2.1. Weak derivative in $L^p(\Omega)$

(a) Let $u \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$. Given $1 , let <math>1 \le q < \infty$ such that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Suppose $D^{\alpha}u$ exists as weak derivative in $L^p(\Omega)$. Let $\varphi \in C^{\infty}_c(\Omega)$ be arbitrary. Then,

$$\left|\int_{\Omega} u D^{\alpha} \varphi \, dx\right| = \left|(-1)^{|\alpha|} \int_{\Omega} (D^{\alpha} u) \varphi \, dx\right| \le \|D^{\alpha} u\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)} \|\varphi\|_{L^{q}(\Omega)}$$

by Hölder's inequality which proves the first claim with constant $C = \|D^{\alpha}u\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}$. Conversely, suppose

$$\forall \varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega) : \left| \int_{\Omega} u \, D^{\alpha} \varphi \, dx \right| \le C \|\varphi\|_{L^q(\Omega)}.$$

Then, since $C_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$ is dense in $L^q(\Omega)$ for $q < \infty$, the map

$$f\colon \varphi\mapsto (-1)^{|\alpha|}\int_{\Omega} u\,D^{\alpha}\varphi\,dx$$

defines a continuous linear functional $f \in (L^q(\Omega))^*$. Since $(L^q(\Omega))^*$ for $1 \leq q < \infty$ is isometrically isomorphic to $L^p(\Omega)$, there exists $g \in L^p(\Omega)$ such that

$$\forall \varphi \in L^q(\Omega): \quad f(\varphi) = \int_\Omega g\varphi \, dx.$$

By definition of f it follows that $g \in L^p(\Omega)$ is the weak derivative $D^{\alpha}u$ of u.

(b) Let $u = \chi_{]0,1[}$ and $\varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$. Then

$$\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}} u \,\varphi' \,dx\right| = \left|\int_{0}^{1} \varphi' \,dx\right| = \left|\varphi(1) - \varphi(0)\right| \le 2\|\varphi\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})}.$$

The function u restricted to $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ is differentiable with vanishing derivative. In particular, if u had a weak derivative $u' \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$, then u' = 0 almost everywhere. A contradiction arises for test functions $\varphi \in C^{\infty}_c(\mathbb{R})$ with $\varphi(0) \neq \varphi(1)$ via

$$0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} u'\varphi \, dx = -\int_{\mathbb{R}} u\,\varphi' \, dx = -\int_0^1 \varphi' \, dx = \varphi(0) - \varphi(1).$$

2.2. The ice-cream cone

(a) Fix any $\varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and pick a small positive constant $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. Then, define

$$I_{\varepsilon} := -\int_{\Omega \smallsetminus B_{\varepsilon}(0)} u(x,y) \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x}(x,y) \, dx \, dy = -\int_{\Omega \smallsetminus B_{\varepsilon}(0)} \left(1 - \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}\right) \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x}(x,y) \, dx \, dy$$

last update: 21 April 2021

and

$$J_{\varepsilon} := -\int_{B_{\varepsilon}(0)} u(x,y) \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x}(x,y) \, dx \, dy = -\int_{B_{\varepsilon}(0)} \left(1 - \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}\right) \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x}(x,y) \, dx \, dy.$$

Clearly,

$$|J_{\varepsilon}| = \left| \int_{B_{\varepsilon}(0)} \left(1 - \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \right) \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x}(x, y) \, dx \, dy \right| \le \pi \| \nabla \varphi \|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \varepsilon^2 \to 0$$

as $\varepsilon \to 0^+$. On the other hand, since u is smooth on $\Omega \smallsetminus B_{\varepsilon}(0)$, we can integrate by parts in the integral I_{ε} to get

$$I_{\varepsilon} = \int_{\partial B_{\varepsilon}(0)} \left(1 - \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \right) \varphi(x, y) \frac{x}{\varepsilon} \, d\sigma - \int_{\Omega \smallsetminus B_{\varepsilon}(0)} \frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} \varphi(x, y) \, dx \, dy$$
$$= (1 - \varepsilon) \int_{\partial B_{\varepsilon}(0)} \varphi(x, y) \frac{x}{\varepsilon} \, d\sigma - \int_{\Omega \smallsetminus B_{\varepsilon}(0)} \frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} \varphi(x, y) \, dx \, dy.$$

Notice that

$$\left| (1-\varepsilon) \int_{\partial B_{\varepsilon}(0)} \varphi(x,y) \frac{x}{\varepsilon} \, d\sigma \right| \le 2\pi \|\varphi\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} (1-\varepsilon)\varepsilon \to 0$$

as $\varepsilon \to 0^+$. Moreover, since

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} \frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} \varphi(x, y) \, dx \, dy \right| \le \|\varphi\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \left(\int_{0}^{2\pi} |\cos \theta| \, d\theta \right) \cdot \left(\int_{0}^{1} r \, dr \right)$$
$$= 2 \|\varphi\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} < +\infty,$$

by dominated convergence we get

$$-\int_{\Omega \smallsetminus B_{\varepsilon}(0)} \frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} \varphi(x, y) \, dx \, dy \to -\int_{\Omega} \frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} \varphi(x, y) \, dx \, dy,$$

as $\varepsilon \to 0^+$. Thus,

$$I_{\varepsilon} + J_{\varepsilon} \to -\int_{\Omega} \frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} \varphi(x, y) \, dx \, dy.$$

But since

$$I_{\varepsilon} + J_{\varepsilon} = -\int_{\Omega} u(x, y) \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x}(x, y) \, dx \, dy,$$

for every $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, by uniqueness of the limit we obtain

$$-\int_{\Omega} u(x,y) \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x}(x,y) \, dx \, dy = -\int_{\Omega} \frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}} \varphi(x,y) \, dx \, dy.$$

last update: 21 April 2021

D-MATH	Functional Analysis II	ETH Zürich
Prof. A. Carlotto	Solution to Problem Set 2	Spring 2021

Since Ω has finite measure, it holds that $L^{\infty}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{p}(\Omega)$ continuously for every $p \in [1, \infty)$, and so it follows that such weak partial derivative of u exists in $L^{p}(\Omega)$ for every $p \in [1, \infty]$ and is given by

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x,y) = -\frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}}$$
 a.e. on Ω .

Analogous conclusions hold for the weak partial derivative with respect to y of u on Ω , which is given by

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(x,y) = -\frac{y}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}}$$
 a.e. on Ω .

(b) First, notice that

$$|\nabla u|^2 = \left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right|^2 + \left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\right|^2 = 1$$
 a.e. on Ω .

Thus,

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} = \pi^{1/p} \qquad \forall \, p \in [1,\infty)$$

and

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} = 1.$$

2.3. Cantor function

(a) The set $A_n = \{x \in [0, 1[: u'_n(x) \neq 0 \text{ or } u'_n(x) \text{ does not exist classically}\}$ is a union of relatively closed subintervals of equal length. With each iteration $n \rightsquigarrow n+1$ the number of intervals doubles but their length is divided by three. Therefore,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} |A_n| = \lim_{n \to \infty} (\frac{2}{3})^n = 0$$

By definition of u, we have $\{x \in [0, 1[: u'(x) = 0 \text{ exists classically}\} \supset [0, 1[\setminus A_n \text{ for every } n \in \mathbb{N}.$ Thus, u'(x) = 0 in a set of full measure, i.e. for almost every $x \in [0, 1[.$

(b) Given $2 \leq k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\varphi_k \in C_c^{\infty}(]0,1[)$ be such that

$$\varphi_k(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } x \le (\frac{1}{3})^k, \\ 1 & \text{for } 2(\frac{1}{3})^k \le x \le 1 - 2(\frac{1}{3})^k, \\ 0 & \text{for } x \ge 1 - (\frac{1}{3})^k. \end{cases}$$

last update: 21 April 2021

Then, since

$$u(x) = \begin{cases} (\frac{1}{2})^k & \text{for } (\frac{1}{3})^k < x < 2(\frac{1}{3})^k, \\ 1 - (\frac{1}{2})^k & \text{for } 1 - 2(\frac{1}{3})^k < x < 1 - (\frac{1}{3})^k \end{cases}$$

and since $\varphi'(x)$ vanishes outside this range, there holds

$$-\int_{0}^{1} u(x)\varphi_{k}'(x) \, dx = -\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k} \int_{\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^{k}}^{2\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^{k}} \varphi'(x) \, dx - \left(1 - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k}\right) \int_{1-2\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^{k}}^{1-\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^{k}} \varphi'(x) \, dx$$
$$= -\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k} + \left(1 - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k}\right) \xrightarrow{k \to \infty} 1.$$

Lastly, suppose the distributional derivative u' of u vanishes. Then u' = 0 would be the weak first derivative of u in $L^1(]0, 1[)$. However, $||u'||_{L^1(]0,1[)} = 0$ is in contradiction to

$$||u'||_{L^1(]0,1[)} \ge \lim_{k \to \infty} \int_0^1 u' \varphi_k \, dx = -\lim_{k \to \infty} \int_0^1 u \varphi'_k \, dx = 1.$$

2.4. Symmetry of Green's function

Let G be Green's function for $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and let $\varphi, \psi \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$ be arbitrary. Consider the functions $u, v \colon \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$u(x) = \int_{\Omega} G(x, y) \varphi(y) \, dy, \qquad \qquad v(x) = \int_{\Omega} G(x, y) \psi(y) \, dy.$$

According to the Theorem about Green's function, they satisfy

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \varphi & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega. \end{cases} \qquad \begin{cases} -\Delta v = \psi & \text{in } \Omega, \\ v = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega. \end{cases}$$

last update: 21 April 2021

4

Therefore,

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} G(x,y)\varphi(y)\psi(x)\,dx\,dy - \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} G(y,x)\varphi(y)\psi(x)\,dx\,dy \\ &= \int_{\Omega} u(x)\psi(x)\,dx - \int_{\Omega} v(y)\varphi(y)\,dy \\ &= -\int_{\Omega} u\Delta v\,dx + \int_{\Omega} v\Delta u\,dx = -\int_{\Omega} u\Delta v\,dx + \int_{\Omega} (\Delta v)u\,dx = 0, \end{split}$$

where we used integration by parts and $v|_{\partial\Omega} = 0 = u|_{\partial\Omega}$ in the last line. Since φ and ψ are arbitrary, symmetry of G follows.

2.5. Green's function for the half-space

Given $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$, let $\overline{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, -x_n)$ denote its reflection in the plane $\partial \mathbb{R}^n_+$. Let $\Phi \colon \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{R}$ be the fundamental solution of Laplace's equation as given on the problem set. Then the function

$$\phi^x(y) := \Phi(y - \overline{x}) = \Phi(y_1 - x_1, \dots, y_{n-1} - x_{n-1}, y_n + x_n)$$

satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \Delta \phi^x = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n_+, \\ \phi^x(y) = \Phi(y - x) & \text{for } y \in \partial \mathbb{R}^n_+ \end{cases}$$

because $y - \overline{x} \neq 0$ for every $y \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$ and since by symmetry of Φ

$$\forall y \in \partial \mathbb{R}^n_+ : \quad \Phi(y - x) = \Phi(\overline{y - x}) = \Phi(\overline{y} - \overline{x}) = \Phi(y - \overline{x}) = \phi^x(y).$$

Hence, Green's function for the upper half-space is

$$G(x,y) = \Phi(y-x) - \phi^{x}(y) = \Phi(y-x) - \Phi(y-\overline{x})$$

=
$$\begin{cases} -\frac{1}{2\pi} (\log|y-x| - \log|y-\overline{x}|), & (n=2) \\ \frac{1}{n(n-2)|B_{1}|} (|y-x|^{2-n} - |y-\overline{x}|^{2-n}), & (n\neq 2). \end{cases}$$

Remark. Since the domain \mathbb{R}^n_+ is unbounded, the representation formula (as given on the problem set) for solutions of the equation $-\Delta u = f$ in \mathbb{R}^n_+ with boundary data $u|_{\partial \mathbb{R}^n_+} = g$ has to be checked separately.

2.6. Green's function for an interval

(a) For n = 1, the fundamental solution of Laplace's equation is $\Phi \colon \mathbb{R}^1 \to \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$\Phi(x) = -\frac{1}{2}|x|.$$

last update: 21 April 2021

Given $x \in [a, b[$, it remains to solve the boundary-value problem

$$\begin{cases} (\phi^x)'' = 0 & \text{in }]a, b[, \\ \phi^x(y) = -\frac{1}{2}|x - y| & \text{for } y \in \{a, b\}. \end{cases}$$

We obtain $\phi^x(y) = c_1 + c_2 y$ with constants $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ determined by the equations

$$-\frac{1}{2}(x-a) = \phi^x(a) = c_1 + c_2 a \qquad \Rightarrow c_1 = -\frac{1}{2}(x-a) - c_2 a, \\ \frac{1}{2}(x-b) = \phi^x(b) = c_1 + c_2 b \qquad \Rightarrow c_2(-a+b) = \frac{1}{2}(x-a) + \frac{1}{2}(x-b).$$

Hence,

$$c_{2} = \frac{(x-a) + (x-b)}{2(b-a)},$$

$$c_{1} = -\frac{x-a}{2} - \frac{(x-a)a + (x-b)a}{2(b-a)} = -\frac{(x-a)b + (x-b)a}{2(b-a)},$$

$$G(x,y) = \Phi(y-x) - c_{1} - c_{2}y = -\frac{|y-x|}{2} + \frac{(x-a)(b-y) + (x-b)(a-y)}{2(b-a)}$$

$$= \begin{cases} \frac{(x-b)(a-y)}{(b-a)} & \text{if } y \le x, \\ \frac{(x-a)(b-y)}{(b-a)} & \text{if } y > x. \end{cases}$$

$$y \mapsto G(x,y)$$

$$y \mapsto G(x,y)$$

$$y \mapsto G(y,y)$$

(b) Let $f \in C^0([a,b])$ and $u(x) = \int_a^b G(x,y)f(y) \, dy$. Then,

$$u'(x) = \int_{a}^{b} \frac{\partial G}{\partial x}(x, y) f(y) \, dy = \int_{a}^{x} \frac{(a - y)}{(b - a)} f(y) \, dy + \int_{x}^{b} \frac{(b - y)}{(b - a)} f(y) \, dy,$$
$$u''(x) = \frac{(a - x)}{(b - a)} f(x) - \frac{(b - x)}{(b - a)} f(x) = \left((a - x) - (b - x)\right) \frac{f(x)}{(b - a)} = -f(x).$$

Since G(a, y) = 0 = G(b, y) for every $y \in]a, b[$, there holds u(a) = 0 = u(b).

last update: 21 April 2021