

**Assignment 11 (solutions)**

**Relative entropy**

For probability measures  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\mathbb{P}$  such that  $\mathbb{Q}$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mathbb{P}$ , the (*relative*) *entropy* of  $\mathbb{Q}$  with respect to  $\mathbb{P}$  is defined as

$$H(\mathbb{Q}|\mathbb{P}) := \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} \left[ \frac{d\mathbb{Q}}{d\mathbb{P}} \log \left( \frac{d\mathbb{Q}}{d\mathbb{P}} \right) \right].$$

In this problem, we consider the one-period trinomial market with  $R = 1$ ,  $u_1 + u_3 = 2$ , and  $u_2 = 1$  (see Section 2.3.2.3).

- 1) Find the measure  $\mathbb{Q}^*$  minimising the relative entropy  $H(\mathbb{Q}|\mathbb{P})$  over all equivalent martingale measures  $\mathbb{Q} \in \mathcal{M}(S)$ .

**We recall from the Lecture notes that the set  $\mathcal{M}(S)$  is given here by**

$$\mathbb{Q}[\{\omega^1\}] = q_1, \quad \mathbb{Q}[\{\omega^2\}] = \frac{u_3 - R}{u_3 - u_2} - \frac{u_3 - u_1}{u_3 - u_2} q_1, \quad \mathbb{Q}[\{\omega^3\}] = \frac{u_2 - u_1}{u_3 - u_2} q_1 + \frac{R - u_2}{u_3 - u_2},$$

**with**  $q_1 \in \left( \frac{(u_2 - R)^+}{u_2 - u_1}, \frac{u_3 - R}{u_3 - u_1} \right)$ .

**With the specific assumptions made here, this simplifies to**

$$\mathbb{Q}[\{\omega^1\}] = q_1, \quad \mathbb{Q}[\{\omega^2\}] = 1 - 2q_1, \quad \mathbb{Q}[\{\omega^3\}] = q_1,$$

**with**  $q_1 \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ .

**The density  $\frac{d\mathbb{Q}}{d\mathbb{P}}$  is then given by**

$$\frac{d\mathbb{Q}}{d\mathbb{P}}(\omega_1) := \frac{q_1}{p_1}, \quad \frac{d\mathbb{Q}}{d\mathbb{P}}(\omega_2) := \frac{1 - 2q_1}{p_2}, \quad \frac{d\mathbb{Q}}{d\mathbb{P}}(\omega_3) := \frac{q_1}{1 - p_1 - p_2}.$$

**Hence, the entropy is given by**

$$H(\mathbb{Q}|\mathbb{P}) = 2q_1 \log \left( \frac{q_1}{\sqrt{p_1(1 - p_1 - p_2)}} \right) + (1 - 2q_1) \log \left( \frac{1 - 2q_1}{p_2} \right) =: g(q_1).$$

**We differentiate and find**

$$g'(q_1) = 2 \log \left( \frac{q_1}{1 - 2q_1} \frac{p_2}{\sqrt{p_1(1 - p_1 - p_2)}} \right)$$

**Let  $\lambda := \frac{p_2}{\sqrt{p_1(1 - p_1 - p_2)}}$ ,  $g$  attains its maximum on  $(0, 1/2)$  at  $1/(2 + \lambda)$ , so that the risk-neutral  $\mathbb{Q}^*$  maximising the relative entropy is such that**

$$\mathbb{Q}^*[\{\omega^1\}] = \frac{1}{2 + \lambda}, \quad \mathbb{Q}^*[\{\omega^2\}] = \frac{\lambda}{2 + \lambda}, \quad \mathbb{Q}^*[\{\omega^3\}] = \frac{1}{2 + \lambda}.$$

- 2) Find the strategy  $\Delta^* \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$  maximising the expected utility of final wealth, with initial wealth 0 and exponential utility with parameter  $\alpha > 0$ , i.e.,

$$U(x) := \frac{1 - e^{-\alpha x}}{\alpha}, \quad \text{and } u(x) = 0.$$

Verify that

$$\frac{d\mathbb{Q}^*}{d\mathbb{P}} = \frac{e^{-\alpha \Delta^*(S_1 - S_0)}}{\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[e^{-\alpha \Delta^*(S_1 - S_0)}]}.$$

We are trying to solve the problem

$$\sup_{\Delta \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [U(X_1^{0,\Delta})] = \sup_{\Delta \in \mathbb{R}} \underbrace{\left\{ p_1 U(\Delta S_0(u_1 - 1)) + p_2 U(0) + (1 - p_1 - p_2) U(\Delta S_0(1 - u_1)) \right\}}_{=: f(\Delta)}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} f'(\Delta) &= S_0(u_1 - 1) \left( p_1 U'(\Delta S_0(u_1 - 1)) - (1 - p_1 - p_2) U'(\Delta S_0(1 - u_1)) \right) \\ &= S_0(u_1 - 1) e^{-\alpha \Delta S_0(u_1 - 1)} \left( p_1 - (1 - p_1 - p_2) e^{2\alpha \Delta S_0(u_1 - 1)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Recalling that  $u_1 < u_2 = 1$ , we deduce that the supremum of  $f$  is attained at

$$\Delta^* := -\frac{1}{2\alpha(1 - u_1)S_0} \log \left( \frac{p_1}{1 - p_1 - p_2} \right).$$

Hence

$$e^{-\alpha \Delta^*(S_1 - S_0)} = \left( \frac{p_1}{1 - p_1 - p_2} \right)^{\frac{S_1 - S_0}{2(1 - u_1)S_0}}, \text{ and } \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [e^{-\alpha \Delta^*(S_1 - S_0)}] = p_2 + 2\sqrt{p_1(1 - p_1 - p_2)}.$$

Let  $Y := \frac{e^{-\alpha \Delta^*(S_1 - S_0)}}{\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [e^{-\alpha \Delta^*(S_1 - S_0)}]}$ , we then have

$$Y(\omega_1) = \frac{1}{p_1(2 + \lambda)} = \frac{d\mathbb{Q}^*}{d\mathbb{P}}(\omega_1), \quad Y(\omega_2) = \frac{\lambda}{p_2(2 + \lambda)} = \frac{d\mathbb{Q}^*}{d\mathbb{P}}(\omega_2), \quad Y(\omega_3) = \frac{1}{(1 - p_1 - p_2)(2 + \lambda)} = \frac{d\mathbb{Q}^*}{d\mathbb{P}}(\omega_3),$$

proving the desired equality.

## Mean–variance hedging

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  be a probability space with a filtration  $\mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in \{0, 1, \dots, T\}}$ . There is only one risky asset, and the non-risky asset value is constant equal to 1. Suppose also that

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [(S_t - S_{t-1})^2 | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}] < +\infty, \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}, \quad \forall k \in \{1, \dots, T\}.$$

Define

$$\mathcal{A}_2 := \left\{ \Delta \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}) : X_t^{0,\Delta} \in \mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{F}_t, \mathbb{P}), \quad t \in \{1, \dots, T\} \right\}.$$

Fix some  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and some payoff  $\xi \in \mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{F}_T, \mathbb{P})$ . The mean–variance hedging (MVH) is the problem of approximating, with minimal mean-squared error, a given payoff by the final value of a self-financing trading strategy in a financial market. We thus consider the problem

$$V_0(x) := \inf_{\Delta \in \mathcal{A}_2} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [(\xi - X_T^{x,\Delta})^2]. \quad (0.1)$$

The goal of this exercise is to construct a candidate for the optimal strategy using the MOP.

For  $\Delta \in \mathcal{A}_2$ , and any  $t \in \{0, \dots, T - 1\}$ , we set

$$\mathcal{A}_2(t, \Delta) := \left\{ \Delta' \in \mathcal{A}_2 : \Delta'_j = \Delta_j, \text{ for } j \in \{0, \dots, t\} \right\}.$$

Moreover, for any  $v_t \in \mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{F}_t, \mathbb{P})$ , we define

$$\Gamma_t(v_t, \Delta) := \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} \left[ \left( \xi - v_t - \sum_{j=t}^{T-1} \Delta_j (S_{j+1} - S_j) \right)^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right], \quad V_t(v_t) := \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{\Delta' \in \mathcal{A}_2(t, 0)} \Gamma_t(v_t, \Delta').$$

1) Show that for each  $t \in \{0, \dots, T-1\}$  and each  $v_t \in \mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{F}_t, \mathbb{P})$ , the collection of random variables

$$\Lambda_t(v_t) := \{\Gamma_t(v_t, \Delta') : \Delta' \in \mathcal{A}_2(t, 0)\},$$

is closed under taking minima.

Let  $\Delta^1$ , and  $\Delta^2$  belong to  $\mathcal{A}_2(t, 0)$ . Define

$$\Delta^3 := \Delta^1 \mathbf{1}_A + \Delta^2 \mathbf{1}_{\Omega \setminus A},$$

where  $A := \{\Gamma_t(v_t, \Delta^1) \leq \Gamma_t(v_t, \Delta^2)\}$ . We have to show that  $\Delta^3 \in \mathcal{A}_2(t, 0)$  and

$$\Gamma_t(v_t, \Delta^3) = \min \{\Gamma_t(v_t, \Delta^1), \Gamma_t(v_t, \Delta^2)\}.$$

Since  $\Delta^1 \in \mathcal{A}_2(t, 0)$  and  $\Delta^2 \in \mathcal{A}_2(t, 0)$  we clearly have  $\Delta_k^3 = 0$  for  $k \in \{0, \dots, t\}$ . Moreover, using that  $X_t^{0, \Delta^i} \in \mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{F}_t, \mathbb{P})$  for  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ , and

$$X_t^{0, \Delta^3} = \mathbf{1}_A X_t^{0, \Delta^1} + \mathbf{1}_{\Omega \setminus A} X_t^{0, \Delta^2},$$

we have  $X_t^{0, \Delta^3} \in \mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{F}_t, \mathbb{P})$  for each  $t \in \{0, \dots, T\}$ . This shows that  $\Delta^3 \in \mathcal{A}_2(t, 0)$ . Further note that

$$\xi - v_t - \sum_{k=t}^{T-1} \Delta_k^3 (S_{k+1} - S_k) = \mathbf{1}_A \left( \xi - v_t - \sum_{k=t}^{T-1} \Delta_k^1 (S_{k+1} - S_k) \right) + \mathbf{1}_{\Omega \setminus A} \left( \xi - v_t - \sum_{k=t}^{T-1} \Delta_k^2 (S_{k+1} - S_k) \right),$$

is also in  $\mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{F}_T, \mathbb{P})$  for each  $t \in \{0, \dots, T\}$ , and hence  $\Gamma_t(v_t, \Delta^3)$  is well-defined.

Finally, since  $A \in \mathcal{F}_t$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_t(v_t, \Delta^3) &= \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} \left[ \left( \xi - v_t - \sum_{k=t}^{T-1} \Delta_k^3 (S_{k+1} - S_k) \right)^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right] = \mathbf{1}_A \Gamma_t(v_t, \Delta^1) + \mathbf{1}_{\Omega \setminus A} \Gamma_t(v_t, \Delta^2) \\ &= \min \{\Gamma_t(v_t, \Delta^1), \Gamma_t(v_t, \Delta^2)\}. \end{aligned}$$

2) Show that for fixed  $\Delta \in \mathcal{A}_2$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , the process  $(V_t(X_t^{x, \Delta}))_{t \in \{0, \dots, T\}}$  is an  $(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{P})$ -sub-martingale.

Fix  $t \in \{0, \dots, T\}$ , and  $k \in \{0, \dots, t\}$ . We apply 1) with  $v_t := X_t^{x, \Delta} \in \mathbb{L}^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{F}_t, \mathbb{P})$ , which, using results recalled in the Lecture Notes implies

$$\begin{aligned} V_t(X_t^{x, \Delta}) &= \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{\Delta' \in \mathcal{A}_2(t, 0)} \Gamma_t(X_t^{x, \Delta}, \Delta') \\ &= \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{\Delta' \in \mathcal{A}_2(t, 0)} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} \left[ \left( \xi - x - \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} \Delta_j (S_{j+1} - S_j) - \sum_{j=t}^{T-1} \Delta'_j (S_{j+1} - S_j) \right)^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right] \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \downarrow \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} \left[ \left( \xi - x - \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} \Delta_j (S_{j+1} - S_j) - \sum_{j=t}^{T-1} \Delta_j^n (S_{j+1} - S_j) \right)^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right], \end{aligned}$$

for a sequence  $(\Delta^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_2(t, 0) \subseteq \mathcal{A}_2(k, 0)$ . Note that  $\Gamma_t(X_t^{x, \Delta}, \Delta^n)$  is in  $\mathbb{L}^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{F}_t, \mathbb{P})$  due to the definitions of  $\Delta$ , and  $(\Delta^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . Then using monotone convergence, the tower property and  $(\Delta^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_2(t, 0) \subseteq \mathcal{A}_2(k, 0)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [V_t(X_t^{x, \Delta}) | \mathcal{F}_k] &= \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} \left[ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} \left[ \left( \xi - x - \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} \Delta_j (S_{j+1} - S_j) - \sum_{j=t}^{T-1} \Delta_j^n (S_{j+1} - S_j) \right)^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right] \middle| \mathcal{F}_k \right] \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} \left[ \left( \xi - x - \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} \Delta_j (S_{j+1} - S_j) - \sum_{j=t}^{T-1} \Delta_j^n (S_{j+1} - S_j) \right)^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_k \right] \\ &\geq \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{\Delta' \in \mathcal{A}_2(k, 0)} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} \left[ \left( \xi - x - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \Delta_j (S_{j+1} - S_j) - \sum_{j=k}^{T-1} \Delta'_j (S_{j+1} - S_j) \right)^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_k \right] \\ &= V_k(X_k^{x, \Delta}), \end{aligned}$$

and so we have the sub-martingale property. The integrability then follows from

$$V_T(X_T^{x,\Delta}) = (\xi - X_T^{x,\Delta})^2 \in \mathbb{L}^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{F}_T, \mathbb{P}).$$

3) Show that  $\Delta^* \in \mathcal{A}_2$  is optimal if and only if the process  $(V_t(X_t^{x,\Delta^*}))_{t \in \{0, \dots, T\}}$  is an  $(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{P})$ -martingale.

‘ $\implies$ ’: let  $\Delta^* \in \mathcal{A}_2$  be optimal. We already know that  $(V_t(X_t^{x,\Delta^*}))_{t \in \{0, \dots, T\}}$  is a sub-martingale. To show that it is a martingale, we thus only need to show that

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[V_T(X_T^{x,\Delta^*})] = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[V_0(x)].$$

By the optimality of  $\Delta^*$ , we have as in the Lecture Notes (notice that  $\mathcal{A}_2(0, \Delta)$  is independent of  $\Delta \in \mathcal{A}_2$ , and equal to  $\mathcal{A}_2$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[V_0(x)] &= \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} \left[ \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{\Delta \in \mathcal{A}_2(0)} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[(\xi - X_T^{x,\Delta})^2 | \mathcal{F}_0] \right] \\ &= \inf_{\vartheta \in \mathcal{A}_2} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[(\xi - X_T^{x,\Delta})^2] \\ &= \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[(\xi - X_T^{x,\Delta^*})^2] = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[V_T(X_T^{x,\Delta^*})]. \end{aligned}$$

This gives the desired equality.

‘ $\impliedby$ ’: suppose that  $(V_t(X_t^{x,\Delta^*}))_{t \in \{0, \dots, T\}}$  is a martingale. Then using  $V_T(X_T^{x,\Delta^*}) = (\xi - X_T^{x,\Delta^*})^2$  gives

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[V_0(x)] = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[V_T(X_T^{x,\Delta^*})] = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[(\xi - X_T^{x,\Delta^*})^2].$$

Moreover, the same argument as above shows that

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[V_0(x)] = \inf_{\Delta \in \mathcal{A}_2} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[(\xi - X_T^{x,\Delta})^2],$$

which implies that  $\Delta^*$  is optimal.

4) Show that the following recursion holds

$$V_{t-1}(x) = \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{\Delta' \in \mathcal{A}_2(t-1,0)} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} \left[ V_t(x + \Delta'_{t-1}(S_t - S_{t-1})) \middle| \mathcal{F}_{t-1} \right], \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}, t \in \{1, \dots, T\}, \text{ with } V_T(x) = (\xi - x)^2.$$

By part the previous questions, we have for every fixed  $\Delta' \in \mathcal{A}_2(t-1,0)$  that the process  $V_t(X_t^{x,\Delta'})$  is a sub-martingale. Hence, we get

$$V_{t-1}(x) = V_{t-1}(X_{t-1}^{x,\Delta'}) \leq \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[V_t(X_t^{x,\Delta'}) | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}] = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[V_t(x + \Delta'_{t-1}(S_t - S_{t-1})) | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}].$$

Taking the essinf on both sides leads to

$$V_{t-1}(x) \leq \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{\Delta' \in \mathcal{A}_2(t-1,0)} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[V_t(x + \Delta'_{t-1}(S_t - S_{t-1})) | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}].$$

To show the converse inequality, we fix  $\Delta \in \mathcal{A}_2(t-1,0)$  and then compute

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[V_t(X_t^{x,\Delta}) | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}] &\leq \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} \left[ \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} \left[ \left( \xi - (x + \Delta_{t-1}(S_t - S_{t-1})) - \sum_{j=t}^{T-1} \Delta_j(S_{j+1} - S_j) \right)^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right] \middle| \mathcal{F}_{t-1} \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} \left[ \left( \xi - x - \sum_{j=t-1}^{T-1} \Delta_j(S_{j+1} - S_j) \right)^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_{t-1} \right], \end{aligned}$$

where the inequality is obtained by observing that the strategy given by  $\tilde{\Delta}_j := 0$  for  $j \in \{0, \dots, t-1\}$  and  $\tilde{\Delta}_j := \Delta_j$  is in  $\mathcal{A}_2(t-1, 0)$ . Taking the essinf on both sides leads to

$$\operatorname{essinf}_{\Delta' \in \mathcal{A}_2(t-1, 0)} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [V_t(X_t^{x, \Delta}) | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}] \leq \operatorname{essinf}_{\Delta' \in \mathcal{A}_2(t-1, 0)} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} \left[ \left( \xi - x - \sum_{j=t-1}^{T-1} \Delta_j (S_{j+1} - S_j) \right)^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_{t-1} \right] = V_{t-1}(x).$$

Finally  $V_T(x) = (\xi - x)^2$  is clear by definition.

5) Prove by backward induction that for any  $t \in \{0, \dots, T\}$

$$V_t(x) = A_t x^2 + 2B_t x + C_t,$$

where  $A_t, B_t, C_t$  are  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -measurable random variables, with  $0 \leq A_t \leq 1$  and  $A_T = 1, B_T = -\xi, C_T = \xi^2$ .

For  $t = T$ , we have  $V_T(x) = (\xi - x)^2 = x^2 - 2\xi x + \xi^2$ . So  $A_T = 1, B_T = -\xi$ , and  $C_T = \xi^2$ , as announced.

**Induction step:** suppose that  $V_t(x) = A_t x^2 + 2B_t x + C_t$  with  $0 \leq A_t \leq 1$ . By the previous question, we need to compute

$$\begin{aligned} V_{t-1}(x) &= \operatorname{essinf}_{\Delta \in \mathcal{A}_2(t-1, 0)} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} \left[ V_t(x + \Delta'_{t-1}(S_t - S_{t-1})) \middle| \mathcal{F}_{t-1} \right] \\ &= \operatorname{essinf}_{\Delta \in \mathcal{A}_2(t-1, 0)} \left\{ \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} \left[ A_t (x + \Delta_{t-1}(S_t - S_{t-1}))^2 + 2B_t (x + \Delta_{t-1}(S_t - S_{t-1})) + C_t \middle| \mathcal{F}_{t-1} \right] \right\} \\ &= \operatorname{essinf}_{\Delta \in \mathcal{A}_2(t-1, 0)} \left\{ \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [A_t x^2 + 2B_t x + C_t | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}] + 2\Delta_{t-1} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [x A_t (S_t - S_{t-1}) + B_t (S_t - S_{t-1}) | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \Delta_{t-1}^2 \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [A_t (S_t - S_{t-1})^2 | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}] \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

This amounts to the optimisation over  $\Delta_{t-1}$  of a quadratic polynomial, and therefore depends on whether the leading coefficient is 0 or not.

On the event  $G_{t-1} := \{\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [A_t (S_t - S_{t-1})^2 | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}] = 0\}$ , we first observe by Cauchy–Schwarz’s inequality for conditional expectations that

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [A_t (S_t - S_{t-1}) | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}]^2 = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} \left[ \sqrt{A_t} \sqrt{A_t} (S_t - S_{t-1}) \middle| \mathcal{F}_{t-1} \right]^2 \leq \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [A_t | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}] \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [A_t (S_t - S_{t-1})^2 | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}] = 0.$$

On the other hand, note that  $B_t^2 \leq A_t C_t$  because  $V_t(x) \geq 0$ . This implies  $\{A_t = 0\} \subseteq \{B_t = 0\}$ , and thus

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [A_t (S_t - S_{t-1})^2 \mathbf{1}_{G_{t-1}}] = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} \left[ \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [A_t (S_t - S_{t-1})^2 | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}] \mathbf{1}_{G_{t-1}} \right] = 0.$$

Using  $A_t (S_t - S_{t-1})^2 \mathbf{1}_{G_{t-1}} \geq 0$ ,  $\mathbb{P}$ -a.s., we obtain  $A_t (S_t - S_{t-1})^2 \mathbf{1}_{G_{t-1}} = 0$ ,  $\mathbb{P}$ -a.s. Thus  $B_t (S_t - S_{t-1})^2 \mathbf{1}_{G_{t-1}} = 0$ ,  $\mathbb{P}$ -a.s., and hence  $B_t (S_t - S_{t-1}) \mathbf{1}_{G_{t-1}} = 0$ ,  $\mathbb{P}$ -a.s. This yields  $\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [B_t (S_t - S_{t-1}) | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}] \mathbf{1}_{G_{t-1}} = 0$ ,  $\mathbb{P}$ -a.s. To sum up, we have obtained the implication

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [A_t (S_t - S_{t-1})^2 | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}] = 0 \implies \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [A_t (S_t - S_{t-1}) | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}] = 0, \text{ and } \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [B_t (S_t - S_{t-1}) | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}] = 0.$$

Now the optimisation problem on  $G_{t-1}$  thus becomes

$$V_{t-1}(x) = \operatorname{essinf}_{\Delta \in \mathcal{A}_2(t-1, 0)} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [A_t x^2 + 2B_t x + C_t | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}] = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [A_t x^2 + 2B_t x + C_t | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}].$$

Thus  $V_{t-1}(x) = A_{t-1} x^2 + 2B_{t-1} x + C_{t-1}$ , with  $A_{t-1} = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [A_t | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}]$ ,  $B_{t-1} = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [B_t | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}]$ ,  $C_{t-1} = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [C_t | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}]$ . This yields  $0 \leq A_{t-1} \leq 1$ , and verifies the induction step. Moreover, since the objective functional does not depend on  $\Delta_{t-1}$ , we can choose it arbitrarily.

On  $\Omega \setminus G_{t-1} = \{\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [A_t (S_t - S_{t-1})^2 | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}] > 0\}$ , the optimiser is

$$\Delta_{t-1}^*(x) := - \frac{\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [(xA_t + B_t)(S_t - S_{t-1}) | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}]}{\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [A_t (S_t - S_{t-1})^2 | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}]}.$$

With the convention  $0/0 := 0$ , we ensure that  $\Delta_{t-1}^*(x)$  is well-defined on both  $G_{t-1}$  and  $\Omega \setminus G_{t-1}$ . Now substituting  $\Delta_{t-1}^*(x)$  in the above gives after some computations

$$\begin{aligned} V_{t-1}(x) &= x^2 \left( \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[A_t | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}] - \frac{(\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[A_t(S_t - S_{t-1}) | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}])^2}{\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[A_t(S_t - S_{t-1})^2 | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}]} \right) \\ &\quad + 2x \left( \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[B_t | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}] - \frac{\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[A_t(S_t - S_{t-1}) | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}] \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[B_t(S_t - S_{t-1}) | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}]}{\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[A_t(S_t - S_{t-1})^2 | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}]} \right) \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[C_t | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}] - \frac{(\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[B_t(S_t - S_{t-1}) | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}])^2}{\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[A_t(S_t - S_{t-1})^2 | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}]} \end{aligned}$$

We can thus set

$$\begin{aligned} A_{t-1} &:= \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[A_t | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}] - \frac{(\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[A_t(S_t - S_{t-1}) | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}])^2}{\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[A_t(S_t - S_{t-1})^2 | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}]}, \\ B_{t-1} &:= \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[B_t | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}] - \frac{\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[A_t(S_t - S_{t-1}) | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}] \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[B_t(S_t - S_{t-1}) | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}]}{\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[A_t(S_t - S_{t-1})^2 | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}]}, \\ C_{t-1} &:= \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[C_t | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}] - \frac{(\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[B_t(S_t - S_{t-1}) | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}])^2}{\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[A_t(S_t - S_{t-1})^2 | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}]}, \end{aligned}$$

and check that  $A_{t-1}$  takes values in  $(0, 1)$  by Cauchy–Schwarz’s inequality. This ends the proof.

6) Use the DPP to construct a candidate for an optimal strategy  $\Delta^*$ .

Note that by the DPP and what precedes, we have

$$\begin{aligned} V_{t-1}(v_{t-1}) &= \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{\Delta \in \mathcal{A}_2(t-1,0)} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[V_t(v_{t-1} + \Delta_{t-1}(S_t - S_{t-1}) | \mathcal{F}_{t-1})] \\ &= \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{\Delta \in \mathcal{A}_2(t-1,0)} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} \left[ A_t(v_{t-1} + \Delta_{t-1}(S_t - S_{t-1}))^2 + 2B_t(v_{t-1} + \Delta_{t-1}(S_t - S_{t-1})) + C_t \mid \mathcal{F}_{t-1} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Setting the differential w.r.t  $\Delta_{t-1}$  to 0, we see that the first order condition is

$$2\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[A_t(v_{t-1} + \Delta_{t-1}(S_t - S_{t-1}))(S_t - S_{t-1}) | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}] + 2\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[B_t(S_t - S_{t-1}) | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}] = 0.$$

Using the measurability of  $A_t$ ,  $B_t$ , and  $C_t$ , we get that the optimal  $\Delta_{t-1}^*(v_{t-1})$  for a given  $v_{t-1}$  is

$$\Delta_{t-1}^*(v_{t-1}) := - \frac{\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[B_t(S_t - S_{t-1}) | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}]}{\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[A_t(S_t - S_{t-1})^2 | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}]} - \frac{\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[A_t | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}]}{\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[A_t(S_t - S_{t-1})^2 | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}]} v_{t-1}.$$

We can thus proceed recursively and have

$$\Delta_t^* := - \frac{\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[B_t(S_t - S_{t-1}) | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}]}{\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[A_t(S_t - S_{t-1})^2 | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}]} - \frac{\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[A_t | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}]}{\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[A_t(S_t - S_{t-1})^2 | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}]} X_{t-1}^{x, \Delta^*}.$$

Thus  $\Delta^*$  give a candidate for an optimal strategy.