

## Solutions Exercise Sheet 6

---

**Exercise 1** (Isomorphism theorems for Lie algebras). Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra.

a) Let  $\mathfrak{h} \trianglelefteq \mathfrak{g}$  be an ideal. Show that

$$[X + \mathfrak{h}, Y + \mathfrak{h}] := [X, Y] + \mathfrak{h}$$

defines a Lie algebra structure on  $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$ .

**Solution.** All we need to show is that the bracket defined above is well-defined. All the Lie algebra properties will then be inherited from  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Now let  $X, X', Y, Y' \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $U, V \in \mathfrak{h}$  such that  $X' = X + U$  and  $Y' = Y + V$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} [X' + \mathfrak{h}, Y' + \mathfrak{h}] &= [X + U, Y + V] + \mathfrak{h} \\ &= [X, Y] + \underbrace{[X, V] + [U, Y] + [U, V]}_{\in \mathfrak{h}} + \mathfrak{h} \\ &= [X, Y] + \mathfrak{h}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that the Lie bracket is well-defined.

b) Show that if  $\varphi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$  is a Lie algebra homomorphism then

$$\mathfrak{g}/\ker\varphi \cong \operatorname{im}\varphi$$

as Lie algebras.

**Solution.** Let us first see that  $\ker\varphi$  is an ideal in  $\mathfrak{g}$  whence  $\mathfrak{g}/\ker\varphi$  has indeed a Lie algebra structure. Let  $X \in \ker\varphi$  and let  $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ . Then

$$\varphi([X, Y]) = [\varphi(X), \varphi(Y)] = [0, \varphi(Y)] = 0$$

whence  $[X, Y] \in \ker\varphi$ . This shows that  $\ker\varphi \trianglelefteq \mathfrak{g}$  is an ideal.

Clearly,  $\operatorname{im}\varphi \leq \mathfrak{h}$  is a Lie subalgebra. We claim that  $\psi : \mathfrak{g}/\ker\varphi \rightarrow \operatorname{im}\varphi$  defined by

$$\psi(X + \ker\varphi) = \varphi(X)$$

is a well-defined Lie algebra isomorphism. We have

$$\begin{aligned} X + \ker\varphi = Y + \ker\varphi &\iff X - Y \in \ker\varphi \iff \varphi(X) = \varphi(Y) \\ &\iff \psi(X) = \psi(Y) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ . This proves that  $\psi$  is well-defined and injective. Surjectivity is immediate from the definition. Finally,  $\psi$  is a Lie algebra homomorphism since

$$\begin{aligned}\psi([X + \ker\varphi, Y + \ker\varphi]) &= \psi([X, Y] + \ker\varphi) = \varphi([X, Y]) \\ &= [\varphi(X), \varphi(Y)] \\ &= [\psi(X + \ker\varphi), \psi(Y + \ker\varphi)]\end{aligned}$$

for all  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ .

c) Let  $\mathfrak{h} \subseteq \mathfrak{J}$  be ideals of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Show that

$$\mathfrak{J}/\mathfrak{h} \trianglelefteq \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h} \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})/(\mathfrak{J}/\mathfrak{h}) \cong \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{J}.$$

**Solution.** Observe that because  $\mathfrak{h} \trianglelefteq \mathfrak{g}$  also  $\mathfrak{h} \trianglelefteq \mathfrak{J}$ . Consider the homomorphism  $\varphi : \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{J}$  given by

$$\varphi(X + \mathfrak{h}) = X + \mathfrak{J}.$$

This is a well-defined homomorphism since  $\mathfrak{h} \trianglelefteq \mathfrak{J}$ . Let  $X + \mathfrak{h} \in \ker\varphi$ . Then

$$\mathfrak{J} = \varphi(X + \mathfrak{h}) = X + \mathfrak{J} \iff X \in \mathfrak{J},$$

i.e.  $\ker\varphi = \mathfrak{J}/\mathfrak{h}$ . As we have seen in part a) kernels of Lie algebra homomorphisms are ideals whence  $\mathfrak{J}/\mathfrak{h} \trianglelefteq \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$  and again by part a)

$$(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})/(\mathfrak{J}/\mathfrak{h}) \cong \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{J}.$$

d) Let  $\mathfrak{h}$  and  $\mathfrak{J}$  be ideals of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Show that  $\mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{J}$  and  $\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{J}$  are ideals in  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and that

$$\mathfrak{h}/(\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{J}) \cong (\mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{J})/\mathfrak{J}.$$

**Solution.** Observe that  $\mathfrak{J} \trianglelefteq \mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{J}$  because  $\mathfrak{J} \trianglelefteq \mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $X \in \mathfrak{h}$ ,  $Y \in \mathfrak{J}$  and  $Z \in \mathfrak{g}$ . Then

$$[Z, X + Y] = [Z, X] + [Z, Y] \in \mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{J}.$$

This proves that  $\mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{J} \trianglelefteq \mathfrak{g}$  is an ideal

Consider the map  $\varphi : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow (\mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{J})/\mathfrak{J}$  given by

$$\varphi(X) = X + \mathfrak{J}.$$

We have

$$X \in \ker\varphi \iff X + \mathfrak{J} = \mathfrak{J} \iff X \in \mathfrak{J} \cap \mathfrak{h},$$

whence  $\ker\varphi = \mathfrak{J} \cap \mathfrak{h}$ . Therefore  $\mathfrak{J} \cap \mathfrak{h}$  is an ideal in  $\mathfrak{h}$ .

Finally,  $\varphi$  is surjective: Let  $X + Y + \mathfrak{J} \in (\mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{J})/\mathfrak{J}$ . Then

$$X + Y + \mathfrak{J} = X + \mathfrak{J} \in \text{im}\varphi.$$

**Exercise 2** (Solvable Lie algebras). a) Show that Lie subalgebras and homomorphic images of solvable Lie algebras are solvable.

**Solution.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a solvable Lie algebra. Recall that  $\mathfrak{g}$  is called solvable if

$$\mathfrak{g} \supseteq \mathfrak{g}^{(1)} \supseteq \dots \supseteq \mathfrak{g}^{(n)} = 0$$

for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  where  $\mathfrak{g}^{(0)} := \mathfrak{g}$  and inductively

$$\mathfrak{g}^{(i+1)} = [\mathfrak{g}^{(i)}, \mathfrak{g}^{(i)}] = \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{[X, Y] : X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}^{(i)}\}$$

for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

First, let  $\mathfrak{h} \leq \mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie subalgebra. Then  $\mathfrak{h}^{(0)} = \mathfrak{h} \leq \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}^{(0)}$  and inductively

$$\mathfrak{h}^{(i+1)} = [\mathfrak{h}^{(i)}, \mathfrak{h}^{(i)}] \subseteq [\mathfrak{g}^{(i)}, \mathfrak{g}^{(i)}] = \mathfrak{g}^{(i+1)}$$

for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence, if  $\mathfrak{g}^{(n)} = 0$  then also  $\mathfrak{h}^{(n)} = 0$  and  $\mathfrak{h}$  is solvable.

Let  $\varphi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}$  be a Lie algebra homomorphism. We need to see that  $\text{im}\varphi \leq \mathfrak{a}$  is solvable. Because  $\varphi$  is a Lie algebra homomorphism we have that

$$(\text{im}\varphi)^{(i)} = \varphi(\mathfrak{g}^{(i)}) = \varphi(\mathfrak{g}^{(i)}) \quad (1)$$

for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . From (??) it follows that  $(\text{im}\varphi)^{(n)} = \varphi(\mathfrak{g}^{(n)}) = 0$  because  $\mathfrak{g}^{(n)} = 0$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  whence  $\text{im}\varphi$  is solvable.

b) Show that if  $\mathfrak{h}$  and  $\mathfrak{J}$  are solvable ideals of a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  then  $\mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{J}$  is a solvable ideal.

Hint: Use exercise ??.

**Solution.** By ?? we have that

$$(\mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{J})/\mathfrak{J} \cong \mathfrak{h}/(\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{J}). \quad (2)$$

Since  $\mathfrak{h}$  is solvable so is  $\mathfrak{h}/(\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{J})$  as the image of the quotient homomorphism  $\pi : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}/(\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{J})$ . By the isomorphism (??) we know that  $(\mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{J})/\mathfrak{J}$  is solvable and

$$0 = ((\mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{J})/\mathfrak{J})^{(n)} = p(\mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{J})^{(n)} \stackrel{??}{=} p\left((\mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{J})^{(n)}\right)$$

for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $p : \mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{J} \rightarrow (\mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{J})/\mathfrak{J}$  is the quotient homomorphism. Therefore

$$(\mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{J})^{(n)} \subseteq \ker p = \mathfrak{J}.$$

Because  $\mathfrak{J}$  is solvable there is  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\mathfrak{J}^{(m)} = 0$ . It follows that

$$(\mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{J})^{(n+m)} = \left((\mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{J})^{(n)}\right)^{(m)} \subseteq \mathfrak{J}^{(m)} = 0$$

whence  $\mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{J}$  is solvable.

c) Deduce that every Lie algebra contains a unique maximal solvable ideal.

**Solution.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra and let

$$\mathfrak{a}_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_k \subseteq \dots$$

be an increasing sequence of solvable ideals of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Note that every  $\mathfrak{a}_k$  is a linear subspace of  $\mathfrak{a}_{k+1}$  whence the sequence  $\{\mathfrak{a}_k\}$  has at most  $n = \dim \mathfrak{g}$  different elements. Thus every such sequence has a maximal element and by Zorn's lemma there is a maximal solvable ideal  $\mathfrak{s} \leq \mathfrak{g}$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{s}$  and  $\mathfrak{s}'$  be two maximal solvable ideals of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . By part b)  $\mathfrak{s} + \mathfrak{s}'$  is also a solvable ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and by the maximality of  $\mathfrak{s}$  and  $\mathfrak{s}'$  we get

$$\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{s} + \mathfrak{s}' = \mathfrak{s}'.$$

This proves uniqueness.

The so obtained unique maximal solvable ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is called its *radical*.

**Exercise 3** (Quotients of Lie groups). Let  $G$  be a Lie group and let  $K \leq G$  be a closed normal subgroup.

Show that  $G/K$  can be equipped with a Lie group structure such that the quotient map  $\pi: G \rightarrow G/K$  is a surjective Lie group homomorphism with kernel  $K$ .

**Solution.** From the lecture we know that there exists a suitable neighborhood  $U \subset \mathfrak{g}$  of the origin such that  $\exp|_U: U \rightarrow \exp(U)$  is a diffeomorphism. Denote by  $\mathfrak{k} = \text{Lie}(K)$  the Lie algebra associated to  $K$ . Choose any complement  $\mathfrak{l}$  such that  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{l}$  as vector spaces. Define

$$V := U \cap \mathfrak{l}.$$

Since  $V \cap \mathfrak{k} = \{0\}$  it is immediate to verify that  $\pi \circ \exp|_V: V \rightarrow G/K$  is a homeomorphism onto the image. This gives us a local chart around the point  $K \in G/K$ . We can get an atlas by suitably translating this chart by the natural action of  $G$  on  $G/K$ . This gives us back an atlas such that each change of coordinate charts is smooth (since the multiplication in  $G$  is smooth).

Note that multiplication and inversion are defined on  $G/K$  by passing to the quotient, i.e. the following diagrams commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G \times G & \xrightarrow{m} & G \\ \downarrow \pi \times \pi & & \downarrow \pi \\ G/K \times G/K & \dashrightarrow & G/K \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} G & \xrightarrow{i} & G \\ \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \pi \\ G/K & \dashrightarrow & G/K \end{array}$$

By definition, the quotient map  $\pi: G \rightarrow G/K$  is a smooth submersion with respect to this smooth structure. Thus, it follows from the constant rank theorem that multiplication and inversion are smooth, and  $G/K$  is a Lie group. Moreover, it is clear from the construction that  $K$  is the kernel of  $\pi$ .

For more details see Theorem 21.26 in *John M. Lee, "Introduction to Smooth Manifolds", Springer (2013)*

**Exercise 4** (Common eigenvectors). Let  $G$  be a connected Lie group and let  $\pi: G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$  be a finite-dimensional complex representation.

A *common eigenvector* of  $\{\pi(g) : g \in G\}$  is a vector  $v \in V$  such that there is a smooth homomorphism  $\chi: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  with  $\pi(g)v = \chi(g) \cdot v$  for all  $g \in G$ . Similarly, a *common eigenvector* of  $\{d_e\pi(X) : X \in \mathfrak{g}\}$  is a vector  $v \in V$  such that there is a linear functional  $\lambda: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  with  $d_e\pi(X)v = \lambda(X) \cdot v$  for all  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ .

Show that a vector  $v \in V$  is a common eigenvector of  $\{d_e\pi(X) : X \in \mathfrak{g}\}$  if and only if it is a common eigenvector of  $\{\pi(g) : g \in G\}$ . Moreover, show that  $\chi(\exp(X)) = e^{\lambda(X)}$  for all  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$  (with  $\chi: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and  $\lambda: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  as above).

**Solution.** Let  $G_v := \{g \in G : \pi(g)\mathbb{C}v = \mathbb{C}v\}$  be the stabilizer of the line  $\mathbb{C}v$ . Then  $G_v$  is a closed subgroup of  $G$  and hence a Lie group whose Lie algebra is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Lie}(G_v) &= \{X \in \mathfrak{g} : \exp_G(tX) \in G_v \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}\} \\ &= \{X \in \mathfrak{g} : \pi(\exp_G(tX))\mathbb{C}v = \mathbb{C}v \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}\} \\ &= \{X \in \mathfrak{g} : \exp_{\mathrm{GL}(V)}(td_e\pi(X))\mathbb{C}v = \mathbb{C}v \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now observe that if  $A \in \mathrm{End}(V)$ , then

$$\exp_{\mathrm{GL}(V)}(tA)\mathbb{C}v \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{C}v \Leftrightarrow A(\mathbb{C}v) \subset \mathbb{C}v.$$

In fact  $(\Leftarrow)$  is immediate by the exponential series and  $(\Rightarrow)$  follows from the fact that  $A = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\exp_{\mathrm{GL}(V)}(tA) - \mathrm{Id}}{t}$ .

Thus

$$\mathrm{Lie}(G_v) = \{X \in \mathfrak{g} : d_e\pi(X)(\mathbb{C}v) \subset \mathbb{C}v\} = \mathfrak{g}$$

by hypothesis. Since  $G$  is connected, this implies that  $G_v = G$ . Thus for all  $g \in G$  there is a well defined  $\chi(g) \in \mathbb{C}^*$  with  $\pi(g)v = \chi(g)v$  and since  $g \mapsto \pi(g)v$  is smooth, so is  $\chi$ . Finally,

$$\chi(\exp_G(X))v = \pi(\exp_G(X))v = \exp_{\mathrm{GL}(V)}(d_e\pi(X))v = e^{\lambda(X)}v.$$

**Exercise 5** (Weight spaces and ideals). Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra, let  $\mathfrak{h} \trianglelefteq \mathfrak{g}$  be an ideal and let  $\pi: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$  a finite-dimensional complex representation. For a given linear functional  $\lambda: \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  consider its weight space

$$V_\lambda^\mathfrak{h} := \{v \in V \mid \pi(X)v = \lambda(X)v \quad \forall X \in \mathfrak{h}\}.$$

Show that every weight space  $V_\lambda^\mathfrak{h}$  is invariant under  $\pi(\mathfrak{g})$ , i.e.  $\pi(Y)V_\lambda^\mathfrak{h} \subseteq V_\lambda^\mathfrak{h}$  for every  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ ,  $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ .

**Solution.** Let  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ , let  $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ , let  $X \in \mathfrak{h}$  and let  $v \in V_\lambda^\mathfrak{h}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(X)\pi(Y)v &= (\pi(X)\pi(Y) - \pi(Y)\pi(X))v + \pi(Y)\pi(X)v \\ &= \pi([X, Y])v + \lambda(X)\pi(Y)v \\ &= \lambda([X, Y])v + \lambda(X)\pi(Y)v. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we are left to prove that  $\lambda([X, Y]) = 0$ .

Consider the increasing sequence of subspaces

$$W_m = \langle v, \pi(Y)v, \dots, \pi(Y)^m v \rangle \leq V, \quad m \geq 0.$$

Because  $V$  is finite-dimensional this sequence stabilizes for some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ :

$$W_{N-1} \leq W_N = W_{N+1} = \dots$$

We claim that for all  $m \geq 0$ ,  $W_m$  is invariant under  $\pi(\mathfrak{h})$  and furthermore

$$\pi(X)\pi(Y)^m v - \lambda(Y)\pi(X)^m v \in W_{m-1} \quad \forall X \in \mathfrak{h}. \quad (3)$$

We will prove this by induction on  $m$ . It holds for  $m = 0$  because  $v \in V_\lambda^{\mathfrak{h}}$ . So let's assume it holds for  $m - 1$ . We compute:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(X)\pi(Y)^m v - \lambda(X)\pi(Y)^m v &= [\pi(X), \pi(Y)]\pi(Y)^{m-1} v + \pi(Y)\pi(X)\pi(Y)^{m-1} v - \lambda(X)\pi(Y)^m v \\ &= [\pi(X), \pi(Y)]\pi(Y)^{m-1} v + \pi(Y)\pi(X)\pi(Y)^{m-1} v - \pi(Y)\lambda(X)\pi(Y)^{m-1} v. \end{aligned}$$

By induction hypothesis, we have that

$$w := \pi(X)\pi(Y)^{m-1} v - \lambda(Y)\pi(X)^{m-1} v \in W_{m-2},$$

and  $\pi(Y)w \in W_{m-1}$  by construction of the  $W_i$ 's. Moreover,  $\mathfrak{h}$  is an ideal, so that  $[\pi(X), \pi(Y)] \in \pi(\mathfrak{h})$  and, by induction hypothesis,

$$[\pi(X), \pi(Y)]\pi(Y)^{m-1} v \in W_{m-1}.$$

Thus,

$$\pi(X)\pi(Y)^m v - \lambda(X)\pi(Y)^m v \in W_{m-1}.$$

We know that  $W_N$  is invariant for both  $\pi(Y)$  and  $\pi(X)$ . In particular, (??) shows that  $\pi(X)$  acts on  $W_N$  as an upper triangular matrix in the basis  $\{v, \pi(Y)v, \dots, \pi(Y)^N v\}$ :

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda(X) & & * \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda(X) \end{pmatrix}$$

Therefore,

$$\mathrm{tr}_{W_N}([\pi(X), \pi(Y)]) = 0 = \mathrm{tr}_{W_N}(\pi([X, Y])) = N\lambda([X, Y]),$$

which implies that  $\lambda([X, Y]) = 0$ .

**Exercise 6** (Lie's theorem for Lie algebras). Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a solvable Lie algebra and let  $\rho: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$  be a finite-dimensional complex representation.

Show that  $\rho(\mathfrak{g})$  stabilizes a flag  $V = V_0 \supseteq V_1 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq V_n = 0$ , with  $\text{codim} V_i = i$ , i.e.  $\rho(X)V_i \subseteq V_i$  for every  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

Hint: Use exercise ??.

**Solution.** By induction, it suffices to show that there is a weight  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}^*$  for  $\rho$  such that  $V_\lambda^{\mathfrak{g}} \neq \{0\}$ .

We will prove this by induction on  $\dim \mathfrak{g}$ . The case  $\dim \mathfrak{g} = 0$  is trivial. So let's assume that it holds for  $\dim \mathfrak{g} = m - 1$ .

Since  $\mathfrak{g}$  is solvable (of positive dimension) it properly includes  $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ . Since  $\mathfrak{g}/[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$  is abelian, any subspace is automatically an ideal. Take a subspace of codimension one in  $\mathfrak{g}/[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ . Then its inverse image  $\mathfrak{h} \trianglelefteq \mathfrak{g}$  is an ideal of codimension one in  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Thus, we can decompose

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} + \mathbb{C}Y$$

for some  $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ .

Notice that  $\mathfrak{h}$  is a solvable ideal of dimension  $m - 1$ , whence there is a weight  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$  such that  $V_\lambda^{\mathfrak{h}} \neq \{0\}$ . By exercise ??  $V_\lambda^{\mathfrak{h}}$  is invariant under the action of  $\rho(\mathfrak{g})$ . In particular,  $\rho(Y)V_\lambda^{\mathfrak{h}} \subseteq V_\lambda^{\mathfrak{h}}$  and there is  $v \in V_\lambda^{\mathfrak{h}} \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $\rho(Y)v = \beta v$  for some  $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ . We define a linear functional  $\lambda' \in \mathfrak{g}^*$  by

$$\lambda'(X + \alpha Y) = \lambda(X) + \alpha\beta$$

for all  $X \in \mathfrak{h}, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ .

By construction  $v \in V_{\lambda'}^{\mathfrak{g}} \neq \{0\}$ .