspace.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{su}(n, \mathbb{C}) &= \{X \in \text{sl}(n, \mathbb{C}): X^* + X = 0\} \\ \text{su}(n, \mathbb{C})^{\mathbb{C}} &= \text{sl}(n, \mathbb{C}). \text{ In fact} \\ i \text{su}(n, \mathbb{C}) &= \{iX \in \text{sl}(n, \mathbb{C}): X^* + X = 0\} \\ &= \{Y \in \text{sl}(n, \mathbb{C}): Y^* = Y\} \\ \text{If } A \in \text{sl}(n, \mathbb{C}) &\Rightarrow \\ A &= \underbrace{\frac{A - A^*}{2}}_{\text{su}(n, \mathbb{C})} + \underbrace{\frac{A + A^*}{2}}_{i\text{su}(n, \mathbb{C})} \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow \text{sl}(n, \mathbb{C}) \subseteq \text{su}(n, \mathbb{C}) + i\text{su}(n, \mathbb{C})$ and a count of dimensions gives equality.

Ex $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{J}(p, q) =$ Lie algebra of $O(p, q) =$ orth. gp. of a non-deg. quad. form of sign. (p, q) . However all these q . f are equiv. over \mathbb{C}

$\Rightarrow \cdot W^{\mathbb{C}} \cong W + iW \quad (X, Y) \mapsto X + iY$
 $\cdot z \in W^{\mathbb{C}}$ can be written!
 $z = x + iy, x, y \in W$
 $\cdot \mathfrak{I}(z) = x - iy$.

If \mathfrak{g} is a \mathbb{R} -Lie algebra the bracket on \mathfrak{g} extends to a bracket on $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$.

Ex $\mathfrak{g} = \text{sl}(n, \mathbb{R}) \Rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} = \text{sl}(n, \mathbb{C})$. In fact $X \in \text{sl}(n, \mathbb{C}) \Leftrightarrow \text{tr}X = 0$
 $\Leftrightarrow \text{Re tr}X = \text{Im tr}X = 0 \Leftrightarrow$
 $\text{tr}(\text{Re }X) = \text{tr}(\text{Im }X) = 0 \Leftrightarrow$
 $X = x_1 + ix_2, x_i \in \text{sl}(n, \mathbb{R})$
 $\text{sl}(n, \mathbb{C}) = \text{sl}(n, \mathbb{R}) + i\text{sl}(n, \mathbb{R})$.

Ex $\mathfrak{g} = \text{su}(n, \mathbb{C}) = \{X \in \text{sl}(n, \mathbb{C}): X^* + X = 0\}$, where $X^* = \bar{X}^t$. $\text{su}(n, \mathbb{C})$ is only a \mathbb{R} -algebra. If $X \in \text{su}(n, \mathbb{C}) \Rightarrow$
 $\Rightarrow \alpha X \notin \text{su}(n, \mathbb{C}), \alpha \in \mathbb{C}:$
 $X^* + X = 0 \Rightarrow \bar{X}^* + \alpha X \neq 0$

$\Rightarrow \mathfrak{o}(p, q)^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{o}(p+q, \mathbb{C})$.
In particular $\mathfrak{o}(n, \mathbb{R})^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{o}(n, \mathbb{C})$

Defn. Let \mathfrak{h} be a \mathbb{C} -Lie algebra.
 $\Rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is a **real form** of \mathfrak{h} if $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{h}$
If \mathfrak{g} is semisimple & $B_{\mathfrak{g}}$ in neg. def. $\Rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is a **compact form** of \mathfrak{h} .

Sometimes: a cpt free of a \mathbb{R} -Lie alg. is a cpt form of its complexification.

Thm II.41 (Helgason Thm II.6.3 p.181)

Every \mathbb{C} -Lie algebra has a compact form.

Lemma II.40 \mathfrak{g} \mathbb{R} -Lie algebra

- (1) $B_{\mathfrak{g}}(X, Y) = B_{\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}}(X, Y) \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$
- (2) $B_{(\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}})^{\mathbb{R}}}(X, Y) = 2 \operatorname{Re} B_{\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}}(X, Y)$
 $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$

(3) If semi-simple $\Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{g}^c$ s.s. $\in (\mathfrak{g}^c)^R_{s.s.}$

Let (\mathfrak{g}, Θ) be an OSLA with Cartan decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$. Let $\mathfrak{g}^* := \mathfrak{k} + i\mathfrak{p}$ be a subspace of \mathfrak{g}^c . Since $[\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{k}] \subset \mathfrak{k}$, $[\mathfrak{k}, i\mathfrak{p}] \subset i\mathfrak{p}$, $[i\mathfrak{p}, i\mathfrak{p}] \subset \mathfrak{k}$ $\Rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ is a Lie algebra with bracket coming from \mathfrak{g}^c .

$\tau : \mathfrak{g}^c \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^c$ conj. $\tau|_{\mathfrak{g}^*} \in \text{End}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ is involutive. $\tau(x+iy) = x - iy$.

Proposition II.42 Let (\mathfrak{g}, Θ) be an OSLA with \mathfrak{g} semi-simple.

Pf (1) \mathfrak{k} is the fixed pt algebra of Θ^* , so we only need to show that \mathfrak{k} is cptly embed. in \mathfrak{g}^* .

Consider the v.s. isom $\varphi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$
 $x+y \mapsto x+iy \Rightarrow \Phi : GL(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow GL(\mathfrak{g}^*)$
 $A \mapsto \varphi A \varphi^{-1}$.

\mathfrak{k} cptly embed. in $\mathfrak{g} \Rightarrow \exists u \in GL(\mathfrak{g})$ cpt. conn. s.t. $\text{Lie}(u) = \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{k})$.

Using Φ and its derivative

$d_x \Phi : \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \Rightarrow$
 $\Rightarrow \Phi(u)$ is a cpt. conn. lie gp $\Phi(u) \subset GL(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ s.t.
 $\text{Lie } \Phi(u) = \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}(\mathfrak{k})$.

(2) Verification

(3) $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}) \cap \mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \cap \mathfrak{k}$

(4) (\mathfrak{g}, Θ) reduced \Leftrightarrow

\Leftrightarrow any ideal \mathfrak{I} in \mathfrak{g} that is cont. in \mathfrak{k} is trivial

$\Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{I} \cap \mathfrak{k}$ is an ideal and $[\mathfrak{I}, \mathfrak{H}] = 0$

(1) $(\mathfrak{g}^*, \Theta^*)$ is an OSLA where

$$\Theta^* = \mathcal{T}|_{\mathfrak{g}^*}$$

$$(2) (\mathfrak{g}^*)^c = \mathfrak{g}^c, (\Theta^*)^c = \Theta^c$$

(3) $(\mathfrak{g}^*, \Theta^*)$ effective $\Leftrightarrow (\mathfrak{g}, \Theta)$ effective

(4) $(\mathfrak{g}^*, \Theta^*)$ reduced $\Leftrightarrow (\mathfrak{g}, \Theta)$ reduced

(5) $(\mathfrak{g}^*, \Theta^*)$ is of cpt type
 (non-cpt type) $\Leftrightarrow (\mathfrak{g}, \Theta)$ is
 of non-cpt type (cpt type)

(6) $(\mathfrak{g}_1, \Theta_1) \sim (\mathfrak{g}_2, \Theta_2) \Leftrightarrow$

$$\Leftrightarrow (\mathfrak{g}_1^*, \Theta_1^*) \sim (\mathfrak{g}_2^*, \Theta_2^*)$$

$$(7) ((\mathfrak{g}^*)^*, (\Theta^*)^*) = (\mathfrak{g}, \Theta)$$

Defn $(\mathfrak{g}_1, \Theta_1) \sim (\mathfrak{g}_2, \Theta_2)$ if
 $\exists \varphi : \mathfrak{g}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_2$ Lie alg. isom.
 s.t. $\Theta_2 \circ \varphi = \varphi \circ \Theta_1$

$\Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{I} \cap \mathfrak{k}$ is an ideal and $[\mathfrak{I}, i\mathfrak{p}] = 0$

\Leftrightarrow any ideal in \mathfrak{g}^* that is cont. in \mathfrak{k} is trivial \Leftrightarrow
 $\Leftrightarrow (\mathfrak{g}^*, \Theta^*)$ is reduced

(5) Need to look at the sign of the Killing form restricted to the complement of \mathfrak{k} .

Lemma II.40 $\Rightarrow \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{p} \Rightarrow$

$$\begin{aligned} B_{\mathfrak{g}}(x, y) &= B_{\mathfrak{g}^c}(x, y) = -B_{\mathfrak{g}^c}(ix, iy) \\ &= -B_{\mathfrak{g}^*}(ix, iy) \quad (\text{use (2)}) \end{aligned}$$

(6) Any isom. of real Lie algebras extends to an isom. of the complexifications.

Defn We call $(\mathfrak{g}^*, \Theta^*)$ the dual of (\mathfrak{g}, Θ) .

Ex. $(\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{R}), \oplus)$, $\oplus(x) = -x^t$.
 $\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C}) = \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{R})^c$. The dual of $(\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{R}), \oplus)$ is $(\mathfrak{su}(n), \oplus^*)$.
In fact if $\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{R}) = k \oplus \mathbb{P}$
 $k = \{X \in \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{R}) : X + X^t = 0\}$
 $\mathbb{P} = \{X \in \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{R}) : X = X^t \exists = \gamma\}$
 $\Rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^* = k + i\mathbb{P} =$
 $= \{z \in \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C}) : z = x + iY \text{ where } X + X^t = 0, Y = Y^t\}$
 $= \{z \in \mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{C}) : z + z^* = 0\} =$
 $= \mathfrak{su}(n)$

The corresp. symm. spaces are
 $M = \mathfrak{SL}(n, \mathbb{R})/\mathfrak{SO}(n)$, $M^* = \mathfrak{SU}(n)/\mathfrak{SO}(n)$
where M^* is compact.

$$= \gamma \quad \mathfrak{g}^* \subset \mathfrak{sp}(n, \mathbb{C})$$

$$k + i\mathbb{P} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & iB \\ iB^t & D \end{pmatrix} : \begin{array}{l} A + A^t = 0 \\ B \in M_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}) \\ D + D^t = 0 \end{array} \right\}$$

To identify \mathfrak{g}^* , define
 $\phi: \mathfrak{sp}(n, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{sp}(n, \mathbb{C})$

$$Y \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} -iI_p & 0 \\ 0 & I_q \end{pmatrix} Y \begin{pmatrix} iI_p & 0 \\ 0 & I_q \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\circ \begin{pmatrix} A & iB \\ iB^t & D \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ B^t & D \end{pmatrix} = \gamma$$

$$\Rightarrow \phi \text{ isom. of } \mathfrak{g}^* \text{ with}$$

$$\mathfrak{so}(p, q) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ B^t & D \end{pmatrix} : \begin{array}{l} A + A^t = 0 \\ B \in M_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}) \\ D + D^t = 0 \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\oplus^* = \tau|_{\mathfrak{g}^*}, \tau(x + iY) = x - iY$$

$$\Rightarrow \oplus^* \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ B^t & D \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A & -B \\ -B^t & D \end{pmatrix}$$

Ex. $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(n) = \{X \in \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R}) : X + X^t = 0\}$
and let $p, q \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ be such that $p+q=n$. Define $\oplus: \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R})$
 $\oplus(X) := I_{p,q} X I_{p,q}$, $I_{p,q} = \begin{pmatrix} -I_p & 0 \\ 0 & I_q \end{pmatrix}$
Easy to see that \oplus preserves \mathfrak{g} and $\oplus^2 = -\text{Id}$. Write also \mathfrak{g} in block form
 $\mathfrak{g} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ -B^t & D \end{pmatrix} : \begin{array}{l} A + A^t = 0 \\ B \in M_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}) \\ D + D^t = 0 \end{array} \right\}$
 $\oplus(X) = \oplus \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ -B^t & D \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A & -B \\ B^t & D \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow$
 $k = \{X \in \mathfrak{so}(n, \mathbb{R}) : \oplus(X) = X\} =$
 $= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} : \begin{array}{l} A \in \mathfrak{so}(p, \mathbb{R}) \\ D \in \mathfrak{so}(q, \mathbb{R}) \end{array} \right\} \cong$
 $\mathbb{P} = \{X \in \mathfrak{so}(n, \mathbb{R}) : \oplus(X) = -X\} =$
 $= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ -B^t & 0 \end{pmatrix} : B \in M_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}) \right\},$

$\Rightarrow (\mathfrak{so}(p+q, \mathbb{R}), \oplus)$ and $(\mathfrak{so}(p, q), \oplus^*)$ are dual OSLA.
The corresp. RSS are
 $M^* = \mathfrak{SO}(p, q)/\mathfrak{SO}(p) \times \mathfrak{SO}(q)$ not cpt
 $M = \mathfrak{SO}(p+q, \mathbb{R})/\mathfrak{SO}(p) \times \mathfrak{SO}(q)$ cpt

Thm II.43 Let $M = G/K$ be a RSS of non-cpt type with dual $M^* = G^*/K$. Then there is a canonical isomorphism
 $\Omega^k(M)^G \cong H^k(M^*, \mathbb{R})$,
where $\Omega^k(M)^G = G$ -invariant smooth differential forms on M
 $H^k(M^*, \mathbb{R}) = \text{singular cohomology of } M^* \text{ with } \mathbb{R}-\text{coeff.}$

M v is a R.v.s. \Rightarrow

$\text{Alt}_k(V) = \text{alternating forms}$

$$V^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

Lemma II.44 M RSS, $G = \text{Iso}(M)^0$,
 $o \in M$, $K = \text{Stab}_G(o)$, $\pi: G \rightarrow G/K$

$$d\pi_e: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow T_o M \text{ isom. of v.s.}$$

that commutes with the actions
 $s_0 \cdot$ on \mathfrak{g} via $\text{Ad}_g(K)$

and on $T_o M$ via the diff. s_0
left translation. Then

$$\Omega^k(M)^G \rightarrow \text{Alt}_k(\mathfrak{g})^{\text{Ad}(K)}$$

is an isomorphism.

Pf Let $\omega \in \Omega^k(M)^G$, $\omega_0 \in$
 $\in \text{Alt}_k(T_o M) \rightsquigarrow (d\pi_e)^*(\omega_0) \in$
 $\in \text{Alt}_k(\mathfrak{g})$ ----- \blacksquare

Lemma II.45 (Contn)

$$M \text{ RSS}, G = \text{Iso}(M)^0, \omega \in \Omega^k(M)^G.$$

$$\Rightarrow d\omega = 0$$

Pf $o \in M$, $s_0 \in G$ good sym. at 0
 $\omega \in \Omega^k(M^G)$. Since $g \in G \rightarrow$
 $\Rightarrow s_0 g s_0 \in G \Rightarrow (s_0)^* \omega \in \Omega^k(M)^G$
 $(\omega = (s_0 g s_0)^* \omega = s_0^* g^* s_0^* \omega \Rightarrow$
 $\Rightarrow s_0^* \omega = g^* s_0^* \omega)$.

$$\text{Moreover } s_0|_{T_o M} = -\text{Id} \Rightarrow$$

$$\Rightarrow (s_0^* \omega)_0 = (-1)^k \omega_0 \text{ and by}$$

$$G\text{-invariance } (s_0^* \omega)_x = (-1)^k \omega_x$$

 $\forall x \in M$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} d(s_0^* \omega) &= (-1)^k d\omega \\ s_0^*(d\omega) &= (-1)^{k+1} d\omega \end{aligned} \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} d\omega = 0 \\ \hline \end{array} \right\} \quad \blacksquare$$