## 10.1. Various notions of continuity

Suppose  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$  and  $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$  are normed K-vector spaces (with  $\mathbb{K} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$ ).

(a) A linear map  $A: X \to Y$  is bounded if and only if it is  $\sigma(X, X^*) - \sigma(Y, Y^*)$ continuous (i.e., continuous with respect to the weak topologies on X and Y).

(b) A linear map  $B: Y^* \to X^*$  is  $\sigma(Y^*, Y) \cdot \sigma(X^*, X)$ -continuous (i.e., continuous with respect to the weak\* topologies on  $Y^*$  and  $X^*$ ) if and only if there is a bounded linear operator  $A: X \to Y$  such that  $B = A^*$ .

(c) A linear operator  $A: X \to Y$  is  $\sigma(X, X^*) - \|\cdot\|_Y$ -continuous (i.e., weak-norm continuous) if and only if it is bounded and has finite rank (i.e., has finite-dimensional range).

### 10.2. Elementary properties of dual operators

Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ ,  $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$  and  $(Z, \|\cdot\|_Z)$  be normed K-vector spaces (with  $\mathbb{K} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$ ). Recall that if  $T \in L(X, Y)$ , then its dual operator  $T^*$  is in  $L(Y^*, X^*)$  and it is characterised by the property

 $\langle T^*y^*, x \rangle_{X^* \times X} = \langle y^*, Tx \rangle_{Y^* \times Y}$  for every  $x \in X$  and  $y^* \in Y^*$ .

Prove the following facts about dual operators.

(a) 
$$(\mathrm{Id}_X)^* = \mathrm{Id}_{X^*}.$$

(b) If  $T \in L(X, Y)$  and  $S \in L(Y, Z)$ , then  $(S \circ T)^* = T^* \circ S^*$ .

- (c) If  $T \in L(X, Y)$  is bijective with inverse  $T^{-1} \in L(Y, X)$ , then  $(T^*)^{-1} = (T^{-1})^*$ .
- (d) Let  $\mathcal{I}_X \colon X \hookrightarrow X^{**}$  and  $\mathcal{I}_Y \colon Y \hookrightarrow Y^{**}$  be the canonical inclusions. Then,

 $\forall T \in L(X,Y) : \quad \mathcal{I}_Y \circ T = (T^*)^* \circ \mathcal{I}_X.$ 

#### 10.3. Dual operators and invertibility

Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$  and  $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$  be normed K-vector spaces (with  $\mathbb{K} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$ ) and  $T \in L(X, Y)$ . Prove the following.

(a) If T is an isomorphism with  $T^{-1} \in L(Y, X)$ , then  $T^*$  is an isomorphism.

- (b) If T is an isometric isomorphism, then  $T^*$  is an isometric isomorphism.
- (c) If X and Y are both reflexive, then the reverse implications of (a) and (b) hold.

D-MATH	Functional Analysis I	ETH Zürich
Prof. J. Teichmann	Problem Set 10	Autumn 2021

(d) If  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$  is a reflexive Banach space isomorphic to the normed space  $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ , then Y is reflexive.

#### 10.4. Invariant measures again

Let (K, d) be a non-empty compact metric space and let  $T \in L(C(K, \mathbb{R}), C(K, \mathbb{R}))$ satisfy

- $T\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}$ , where  $\mathbf{1} := (K \ni x \mapsto 1 \in \mathbb{R}) \in C(K, \mathbb{R})$  and
- $Tf \ge 0$  for all  $f \in C(K, \mathbb{R})$  with  $f \ge 0$ .

(a) Prove for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  that the mapping  $S_n \colon \mathcal{P}(K) \to \mathcal{P}(K)$ , defined via

$$\int_{K} f d(S_n \nu) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \int_{K} T^k f d\nu \quad \text{for all } f \in C(K, \mathbb{R}), \nu \in \mathcal{P}(K),$$

is indeed well-defined.

(b) Show for all  $\nu \in \mathcal{P}(K)$  that there exist  $(n_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  with  $n_k \nearrow \infty$  as  $k \to \infty$ and  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(K)$  such that

$$\int_{K} f \, d\mu = \lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{K} f \, d(S_{n_{k}}\nu) \quad \text{for all } f \in C(K, \mathbb{R}).$$

(c) Let  $\nu, \mu \in \mathcal{P}(K)$  and  $(n_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  satisfy  $n_k \nearrow \infty$  and  $\int_K f d(S_{n_k}\nu) \to \int_K f d\mu_{\infty}$  as  $k \to \infty$ . Infer that

$$\int_{K} Tf \, d\mu = \int_{K} f \, d\mu \quad \text{for every } f \in C(K, \mathbb{R}).$$

(d) Prove for every  $f \in C(K, \mathbb{R})$  with Tf = f and  $f \neq 0$  that there exists  $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(K)$  satisfying

- $\int_K f d\mu \neq 0$  and
- $\int_K Tg \, d\mu = \int_K g \, d\mu$  for all  $g \in C(K, \mathbb{R})$

(e) Solve Problem 9.5 (*Invariant measures à la Krylov–Bogolioubov*) again using (c) or (d).

D-MATH	Functional Analysis I	ETH Zürich
Prof. J. Teichmann	Problem Set 10	Autumn 2021

#### 10.5. Von Neumann's ergodic theorem

Let  $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  be a  $\mathbb{K}$ -Hilbert space (with  $\mathbb{K} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$ ), let T be a continuous linear operator on H with  $||T||_{L(H,H)} \leq 1$ , let  $U := \ker(I - T)$  (with  $I = (H \ni x \mapsto x \in H) \in L(H, H)$  being the identity operator), let  $P_U$  denote the orthogonal projection onto U and let  $S_n := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} T^k$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Our goal is to show that

 $\limsup_{n \to \infty} \|S_n x - P_U x\|_H = 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in H.$ 

For this, we recommend to proceed along the following steps:

(a) For all  $x \in H$ , we have Tx = x if and only if  $T^*x = x$ .

(b) 
$$U^{\perp} = \operatorname{im}(I - T).$$

(c)  $\lim_{n\to\infty} S_n x = x$  for all  $x \in U$  and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} S_n x = 0$  for all  $x \in U^{\perp}$ .

# 10.6. Von Neumann again

Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$  be a reflexive space, let  $T: X \to X$  be a continuous linear operator satisfying  $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \|T^n\|_{L(X,X)} < \infty$ , let  $U := \ker(I - T)$  (with  $I = (X \ni x \mapsto x \in X) \in L(X,X)$  being the identity operator) and let  $S_n := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} T^k$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

(a) Prove that  $Y := \{x \in X \mid \lim_{n \to \infty} S_n x \text{ exists}\}$  is a closed subspace of X.

(b) Show that  $P: Y \to X$ , defined by  $P_X = \lim_{n \to \infty} S_n x$  is a continuous linear map satisfying  $\operatorname{im}(P) = U \subseteq Y$ ,  $\operatorname{ker}(P) = \operatorname{im}(I-T)$ , and  $P|_U = I|_U$ . In particular, deduce that  $Y = \operatorname{ker}(I-T) \oplus \operatorname{im}(I-T)$ .

(c) Demonstrate for every  $x^* \in Y^{\perp}$  that  $T^*x^* = x^*$  and  $x^* \in U^{\perp}$ .

(d) Show for every  $x \in X$  that  $U \cap \overline{\operatorname{conv}}(\{T^k x \colon k \in \mathbb{N}_0\}) \neq \emptyset$ .

(e) Deduce that Y = X.

*Hint:* The reflexivity assumption is only really used in (d). For (e), use (c) and (d) to show for every  $x^* \in Y^{\perp}$  that  $x^*(x) = 0$  for every  $x \in X$ .