# Exercise sheet 2 with solutions

# Rough Path Theory

## Andrew L. Allan

### Spring 2021

### Problem 1

Let  $\beta \in (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}]$ , and let  $\mathbf{X} = (X, \mathbb{X}) \in \mathscr{C}^{\beta}$  be a (for simplicity one-dimensional)  $\beta$ -Hölder rough path. Let B be a one-dimensional standard Brownian motion, and let

$$\mathbb{B}_{s,t} = \int_s^t B_{s,r} \, \mathrm{d}B_r$$

be the Itô rough path lift of B.

Note that, since X is a continuous deterministic path,  $\int_s^t X_{s,r} dB_r$  is a well-defined Itô integral. It is not clear that one can directly define the integral of B against X. However, by imposing integration by parts, we can define

$$\int_s^t B_{s,r} \, \mathrm{d}X_r := X_{s,t} B_{s,t} - \int_s^t X_{s,r} \, \mathrm{d}B_r.$$

Let

$$Z_t = \begin{pmatrix} X_t \\ B_t \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \mathbb{Z}_{s,t} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{X}_{s,t} & \int_s^t X_{s,r} \, \mathrm{d}B_r \\ \int_s^t B_{s,r} \, \mathrm{d}X_r & \mathbb{B}_{s,t} \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Part** (a) Show that  $\mathbf{Z} = (Z, \mathbb{Z})$  satisfies Chen's relation almost surely.

**Part (b)** Let q > 2. Show that

$$\left\|\int_{s}^{t} X_{s,r} \, \mathrm{d}B_{r}\right\|_{L^{q/2}} \le C|t-s|^{\frac{1}{2}+\beta}, \qquad \left\|\int_{s}^{t} B_{s,r} \, \mathrm{d}X_{r}\right\|_{L^{q/2}} \le C|t-s|^{\frac{1}{2}+\beta}$$

for some constant C.

**Part (c)** Use the Kolmogorov criterion for rough paths to show that **Z** is an  $\alpha$ -Hölder rough path for any  $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{3}, \beta)$ .

#### Solution:

Part (a) We already know that  $(Z^1, \mathbb{Z}^{11}) = (X, \mathbb{X})$  and  $(Z^2, \mathbb{Z}^{22}) = (B, \mathbb{B})$  satisfy Chen's relation. It remains to check the cross terms. This is not difficult. The calculation for  $\mathbb{Z}^{21}$  is made easier by first noticing that

$$\int_{s}^{t} B_{s,r} \, \mathrm{d}X_{r} = X_{s,t} B_{s,t} - \int_{s}^{t} X_{s,r} \, \mathrm{d}B_{r}$$
$$= \int_{s}^{t} X_{s,t} \, \mathrm{d}B_{r} - \int_{s}^{t} X_{s,r} \, \mathrm{d}B_{r} = \int_{s}^{t} X_{r,t} \, \mathrm{d}B_{r}.$$
(1)

Part (b) By the Burkholder–Davis–Gundy inequality, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\int_{s}^{t} X_{s,r} \,\mathrm{d}B_{r}\right|^{\frac{q}{2}}\right] \lesssim \left(\int_{s}^{t} (X_{s,r})^{2} \,\mathrm{d}r\right)^{\frac{q}{4}} \lesssim \left(\int_{s}^{t} |r-s|^{2\beta} \,\mathrm{d}r\right)^{\frac{q}{4}} \lesssim |t-s|^{(1+2\beta)\frac{q}{4}},$$

and hence that

$$\left\| \int_{s}^{t} X_{s,r} \, \mathrm{d}B_{r} \right\|_{L^{q/2}} \lesssim |t-s|^{\frac{1}{2}+\beta}.$$

Using the expression on the right-hand side of (1), the second estimate follows by a nearly identical argument.

Part (c) It is clear that  $||X_{s,t}||_{L^q} \leq |t-s|^{\beta}$  and  $||\mathbb{X}_{s,t}||_{L^{q/2}} \leq |t-s|^{2\beta}$ , and it was shown in the lectures that  $||B_{s,t}||_{L^q} \leq |t-s|^{\frac{1}{2}}$  and  $||\mathbb{B}_{s,t}||_{L^{q/2}} \leq |t-s|$ . Combining these estimates with the ones in the previous part, we have that

$$||Z_{s,t}||_{L^q} \lesssim |t-s|^{\beta}, \qquad ||\mathbb{Z}_{s,t}||_{L^{q/2}} \lesssim |t-s|^{2\beta}$$

It then follows from the Kolmogorov criterion for rough paths that  $\mathbf{Z}$  is an  $\alpha$ -Hölder rough path for any  $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{3}, \beta - \frac{1}{q})$ . It remains to let  $q \to \infty$ .

## Problem 2

Let  $\alpha, \gamma \in (0, 1]$  such that  $\alpha(1 + \gamma) > 1$ . Let  $X \in \mathcal{C}^{\alpha}([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $f \in C^{1+\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R})$ . Prove that  $\int_0^T Df(X_u) \, \mathrm{d}X_u$  is a well-defined Young integral, and that

$$f(X_T) = f(X_0) + \int_0^T Df(X_u) \,\mathrm{d}X_u$$

Solution:

We first note that, since  $Df \in C^{\gamma}$ , we have

$$|Df(X_t) - Df(X_s)| \lesssim |X_{s,t}|^{\gamma} \lesssim |t - s|^{\alpha \gamma},$$

so that  $Df(X) \in \mathcal{C}^{\alpha\gamma}$ . Since  $\alpha + \alpha\gamma > 1$ , we know that the Young integral

$$\int_0^T Df(X_u) \, \mathrm{d}X_u = \lim_{|\pi| \to 0} \sum_{[s,t] \in \pi} Df(X_s) X_{s,t}$$

exists. We have

$$f(X_t) - f(X_s) - Df(X_s)X_{s,t} = \int_0^1 \left( Df(X_s + rX_{s,t}) - Df(X_s) \right) X_{s,t} \, \mathrm{d}r,$$

and hence

$$\left| f(X_t) - f(X_s) - Df(X_s) X_{s,t} \right| \lesssim |X_{s,t}|^{1+\gamma}$$

Let  $\pi$  be a partition of [0, T]. Then

$$\left| f(X_T) - f(X_0) - \int_0^T Df(X_u) \, \mathrm{d}X_u \right| = \lim_{|\pi| \to 0} \left| \sum_{[s,t] \in \pi} \left( f(X_t) - f(X_s) - Df(X_s) X_{s,t} \right) \right|$$
  
$$\lesssim \lim_{|\pi| \to 0} \sum_{[s,t] \in \pi} |X_{s,t}|^{1+\gamma}$$
  
$$\lesssim \lim_{|\pi| \to 0} \sum_{[s,t] \in \pi} |t - s|^{\alpha(1+\gamma)} = 0.$$

#### Problem 3

Let  $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}]$  and  $X \in \mathcal{C}^{\alpha}$ . Convince yourself that the space  $\mathscr{D}_X^{2\alpha}$  of controlled paths with respect to X, when equipped with the norm

$$||Y,Y'||_{\mathscr{D}^{2\alpha}_X} = |Y_0| + |Y'_0| + ||Y'||_{\alpha} + ||R^Y||_{2\alpha},$$

becomes a Banach space.

Solution: Easy.

## Problem 4

For some  $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}]$ , let  $F \in \mathcal{C}^{2\alpha}$  be a  $2\alpha$ -Hölder continuous path, and let  $\mathbf{X} = (X, \mathbb{X}) \in \mathscr{C}^{\alpha}$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{X}} = (\tilde{X}, \tilde{\mathbb{X}}) \in \mathscr{C}^{\alpha}$  be two rough paths such that

$$\tilde{X}_t = X_t, \qquad \tilde{X}_{s,t} = X_{s,t} + F_{s,t} \qquad \text{for all} \quad s \le t$$

Let  $(Y, Y') \in \mathscr{D}_X^{2\alpha} = \mathscr{D}_{\tilde{X}}^{2\alpha}$ . Show that

$$\int_0^T Y_u \,\mathrm{d}\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_u = \int_0^T Y_u \,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{X}_u + \int_0^T Y_u' \,\mathrm{d}F_u.$$

Solution:

Since  $Y' \in \mathcal{C}^{\alpha}$  and  $F \in \mathcal{C}^{2\alpha}$ , and  $\alpha + 2\alpha > 1$ , we know that

$$\int_0^T Y'_u \,\mathrm{d}F_u = \lim_{|\pi| \to 0} \sum_{[s,t] \in \pi} Y'_s F_{s,t}$$

exists as a Young integral. We have

$$\int_0^T Y_u \,\mathrm{d}\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_u = \lim_{|\pi| \to 0} \sum_{[s,t] \in \pi} Y_s \tilde{X}_{s,t} + Y'_s \tilde{\mathbb{X}}_{s,t}$$
$$= \lim_{|\pi| \to 0} \sum_{[s,t] \in \pi} Y_s X_{s,t} + Y'_s \mathbb{X}_{s,t} + Y'_s F_{s,t}$$
$$= \int_0^T Y_u \,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{X}_u + \int_0^T Y'_u \,\mathrm{d}F_u.$$

## Problem 5

Let  $\frac{1}{3} < \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$  and  $0 < \beta \leq \alpha$  such that  $2\alpha + \beta > 1$ , and define  $\gamma = \alpha + \beta$ . Let  $X \in \mathcal{C}^{\alpha}$ . Let's say that a pair (Y, Y') is a  $(\beta, \gamma)$ -controlled path if  $Y \in \mathcal{C}^{\alpha}$ ,  $Y' \in \mathcal{C}^{\beta}$  and  $R^{Y} \in \mathcal{C}^{\gamma}_{2}$ , where  $R^{Y}$  is defined by

$$Y_{s,t} = Y'_{s}X_{s,t} + R^{Y}_{s,t}.$$

**Part (a)** Let  $f \in C^{1+\beta/\alpha}$ . Show that (f(X), Df(X)) is a  $(\beta, \gamma)$ -controlled path.

**Part (b)** Let  $\mathbf{X} = (X, \mathbb{X}) \in \mathscr{C}^{\alpha}$  be a rough path, and let (Y, Y') be a  $(\beta, \gamma)$ -controlled path. Use the sewing lemma to prove that the limit

$$\int_0^t Y_u \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{X}_u := \lim_{|\pi| \to 0} \sum_{[u,v] \in \pi} Y_u X_{u,v} + Y'_u \mathbb{X}_{u,v}$$

exists.

Solution:

Part (a) It is clear that  $f(X) \in \mathcal{C}^{\alpha}$ . We have  $|Df(X_t) - Df(X_s)| \leq |X_{s,t}|^{\beta/\alpha} \leq |t-s|^{\beta}$ , so that  $Df(X) \in \mathcal{C}^{\beta}$ . Let

$$R_{s,t}^{f(X)} := f(X_t) - f(X_s) - Df(X_s)X_{s,t}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} |R_{s,t}^{f(X)}| &= \left| f(X_t) - f(X_s) - Df(X_s) X_{s,t} \right| \\ &= \left| \int_0^1 \left( Df(X_s + rX_{s,t}) - Df(X_s) \right) X_{s,t} \, \mathrm{d}r \right| \\ &\lesssim |X_{s,t}|^{1+\beta/\alpha} \lesssim |t-s|^{\gamma}, \end{aligned}$$

so that  $R^{f(X)} \in \mathcal{C}_2^{\gamma}$ .

Part (b) Let  $A_{s,t} = Y_s X_{s,t} + Y'_s X_{s,t}$ , and let  $\delta A_{s,u,t} = A_{s,t} - A_{s,u} - A_{u,t}$  for  $s \leq u \leq t$ . Exactly as in the lectures, one can show using Chen's relation that

$$\delta A_{s,u,t} = -R_{s,u}^Y X_{u,t} - Y_{s,u}' \mathbb{X}_{u,t},$$

and hence

$$|\delta A_{s,u,t}| = |R_{s,u}^Y X_{u,t} + Y_{s,u}' X_{u,t}| \lesssim |t-s|^{\gamma+\alpha} + |t-s|^{\beta+2\alpha}$$

Since  $\gamma + \alpha = \beta + 2\alpha > 1$ , it follows from the sewing lemma that the desired limit does indeed exist.