

Seminar in kinetic theory

Vlasov - Poisson equation

1. How do we get this equation from the mean field PDE ?

Recall the mean field PDE :

Section 3.1 or Thm 3.3.4.

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t f(t, z) + \operatorname{div}_z (f(t, z) \mathcal{H}(f(t, z))) = 0 & (t, z) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d \\ f(0, z) = f^{in}(z) \end{cases}$$

where $\mathcal{H}(f(t, z)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} k(z, z') f(t, z') dz'$, $k(z, z')$ is the interaction between two particles

Now in the case of Vlasov - Poisson we have

$z = (x, v) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ (we will mostly consider $d=2$ or $d=3$)

$$k(z, z') = k(x, v, x', v') = \left(v - v', C \frac{x - x'}{|x - x'|^d} \right)$$

$$\hookrightarrow \begin{cases} \dot{x} = v \\ \dot{v} = \sum F \end{cases}, \quad F(x, x') = C \frac{x - x'}{|x - x'|^d} \quad \text{is the Coulomb force}$$

Therefore we obtain :

$$\mathcal{H}(f(t, x, v)) = (v, -C \nabla_x \phi(t, x))$$

$$\text{with } -\Delta_x \phi(t, x) = C \rho(t, x) = C \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(t, x, v) dv \quad (\text{assume } C=1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} C \frac{x - x'}{|x - x'|^d} f(t, x, v) dx' dv' &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} C \frac{x - x'}{|x - x'|^d} \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(t, x, v) dv' dx'}_{= \rho(t, x')} \\ - \nabla \left(\frac{1}{|x|^{d-2}} \right) &= \frac{x}{|x|^d} \quad (\\ &= -\nabla_x \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} C \frac{1}{|x - x'|^{d-2}} \rho(t, x') dx' \\ &= -C \nabla_x \phi(t, x) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}_{x,v}(f(t,x,v) E(f(t,x,v))) &= \operatorname{div}_x(f(t,x,v) v) \\ e: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \Psi: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad \left. \right\} &\quad + \operatorname{div}_v(f(t,x,v)(-\nabla_x \phi(t,x,v))) \\ \operatorname{div}(e \Psi) = \nabla e \cdot \Psi + e \operatorname{div}(\Psi) \quad \left. \right\} &= v \cdot \nabla_x f(t,x,v) - \nabla_x \phi(t,x,v) \cdot \nabla_v f(t,x,v) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore ① becomes the Vlasov-Poisson equation,

$$② \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t f(t,x,v) + v \cdot \nabla_x f(t,x,v) - \nabla_x \phi(t,x) \cdot \nabla_v f(t,x,v) = 0 \quad (t,x,v) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \\ -\Delta_x \phi(t,x) = \rho(t,x) \\ f(0,x,v) = f^{in}(x,v) \end{array} \right.$$

- $f(t,x,v)$ is the distribution function (of electron) at time t , position x , and velocity v .
- $\rho(t,x,v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(t,x,v) dv$ is the density.
- $E(t,x) = -\nabla_x \phi(t,x)$ is the force field
"E is generated by the collective behaviour of all particles"

Remark ② is called the Vlasov-Poisson equation because the first line is a Vlasov type PDE and the second is the Poisson equation.
(The electric field in the Vlasov equation is given by the Poisson equation)

Goal: show that this system ② is well-posed. In the next weeks we will show the existence, (uniqueness) and regularity theory.

2. Elementary inequalities

Assumption on f : $f \rightarrow 0$ as $|x|, |\nu| \rightarrow \infty$

Definition The mass and the energy of the V-P systems are defined as follow :

$$M(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} f(t, x, \nu) dx d\nu$$

$$\mathcal{E}(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{2} |\nu|^2 f(t, x, \nu) dx d\nu + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{2} |\nabla_x \phi(t, x)|^2 dx$$

Proposition $\forall t \geq 0$ we have $M(t) = M(0) =: M^{in}$
 $\mathcal{E}(t) = \mathcal{E}(0) =: \mathcal{E}^{in}$

Proof

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} M(t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \partial_t f(t, x, \nu) dx d\nu \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} -\nu \cdot \nabla_x f(t, x, \nu) + \nabla_x \phi(t, x) \cdot \nabla_\nu f(t, x, \nu) dx d\nu \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} -\nu \cdot \nabla_x f(t, x, \nu) dx}_{=0} d\nu + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla_x \phi(t, x) \cdot \nabla_\nu f(t, x, \nu) dx}_{=0} d\nu \\ \text{Integration by parts: } \nabla_x \nu &= 0 & \text{Integration by parts: } \nabla_\nu (\nabla_x \phi(t, x)) &= 0 \\ \text{"boundary term} = 0 \text{" because } f &\xrightarrow{|x| \rightarrow \infty} 0 & \text{"boundary term} = 0 \text{" because } f &\xrightarrow{|\nu| \rightarrow \infty} 0 \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow M(t) = M(0)$$



Proposition $\forall p \in [1, \infty]$ $\|f(t)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)} = \|f^{in}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)}$

Positivity and maximum principle

If $0 \leq f^{in}(x, v) \leq M$ for a.e. $(x, v) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$,

then we have $0 \leq f(t, x, v) \leq M$ for a.e. $(x, v) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$, $t \geq 0$

Proposition (Interpolation inequality) $\left(\frac{1}{n} = \frac{\theta}{p} + \frac{1-\theta}{q} \text{ as } \|f\|_{L^n} \leq \|f\|_{L^p}^\theta \|f\|_{L^q}^{1-\theta} \right)$

For each $0 \leq n \leq m$ $\exists C = C(d, n, m)$ s.t.

$$\left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |v|^n f(t, \cdot, v) dv \right\|_{L_x^{\frac{m+d}{n+d}}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C \|f(t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)}^{\frac{m-n}{m+d}} \left\| |v|^m f(t) \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)}^{\frac{n+d}{m+d}}$$

Definition We define the moment of order n in the variable v as

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |v|^n f(t, x, v) dx dv.$$

Example Let assume $f^{in} \geq 0$, $f^{in} \in L^1 \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$, then

$$\|f(t)\|_{L_x^{\frac{m+d}{d}}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C \underbrace{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |v|^m f(t, x, v) dx dv \right)^{\frac{d}{m+d}}}_{\text{moments of order } m \text{ of the distribution}}$$

(apply the proposition with $n=0$ and use $\|f(t)\|_{L^\infty} = \|f^{in}\|_{L^\infty} \leq C$)

Proof (idea)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |v|^n f(t, x, v) dv &= \int_{|v| \leq R} |v|^n f(t, x, v) dv + \int_{|v| > R} |v|^n f(t, x, v) dv \\ &\leq \|f(t)\|_{L_{x,v}^\infty} R^n |B(0, R)| + \int_{|v| > R} \frac{|v|^{m-n}}{R^{m-n}} |v|^n f(t, x, v) dv \\ &\leq \underbrace{\|f^{in}\|_{L_{x,v}^\infty} C}_A R^{n+d} + R^{n-m} \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |v|^m f(t, x, v) dv}_B \\ \text{we want: } AR^{\frac{n+d}{d}} &= BR^{n-m} \\ \Rightarrow R &= \left(\frac{B}{A}\right)^{\frac{1}{n+d}} \end{aligned}$$

$$= C \|f^{in}\|_{L_{x,v}^\infty}^{\frac{m-n}{m+d}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |v|^m f(t, x, v) dv \right)^{\frac{n+d}{m+d}}$$

$$\text{by choosing } R = C \|f^{in}\|_{L_{x,v}^\infty}^{\frac{-1}{n+d}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |v|^m f(t, x, v) dv \right)^{\frac{1}{n+d}}$$

and then take $L_x^{\frac{m+d}{n+d}}$ on both sides. □

Estimates on the density

Proposition Let assume $f^{in} \geq 0$ a.e., $f^{in} \in L^1 \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$

$$\text{and } \mathcal{E}^{in} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{2} |v|^2 f^{in}(x, v) dx dv + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{2} |E^{in}(x)|^2 dx < \infty.$$

Then $\forall 1 \leq p \leq \frac{d+2}{d}$, $\rho(t) \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$\text{i.e. } \exists C > 0 \text{ s.t. } \|\rho(t)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C.$$

Proof We show $\rho(t) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\rho(t) \in L^{\frac{d+2}{d}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$\Rightarrow \rho(t) \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d) \quad \forall 1 \leq p \leq \frac{d+2}{d} \quad (\text{Interpolation})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\rho(t)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho(t, x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(t, x, v) dv dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} f^{in}(x, v) dx dv = M^{in} < \infty \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\rho(t)\|_{L^{\frac{d+2}{d}}(\mathbb{R}^d)} &\stackrel{\substack{k=0, m=2 \\ \text{Interpolation Inequality}}}{\leq} C \| |v|^2 f \|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)}^{\frac{d}{d+2}} = C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |v|^2 f(t, x, v) dx dv \right)^{\frac{d}{d+2}} \\ &\leq C (\mathcal{E}(t))^{\frac{d}{d+2}} = C (\mathcal{E}^{in})^{\frac{d}{d+2}} < \infty \end{aligned}$$

Remark in dimension 2 and 3 we get $\rho(t) \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for

$$p \in \begin{cases} [1, 2] & d=2 \\ \left[1, \frac{5}{3}\right] & d=3 \end{cases}$$

Estimates on the force field

$$E(t, x) = -\nabla_x \phi(t, x) \quad , \quad -\Delta \phi(t, x) = g(t, x)$$

Definition We define the Green's function $G_d : \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for the operator $-\Delta$ as

$$G_d(x) = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{2\pi} \ln(|x|) & d=2 \\ \frac{1}{C(d)} \frac{1}{|x|^{d-2}} & d \geq 3 \end{cases} \quad C(d) = (d-2) |B_1(0)|$$

G_d is also called the fundamental solution of the Laplace equation and G_d satisfies $-\Delta G_d = \delta_0$.

Furthermore, we know $\phi(t, x) = G_d * g(t, x)$ is the solution of the Poisson equation.

$$\text{Hence } E(t, x) = -\nabla \phi(t, x) = -\nabla G_d * g(t, x)$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} C \frac{x - x'}{|x - x'|^d} g(t, x') dx' \quad \Rightarrow \quad -\nabla G_d = C \frac{x}{|x|^d}$$

Proposition Let $p, q > 0$ s.t. $1 + \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{d-1}{d}$ ($\Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{d}$, $1 < p < d$)

$$\text{Then } \|E(t)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C \|g(t)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}$$

$$\text{Proof Write } \|E(t)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \|\nabla W * g(t)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}$$

And use the weak Young inequality for convolution, namely

$$\|f * g\|_{L^q} \leq C \|f\|_{L^{1, n}} \|g\|_{L^p} \quad \text{with } 1 + \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{n}, \quad 1 < p, q, n < \infty$$

and $\|\cdot\|_{L^{1, n}}$ is the weak L^n space, $\|f\|_{L^{1, n}} = \sup_{\sigma > 0} \sigma |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : |f(x)| > \sigma\}|^{\frac{1}{p}}$

where $|\{\dots\}|$ is the Lebesgue measure of the set $\{\dots\}$.

And we show that $\nabla G_d \in L^{\frac{d}{d-1}, n}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla G_d\|_{L^{\frac{d}{d-1}}, w(\mathbb{R}^d)} &\stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \sup_{\delta > 0} \delta | \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : |\nabla G_d| > \delta\}|^{\frac{d-1}{d}} \\
&= \sup_{\delta > 0} \delta | \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \left| \frac{1}{|x|^{d-1}} \right| > \delta\}|^{\frac{d-1}{d}} \\
&= \sup_{\delta > 0} \delta | \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : |x| < \left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right)^{\frac{1}{d-1}}\}|^{\frac{d-1}{d}} \\
&= \sup_{\delta > 0} \delta |B(0, (\frac{1}{\delta})^{\frac{1}{d-1}})|^{\frac{d-1}{d}} \\
&= \sup_{\delta > 0} \delta |(\frac{1}{\delta})^{\frac{d}{d-1}} B(0, 1)|^{\frac{d-1}{d}} \\
&= \sup_{\delta > 0} \delta \cdot \frac{1}{\delta} |B(0, 1)|^{\frac{d-1}{d}} \leq C
\end{aligned}$$

) $|\nabla G_d| = \left| \frac{x}{|x|^{d-1}} \right|$

) ball of radius $(\frac{1}{\delta})^{\frac{1}{d-1}}$

) $|B(0, R)| = R^d |B(0, 1)|$

$$\Rightarrow \nabla G_d \in L^{\frac{d}{d-1}, w}$$

□

$$\text{Corollary } \|E(t)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C \quad \text{for } \frac{d}{d-1} < q \leq \frac{d(d+2)}{(d-2)(d+1)}.$$

$$\text{i.e. } E \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^d) \quad \text{for } q \in \begin{cases} (2, \infty] & \text{if } d=2 \\ (\frac{3}{2}, \frac{15}{4}] & \text{if } d=3 \end{cases}$$

We can also obtain estimates on $D_x E(t, x) = D^2 G_d * g(t, x)$

First we can compute

$$(D^2 G_d)_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{-x_i x_j}{|x|^{d+2}} & i \neq j \\ \frac{x_i^2 - \frac{1}{d} |x|^2}{|x|^{d+2}} & i = j \end{cases} \quad (\text{modulo some constant})$$

and we can show that $(D^2 G_d)_{ij}$ is a Calderón-Zygmund kernel and apply the C-Z. continuity Thm for singular integrals, namely

$$\|k * f\|_{L^p} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p} \quad \text{for } 1 < p < \infty$$

$$\Rightarrow \|D_x E(t)\|_{L^p} = \|D_x^2 G_d * g(t)\|_{L^p} \leq \|g(t)\|_{L^p} \quad \forall 1 < p < \infty$$

$$\text{and } \|D_x E(t)\|_{L^p} \leq \|g(t)\|_{L^p} \leq C \quad \forall 1 < p \leq \frac{d+2}{d}$$