

Chapter VI

Dimension : introduction

Goal: define an invariant of a ring, which measures in some way its "complexity" and geometrically corresponds to the "dimension" of the zero set of polynomials (f_i) over a field K when $R = K[x_1, \dots, x_n] / (f_1, \dots, f_m)$.

1 - Definition

ACL 8.3

Def. [Krull dimension]

Let R be a ring.

(1) For $k \geq 0$ integer, a prime chain of length

k in R is a family

$$p_0 \subsetneq p_1 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq p_k$$

of prime ideals in R ; we say that this

chain is from p_0 to p_k .

(2) Let $\mathfrak{p} \subset R$ be a prime ideal. The height of \mathfrak{p} is either the integer $h \in \mathbb{N}$ such that there exists a prime chain in R of length h ending at \mathfrak{p} and no such chain of length $h+1$; or it is $h = +\infty$.

We denote $ht(\mathfrak{p})$ the height of \mathfrak{p} .

(3) The Krull dimension of R is the supremum, in $\mathbb{N} \cup \{+\infty\}$ of the lengths of all prime chains in R . It is denoted $\dim(R)$.

(For $R \neq \{0\}$, $\dim(R) = \sup_{\substack{\mathfrak{p} \subset R \\ \text{prime}}} ht(\mathfrak{p}).$)

2. First examples

Prop. R field $\Leftrightarrow (R \text{ integral domain and } \dim R = 0)$

Proof - If R is a field then $\{0\} \subset R$ is the only prime ideal, so it has height 0, so $\dim R = 0$.

Conversely, if R is an integral domain of dimension 0, then $\{0\} \subset R$ is prime

and is maximal [else $\{0\} \subsetneq \mathfrak{m}$ would give a chain of length 1], so R is local with $R^\times = R - \{0\}$, i.e., R is a field.

□

Remark. Let $R = K_1 \times K_2$ with K_1, K_2 fields. This is an example of zero-dimensional ring which is not a field.

Later we will classify noetherian zero-dim. rings (these are the "artinian rings").

Prop. If R is a PID, not a field,

Then $\dim R = 1$, but not conversely, even for integral domains.

Proof. Since R is not a field, there

is a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \neq \{0\}$, and

$$\{0\} \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}$$

shows that $\dim R \geq 1$.

[Or use the previous proposition].

Suppose now that

$$\{0\} \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_1 \subset \mathfrak{p}_2$$

are prime ideals. Since R is a PID, we can find irreducible elements x_1, x_2 s.t.

$\mathfrak{p}_i = x_i R$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then

$$x_1 R \subset x_2 R$$

implies that $x_2 \mid x_1$, so $x_2 = ux_1$

for some unit $u \in R^\times$ since x_1 is irreducible

and x_2 is not a unit. So $\mathfrak{p}_2 = \mathfrak{p}_1$,

and this shows that there is no longer

chain than those of the form $\{0\} \subset \mathfrak{p}$.

□

In particular, examples 1 and 2 show that for a field K , we have

$$\dim K = 0$$

$$\dim K[x] = 1$$

The following result is then maybe not surprising:

Theorem - Let K be a field and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ has finite dimension equal to n . In fact, more generally, if R is a noetherian ring, then

$$\dim R[x_1, \dots, x_n] = n + \dim R$$

It is easy to check the lower bound

$$\dim R[x_1, \dots, x_n] \geq n + \dim(R)$$

but the converse is more involved, and we will only prove this for fields in a later chapter.

Proof (of \geq): let $A = R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$
let $p_0 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq p_m$ be

any prime chain in R ; then we get

$$p_0 A \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq p_m A \subsetneq p_m A + X_1 A \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq p_m A + (x_1, \dots, x_n) A$$

which is a prime chain in A of length $m+n$. [The various terms are prime ideals, e.g. we have

$$R[x_1, \dots, x_n] / \mathfrak{p}_i \xrightarrow{\sim} \underbrace{(R/\mathfrak{p}_i)[x_1, \dots, x_n]}_{\text{integral domain}}$$

and

$$R[x_1, \dots, x_n] / \mathfrak{p}_{m+j}(x_1, \dots, x_j) \xrightarrow{\sim} \underbrace{(R/\mathfrak{p}_m)[x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n]}_{\text{integral domain}}$$

for $1 \leq j \leq n$.

□

Remark. One must restrict to prime chains because otherwise we would get a useless

invariant, almost always equal to $+\infty$. [For

instance $2^m \mathbb{Z} \subset 2^{m-1} \mathbb{Z} \subset \dots \subset 2\mathbb{Z}$.]

Proposition. Let $\mathfrak{p} \subset R$ be prime.

(1) We have $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) = \dim(R_{\mathfrak{p}})$

(2) We have

$$\dim(R_{\mathfrak{p}}) + \dim(R/\mathfrak{p}) \leq \dim R.$$

Proof - (1) Let $s: R \rightarrow R_p$ be the localisation map. We know that there is an order-preserving bijection

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \{ q \subset R_p \text{ prime} \} \longrightarrow \{ \tilde{q} \subset R \\ \text{prime} \} \\ q \longmapsto s^{-1}(q) \end{array} \right.$$

which formally implies that prime chains in R_p correspond to prime chains in R which end in an ideal in \mathfrak{p} . Any such chain can be, if needed, lengthened to include the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{p}R_p$ / the prime ideal \mathfrak{p} , and the conclusion $\dim(R_p) = \text{ht}(\mathfrak{p})$ follows.

(2) Let $s: R \rightarrow R_p$ and $\pi: R \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{p}$ be the usual morphisms. Then for any prime chains $\mathfrak{p}_0 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_m = \mathfrak{p}R_p \subset R_p$ and $\{0\} = \mathfrak{q}_0 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq \mathfrak{q}_h \subset R/\mathfrak{p}$

we have the prime chain

$$\begin{aligned} s^{-1}(p_0) \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq s^{-1}(p_m) = \mathfrak{p} \\ = \pi^{-1}(q_0) \subsetneq \pi^{-1}(q_1) \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq \pi^{-1}(q_k), \end{aligned}$$

which has length $m+k$. [We can assume

that $p_m = \mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}$, $q_0 = \{0\}$, because these are

unique maximal / minimal prime ideals, so any

prime chain can be extended to include them to

compute dimensions].

□

Note - One does not always have equality

in (2), but it happens for most rings; in parti-

-cular, we will see in Chapter IX that if R is a finitely-

generated algebra over a field which is an integral domain.

Example - Let R be a UFD. Let $\{0\} \neq \mathfrak{p} \subset R$

be prime. Then $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) = 1 \iff \mathfrak{p}$ is princi-

-pal:

\Rightarrow : There is some irreducible $a \in \mathfrak{p}$

[indeed, choose $a \in \mathfrak{p} - \{0\}$ expressible as a product of the fewest possible number of irreducible elements; then we cannot factor a non-trivially, as one factor would be in \mathfrak{p} and involve fewer irreducibles, so a is irreducible], and $\{0\} \subsetneq aR \subset \mathfrak{p}$, so $aR = \mathfrak{p}$ since $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) = 1$.

\Leftarrow : let $\mathfrak{p} = aR$ be prime, so a is an irreducible element; we get $\{0\} \subsetneq aR$. If there is a prime ideal \mathfrak{p} with

$$\{0\} \subsetneq \mathfrak{p} \subset aR$$

We must have $a \in \mathfrak{p}$. Indeed, otherwise, let $b \neq 0$ be an element of \mathfrak{p} ; we can write

$$b = a^d b'$$

where b' is not divisible by a ; since \mathfrak{p} is prime we get $b' \in \mathfrak{p}$, but $b' \notin aR$, a

contradiction. Now $a \in p$ implies $aR \subset p$
so $p = aR$. Therefore $\text{ht}(p) = 1$.

□

Remark. We will also prove later two important related results:

(1) [Krull's Principal Ideal Theorem] If R is noetherian and $a \in R$ is not a zero-divisor, then there are minimal prime ideals $p \subset R$ such that $a \in p$, and all these satisfy $\text{ht}(p) = 1$.

(2) A noetherian integral domain R is a UFD if and only if every prime ideal $p \subset R$ of height 1 is principal.

Note. There exist examples of infinite-dim. noetherian rings (Nagata). On the other hand, one can show that if R is noetherian and local, then it has finite dimension.