

11.1. Compact operators

Let $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$, $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ and $(Z, \|\cdot\|_Z)$ be normed spaces. We denote by

$$K(X, Y) = \{T \in L(X, Y) \mid \overline{T(B_1(0))} \subseteq Y \text{ compact}\}$$

the set of compact operators between X and Y . Prove the following statements.

(a) $T \in L(X, Y)$ is a compact operator if and only if every bounded sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in X has a subsequence $(x_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $(Tx_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is convergent in Y .

Solution: “ (\Rightarrow) ”: Let $T \in L(X, Y)$ be a compact operator. Let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a bounded sequence in X . Then there exists $M \in (0, \infty)$ such that $\|x_n\|_X < M$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, $\frac{1}{M}x_n \in B_1(0) \subseteq X$ and $\frac{1}{M}Tx_n \in T(B_1(0))$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\overline{T(B_1(0))} \subseteq Y$ is compact (and, in $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$, compact \Leftrightarrow sequentially compact), a subsequence $(\frac{1}{M}Tx_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges in Y . Hence, $(Tx_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is also a convergent sequence.

“ (\Leftarrow) ”: Conversely, let $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be any sequence in $\overline{T(B_1(0))}$. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $z_n \in T(B_1(0))$ such that $\|y_n - z_n\|_Y \leq \frac{1}{n}$. Since there exists a sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $B_1(0) \subseteq X$ such that $Tx_n = z_n$, a subsequence $(z_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to some $z_\infty \in Y$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ by assumption. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|y_{n_k} - z_\infty\|_Y &\leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} [\|y_{n_k} - z_{n_k}\|_Y + \|z_{n_k} - z_\infty\|_Y] \\ &\leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{1}{n_k} + \|z_{n_k} - z_\infty\|_Y \right] = 0, \end{aligned}$$

we conclude that a subsequence of $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges. Being closed, $\overline{T(B_1(0))}$ must contain the limit z_∞ which proves that $\overline{T(B_1(0))}$ is sequentially compact. By equivalence of compactness and sequential compactness in metric spaces, we obtain that $\overline{T(B_1(0))}$ is compact. Hence, T is a compact operator.

(b) If $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ is complete, then $K(X, Y)$ is a closed subspace of $L(X, Y)$.

Solution: Part (a) and linearity of the limit imply that the set of compact operators $K(X, Y) \subseteq L(X, Y)$ is a linear subspace. To prove that this subspace is closed, let $(T_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $K(X, Y)$ such that $\|T_k - T\|_{L(X, Y)} \rightarrow 0$ for some $T \in L(X, Y)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. To show $T \in K(X, Y)$, consider a bounded sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in X and choose the nested, unbounded subsets $\mathbb{N} \supseteq \Lambda_1 \supseteq \Lambda_2 \supseteq \dots$ such that $(T_k x_n)_{n \in \Lambda_k}$ is convergent in Y with limit point $y_k \in Y$. This is possible by (i) since T_k is a compact operator for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ be the corresponding diagonal sequence (i.e., the k^{th} number in Λ is the k^{th} number in Λ_k). By continuity of $\|\cdot\|_Y$, we can estimate

$$\|y_k - y_m\|_Y = \lim_{\Lambda \ni n \rightarrow \infty} \|T_k x_n - T_m x_n\|_Y \leq \|T_k - T_m\|_{L(X, Y)} \sup_{n \in \Lambda} \|x_n\|_X$$

for any $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $(T_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is convergent in $L(X, Y)$, we conclude that $(y_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence in Y . Since $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ is assumed to be complete, $y_k \rightarrow y_\infty$ for some $y_\infty \in Y$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. It then suffices to prove the following.

Claim. The sequence $(Tx_n)_{n \in \Lambda}$ converges to y_∞ .

Proof. Let $\varepsilon \in (0, \infty)$. Choose a fixed $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|T - T_\kappa\|_{L(X, Y)} < \varepsilon$ and $\|y_\kappa - y_\infty\|_Y \leq \varepsilon$. Since $T_\kappa x_n \rightarrow y_\kappa$ as $\Lambda \ni n \rightarrow \infty$, there exists $N \in \Lambda$ such that for every $\Lambda \ni n \geq N$ it holds that $\|T_\kappa x_n - y_\kappa\|_Y \leq \varepsilon$. Finally, the claim follows from the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tx_n - y_\infty\|_Y &\leq \|Tx_n - T_\kappa x_n\|_Y + \|T_\kappa x_n - y_\kappa\|_Y + \|y_\kappa - y_\infty\|_Y \\ &\leq \|T - T_\kappa\|_{L(X, Y)} \sup_{m \in \Lambda} \|x_m\|_X + \|T_\kappa x_n - y_\kappa\|_Y + \|y_\kappa - y_\infty\|_Y \\ &< 2\varepsilon + \varepsilon \sup_{m \in \Lambda} \|x_m\|_X, \end{aligned}$$

which holds for every $\Lambda \ni n \geq N$. Since $\varepsilon \in (0, \infty)$ was arbitrary, the claim follows. \square

(c) Let $T \in L(X, Y)$. If its range $T(X) \subseteq Y$ is finite-dimensional, then $T \in K(X, Y)$.

Solution: The image of $B_1(0)$ under $T \in L(X, Y)$ is bounded. If $T(X) \subseteq Y$ is of finite dimension, then so is $\overline{T(X)} = T(X)$, and $\overline{T(B_1(0))}$ is compact as a bounded, closed subset of $\overline{T(X)}$.

(d) Let $T \in L(X, Y)$ and $S \in L(Y, Z)$. If T or S is a compact operator, then $S \circ T$ is a compact operator.

Solution: Suppose T is a compact operator. Then, a subsequence $(Tx_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is convergent in Y by (a). Since S is continuous, $(STx_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is convergent in Z , which by (a) proves that $S \circ T$ is a compact operator.

Suppose S is a compact operator. Since T is continuous, the sequence $(Tx_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in Y . Then, a subsequence $(STx_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is convergent in Z by (a), which again proves that $S \circ T$ is a compact operator.

(e) If X is reflexive, then any operator $T \in L(X, Y)$ which maps weakly convergent sequences to strongly convergent sequences, that is

$$x_n \xrightarrow{w} x \text{ in } X \implies Tx_n \rightarrow x \text{ in } Y,$$

is a compact operator.

Solution: Let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be any bounded sequence in X . Since X is reflexive, a subsequence $(x_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges weakly in X by the Eberlein–Šmulian theorem. Then,

$(Tx_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is norm-convergent in Y by assumption and (a) implies that T is a compact operator.

11.2. Schauder's theorem

Let $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ and $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ be Banach spaces and let $T \in L(X, Y)$ be a bounded linear operator. Prove that T is compact if and only if T^* is compact.

Solution: “ (\Rightarrow) “: Let $T \in L(X, Y)$ be compact. This implies in particular that $K := \overline{T(B)} \subseteq Y$ is compact, where $B \subseteq X$ denotes the closed unit ball. Moreover, let $B^* \subseteq Y^*$ denote the closed unit ball in Y^* and let $(x_n^*)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq T^*(B^*)$ be an arbitrary sequence. Our goal is to show that $(x_n^*)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ possesses a converging subsequence. Let $(y_n^*)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq B^*$ be a sequence s.t. $x_n^* = T_n^* y_n^*$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $\mathcal{F} := \{y_n^*|_K : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq C(K, \mathbb{R})$ be the set of those continuous functions on K obtained by restricting the elements of the sequence $(y_n^*)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ to K . On the one hand, \mathcal{F} is bounded since (by density of $T(B)$ in K)

$$\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \|f\|_{C(K, \mathbb{R})} = \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \|f\|_{C(T(B), \mathbb{R})} \leq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}, x \in B} [\|y_n^*\|_{Y^*} \|Tx\|_Y] \leq \|T\|_{L(X, Y)} < \infty.$$

On the other hand, \mathcal{F} is equi-continuous since, for all $y_1, y_2 \in K$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} |f(y_1) - f(y_2)| &\leq \sup_{y^* \in Y^*, \|y^*\|_{Y^*} \leq 1} |y^*(y_1) - y^*(y_2)| \\ &\leq \sup_{y^* \in Y^*, \|y^*\|_{Y^*} \leq 1} \|y^*\|_{Y^*} \|y_1 - y_2\|_Y = \|y_1 - y_2\|_Y. \end{aligned}$$

The Arzela–Ascoli theorem thus ensures that there exists a sequence $(n_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ with $n_k \nearrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ such that $(y_{n_k}^*|_K)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq C(K, \mathbb{R})$ is uniformly converging. This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} &\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left[\sup_{k, l \geq N} \|T^* y_{n_k}^* - T^* y_{n_l}^*\|_{X^*} \right] \\ &\leq \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left[\sup_{k, l \geq N, x \in X, \|x\|_X \leq 1} |T^* y_{n_k}^*(x) - T^* y_{n_l}^*(x)| \right] \\ &= \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left[\sup_{k, l \geq N, x \in X, \|x\|_X \leq 1} |y_{n_k}^*(Tx) - y_{n_l}^*(Tx)| \right] \\ &\leq \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left[\sup_{k, l \geq N} \|y_{n_k}^*|_K - y_{n_l}^*|_K\|_{C(K, \mathbb{R})} \right] = 0, \end{aligned}$$

i.e., that $(T^* y_{n_k}^*)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq X^*$ is a Cauchy sequence and thus has a limit in X^* .

“ (\Leftarrow) “: Let $T \in L(X, Y)$ and assume that T^* is compact. Since $T^* \in L(Y^*, X^*)$, the previous argument implies that $T^{**} \in L(X^{**}, Y^{**})$ is compact. Let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq X$ be

an arbitrary sequence satisfying $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|x_n\|_X \leq 1$. With $\iota_X: X \rightarrow X^{**}$ denoting the canonical embedding, we obtain that $(\iota_X(x_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq X^{**}$ is a sequence with norms bounded by 1. Since T^{**} is compact, there exists a sequence $(n_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ with $n_k \nearrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ such that $(T^{**}\iota_X(x_{n_k}))_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq Y^{**}$ is a converging sequence. The fact that $T^{**}\iota_X = \iota_Y T$ and the fact that ι_Y is an isometry imply that $(Tx_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a converging sequence in Y .

11.3. Various notions of continuity – continued

Suppose $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ and $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ are \mathbb{K} -Banach spaces (with $\mathbb{K} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$).

(a) Let B^* be the closed unit ball in Y^* , equipped with the weak* topology. Prove that a bounded linear operator $A: X \rightarrow Y$ is compact if and only if $A^*|_{B^*}: B^* \rightarrow X^*$ is $\sigma(B^*, Y)$ - $\|\cdot\|_{X^*}$ -continuous (i.e., weak*-norm continuous).

Solution: If A is compact then so is A^* by Problem 11.2 (*Schauder's theorem*). Since A^* is $\sigma(Y^*, Y)$ - $\sigma(X^*, X)$ -continuous and B^* is weak*-compact (more precisely, compact w.r.t. the topology $\sigma(Y^*, Y)$), the set $A^*(B^*)$ is weak*-compact in X^* . As such, it is norm-closed and by compactness of A^* , it is also norm-compact. Let $O \subseteq X^*$ be an arbitrary but fixed norm-open set. We need to show that $(A^*|_{B^*})^{-1}(O) \in \sigma(B^*, Y)$. For this, let $y^* \in B^*$ with $A^*y^* \in O$ be arbitrary but fixed. There exists $\chi: A^*(B^*) \setminus O \rightarrow X$ such that for every $x^* \in A^*(B^*) \setminus O$ it holds that $|x^*(\chi(x^*)) - (A^*y^*)(\chi(x^*))| > 1$. Thus, $A^*(B^*) \setminus O$ can be covered by a union of elements of $\sigma(X^*, X)$:

$$A^*(B^*) \setminus O \subseteq \bigcup_{x^* \in A^*(B^*) \setminus O} \left\{ \xi^* \in X^* : |\xi^*(\chi(x^*)) - x^*(\chi(x^*))| < \frac{1}{2} \right\}.$$

Since $A^*(B^*) \setminus O$ is – as norm-closed subset of a norm-compact set – norm-compact, there exist $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x_1^*, \dots, x_n^* \in X^*$ (and $x_1 = \chi(x_1^*), x_2 = \chi(x_2^*), \dots, x_n = \chi(x_n^*) \in X$) such that

$$A^*(B^*) \setminus O \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n \left\{ \xi^* \in X^* : |x_i^*(x_i) - \xi^*(x_i)| < \frac{1}{2} \right\}.$$

Since $|(A^*y^*)(x_i) - x_i^*(x_i)| > 1$ for every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, the above assures that

$$\begin{aligned} A^*(B^*) \cap O &\supseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^n \left\{ \xi^* \in A^*(B^*) : |x_i^*(x_i) - \xi^*(x_i)| \geq \frac{1}{2} \right\} \\ &\supseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^n \left\{ \xi^* \in A^*(B^*) : |\xi^*(x_i) - (A^*y^*)(x_i)| < \frac{1}{2} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

This leads to

$$\begin{aligned} & \bigcap_{i=1}^n \left\{ v^* \in B^* : |v^*(Ax_i) - y^*(Ax_i)| < \frac{1}{2} \right\} \\ &= \bigcap_{i=1}^n \left\{ v^* \in B^* : |(A^*v^*)(x_i) - (A^*y^*)(x_i)| < \frac{1}{2} \right\} \subseteq (A^*|_{B^*})^{-1}(O). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $(A^*|_{B^*})^{-1}(O) \in \sigma(B^*, Y)$.

Conversely, if $A^*|_{B^*}$ is weak*-norm continuous, then – since B^* is weak*-compact – $A^*(B^*)$ is norm-compact. Thus, A^* is compact and by Problem 11.2 (*Schauder's theorem*), so is A .

(b) Suppose $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ and $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ are reflexive. A linear operator $A: X \rightarrow Y$ is compact if and only if $A|_B: B \rightarrow Y$ is $\sigma(B, X^*)$ - $\|\cdot\|_Y$ -continuous (i.e., weak-norm continuous).

Solution: Schauder's theorem implies that A is compact if and only if $A^* \in L(Y^*, X^*)$ is compact. Part (a) implies on the other hand that this is equivalent to $A^{**}|_{B^{**}}: B^{**} \rightarrow Y^{**}$ being $\sigma(B^{**}, X^*)$ - $\|\cdot\|_{Y^{**}}$ -continuous. The reflexivity of $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ and $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ implies that $A|_B: B \rightarrow Y$ is $\sigma(B, X^*)$ - $\|\cdot\|_Y$ -continuous if and only if $A^{**}|_{B^{**}}: B^{**} \rightarrow Y^{**}$ is $\sigma(B^{**}, X^*)$ - $\|\cdot\|_{Y^{**}}$ -continuous.

11.4. Ehrling's lemma

Let $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$, $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ and $(Z, \|\cdot\|_Z)$ be Banach spaces, let $T \in L(X, Y)$ be compact and let $J \in L(Y, Z)$ be injective. Prove that for every $\varepsilon \in (0, \infty)$, there exists $C \in [0, \infty)$ such that

$$\|Tx\|_Y \leq \varepsilon\|x\|_X + C\|JTx\|_Z \quad \text{for all } x \in X.$$

Solution: Assume for a contradiction that the claim is not true. Then there exist $\varepsilon \in (0, \infty)$ and $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq X$ such that

$$\|Tx_n\|_Y > \varepsilon\|x_n\|_X + n\|JTx_n\|_Z \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

In particular, it holds for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ that $Tx_n \neq 0$ so that the sequence $(x'_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq X$, given by $x'_n = \frac{x_n}{\|Tx_n\|_Y}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, is well-defined and satisfies

$$1 = \|Tx'_n\|_Y > \varepsilon\|x'_n\|_X + n\|JTx'_n\|_Z \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

This implies that, on the one hand, $(x'_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq X$ is bounded and, on the other hand, that $JTx'_n \rightarrow 0$ in Z as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The boundedness of $(x'_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq X$ and the assumption

that T is compact imply – based on part (a) of Problem 11.1 (*Compact operators*) – that there exists a subsequence $(x'_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $(Tx'_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq Y$ converges to some limit $y \in Y$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. The fact that $\|Tx'_n\|_Y = 1$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ implies that $\|y\|_Y = 1$. The assumed continuity of J , on the other hand, implies that $JTx'_{n_k} \rightarrow Jy$ in Z as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Since $JTx'_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we conclude that $Jy = 0$. By injectivity of J , we obtain $y = 0$. This, however, contradicts $\|y\|_Y = 1$, which we had already deduced above.

11.5. Integral operators

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $\emptyset \neq \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ be a bounded open set. Given $k \in L^2(\Omega \times \Omega, \mathbb{C})$, consider the linear operator $K: L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$ defined by

$$(Kf)(x) = \int_{\Omega} k(x, y) f(y) dy.$$

(a) Prove that K is well-defined, i.e., $Kf \in L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$ for any $f \in L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$.

Solution: Let $f \in L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$. Then Hölder's inequality and Tonelli's theorem imply

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |(Kf)(x)|^2 dx &= \int_{\Omega} \left| \int_{\Omega} k(x, y) f(y) dy \right|^2 dx \leq \int_{\Omega} \left(\int_{\Omega} |k(x, y) f(y)| dy \right)^2 dx \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} \left(\int_{\Omega} |k(x, y)|^2 dy \right) \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 dx = \|k\|_{L^2(\Omega \times \Omega)}^2 \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $k \in L^2(\Omega \times \Omega, \mathbb{C})$ by assumption, $\|Kf\|_{L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C})} \leq \|k\|_{L^2(\Omega \times \Omega, \mathbb{C})} \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C})} < \infty$ follows.

(b) Prove that K is a compact operator.

Solution: Being a Hilbert space, $L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$ is reflexive. Part (e) of Problem 11.1 (*Compact operators*) implies that $K: L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$ is a compact operator if K maps weakly convergent sequences to norm-convergent sequences.

Let $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$ such that $f_n \xrightarrow{w} f$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for some $f \in L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$. Since $k \in L^2(\Omega \times \Omega, \mathbb{C})$, Fubini's theorem implies that $k(x, \cdot) \in L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$ for almost every $x \in \Omega$. Weak convergence therefore implies

$$(Kf_n)(x) = \left\langle k(x, \cdot), f_n \right\rangle_{L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C})} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\langle k(x, \cdot), f \right\rangle_{L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C})} = (Kf)(x)$$

for almost every $x \in \Omega$. As weakly convergent sequence, $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded: there exists $C \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\|f_n\|_{L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C})} \leq C$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By Hölder's inequality,

$$|(Kf_n)(x)| \leq \int_{\Omega} |k(x, y) f_n(y)| dy \leq \|k(x, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|f_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C \|k(x, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}.$$

The assumption $k \in L^2(\Omega \times \Omega, \mathbb{C})$ and Fubini's theorem imply that (the equivalence class of) the function $x \mapsto C\|k(x, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C})}$ is in $L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$. Thus, $(Kf_n)(x)$ is dominated by a function in $L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$. Since $(Kf_n)(x)$ converges pointwise for almost every $x \in \Omega$ and since (Kf_n) is dominated by a function in $L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$, Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem implies L^2 -convergence $\|Kf_n - Kf\|_{L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C})} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

(c) If, in addition, the kernel k satisfies $k(x, y) = \overline{k(y, x)}$ for almost every $(x, y) \in \Omega \times \Omega$, prove that the operator $A: L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$, defined by

$$Af = f - Kf,$$

is surjective if and only if it is injective.

Solution: For $f, g \in L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$ using repeatedly Fubini's theorem we compute:

$$\begin{aligned} (Kf, g)_{L^2} &= \int_{\Omega} Kf(x) \overline{g(x)} dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \left(\int_{\Omega} k(x, y) f(y) dy \right) \overline{g(x)} dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega \times \Omega} k(x, y) \overline{g(x)} f(y) dx dy \\ &= \int_{\Omega} f(y) \left(\int_{\Omega} k(x, y) \overline{g(x)} dx \right) dy \\ &= \int_{\Omega} f(y) \overline{\left(\int_{\Omega} \overline{k(x, y)} g(x) dx \right)} dy = (f, K^*g)_{L^2}, \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$(K^*g)(x) = \int_{\Omega} \overline{k(y, x)} g(y) dy.$$

Hence, under the additional assumption that $k(x, y) = \overline{k(y, x)}$ for a.a. $x, y \in \Omega$, the bounded operator K is self-adjoint. Therefore, the operator $A = (1 - K): L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)$ is also self-adjoint.

According to (b), K is a compact operator, which implies that the operator $A = (1 - K)$ has closed image $\text{im}(A) \subseteq H$. According to Banach's closed range theorem, this is equivalent to $\text{im}(A) = \ker(A^*)^\perp$. Since $A^* = A$, we conclude in our setting that

$$A \text{ surjective} \Leftrightarrow H = \text{im}(A) = \ker(A)^\perp \Leftrightarrow \ker(A) = \{0\} \Leftrightarrow A \text{ injective.}$$

11.6. Integral operators again

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $\emptyset \neq K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ be a non-empty compact set. Given $k \in C(K \times K, \mathbb{R})$, consider the linear operator $T: C(K, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C(K, \mathbb{R})$ defined by

$$(Tf)(x) = \int_K k(x, y)f(y) dy \quad \text{for all } f \in C(K, \mathbb{R}).$$

(a) Prove that T is well-defined, i.e., $Tf \in C(K, \mathbb{R})$ for every $f \in C(K, \mathbb{R})$.

Solution: Clearly, for every $x \in K$, $f \in C(K, \mathbb{R})$,

$$\int_K |k(x, y)||f(y)| dy \leq \|k\|_{C(K \times K, \mathbb{R})} \|f\|_{C(K, \mathbb{R})} |K| < \infty$$

Moreover, for all $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq K$, $x_\infty \in K$ with $x_n \rightarrow x_\infty$ in K as $n \rightarrow \infty$, it holds by Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_K |(k(x_n, y) - k(x_\infty, y))f(y)| dy = 0.$$

Hence, $Tf \in C(K, \mathbb{R})$ for every $f \in C(K, \mathbb{R})$.

(b) Prove that T is a compact operator.

Solution: Let $\mathcal{F} = \{Tf \mid f \in C(K, \mathbb{R}), \|f\|_{C(K, \mathbb{R})} \leq 1\} \subseteq C(K, \mathbb{R})$. Then, clearly,

$$\sup_{g \in \mathcal{F}} \|g\|_{C(K, \mathbb{R})} \leq \|T\|_{L(C(K, \mathbb{R}), C(K, \mathbb{R}))} \leq |K| \|k\|_{C(K \times K, \mathbb{R})} < \infty,$$

that is, $\mathcal{F} \subseteq C(K, \mathbb{R})$ is bounded. For the application of the Arzela–Ascoli theorem to be justified, it remains to show that \mathcal{F} is equi-continuous. For this, note that k is uniformly continuous on the compact set $K \times K$ and therefore, there exists $\delta: (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that for all $\varepsilon \in (0, \infty)$, $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in K \times K$ with $\|x_1 - x_2\|_{\mathbb{R}^m} + \|y_1 - y_2\|_{\mathbb{R}^m} < \delta_\varepsilon$ it holds that $\|k(x_1, y_1) - k(x_2, y_2)\|_{\mathbb{R}^m} < \varepsilon$. Hence, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varepsilon \in (0, \infty)$, $x_1, x_2 \in K$ with $\|x_1 - x_2\|_{\mathbb{R}^m} < \delta_\varepsilon$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{g \in \mathcal{F}} |g(x_1) - g(x_2)| &= \sup_{f \in C(K, \mathbb{R}), \|f\|_{C(K, \mathbb{R})} \leq 1} |(Tf)(x_1) - (Tf)(x_2)| \\ &\leq \sup_{f \in C(K, \mathbb{R}), \|f\|_{C(K, \mathbb{R})} \leq 1} \int_K |k(x_1, y) - k(x_2, y)||f(y)| dy \\ &\leq \varepsilon |K|. \end{aligned}$$

This establishes the equi-continuity of \mathcal{F} . Combining this with the previously proved boundedness of \mathcal{F} and the Arzela–Ascoli theorem, we obtain that $\overline{\mathcal{F}} \subseteq C(K, \mathbb{R})$ is compact, i.e., T is a compact operator.

(c) If $k(x, y) = k(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in K$, prove that the operator $A: C(K, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C(K, \mathbb{R})$, defined by $Af = f - Tf$ for every $f \in C(K, \mathbb{R})$ is surjective if and only if it is injective.

Solution: By the fact that $\text{im}(I - T)$ is closed as T is compact, the closed range theorem ensures that $A = I - T$ is surjective if and only if $\ker(A^*) = \{0\}$, that is, if and only if A^* is injective. By the Riesz–Markov–Kakutani representation theorem, we can (and will) identify $(C(K, \mathbb{R}))^*$ with the space $\mathcal{M}(K)$ of finite signed regular Borel measures on K . Also we will sloppily consider A^* and T^* as maps from $\mathcal{M}(K)$ to $\mathcal{M}(K)$. This being said, note that for all $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(K)$, $f \in C(K, \mathbb{R})$ it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle T^* \mu, f \rangle &= \langle \mu, Tf \rangle = \int_K (Tf)(x) d\mu(x) = \int_K \int_K k(x, y) f(y) dy d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_K f(y) \int_K k(x, y) d\mu(x) dy,\end{aligned}$$

where the last term can be interpreted as the integration of f against the signed measure with density $K \ni x \mapsto \int_K k(y, x) d\mu(y) \in \mathbb{R}$ w.r.t. the Lebesgue measure on K . In other words, for every $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(K)$ and every Borel-measurable set $A \subseteq K$, it holds that

$$(T^* \nu)(A) = \int_A \int_K k(y, x) d\mu(y) dx$$

For every $\mu \in \ker(A^*)$, it therefore has to hold that μ has a density h w.r.t. the Lebesgue measure on K and for a.e. $x \in K$, it holds that

$$h(x) = \int_K k(y, x) d\mu(y) = \int_K k(y, x) h(y) dy.$$

Now, by similar arguments as in part (a), the term on the right hand side is continuous w.r.t. x . Hence, we may assume that h is continuous and satisfies $h(x) = \int_K k(y, x) h(y) dy$ for every $x \in K$. With the additional assumption that $k(y, x) = k(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in K$, we obtain that surjectivity of A is equivalent to the equation $h = Kh$ having only the trivial solution, that is, to the injectivity of A .

Remark. In both 11.5.(c) and 11.6.(c), the symmetry assumption on k is not really necessary. Riesz–Schauder theory ensures that $\dim(\ker(I - T)) = \dim(\ker(I - T^*)) = \text{codim}(\text{im}(I - T)) = \text{codim}(\text{im}(I - T^*))$.

11.7. A dual statement

Let $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ and $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ be Banach spaces and let $T: D(T) \subseteq X \rightarrow Y$ be a densely defined closed linear operator. Prove that the following properties are equivalent:

- (i) T^* is surjective.
- (ii) There exists $C \in [0, \infty)$ such that $\|u\|_X \leq C\|Tu\|_Y$ for all $u \in D(T)$.
- (iii) T is injective and has closed range.

Solution: “(i) \Rightarrow (ii)“: Since T is a closed linear operator and $\text{im}(T^*) = X^*$ is closed, Banach’s closed range theorem ensures that $\ker(T)^\perp = X^*$, i.e., $\ker(T) = \{0\}$. Hence, $T^{-1}: Y \rightarrow X$ is a well-defined closed operator. By the closed range theorem, T^{-1} is continuous. Hence, there exists $C \in [0, \infty)$ such that for every $u \in D(T)$ it holds that $\|u\|_X = \|T^{-1}Tu\|_X \leq C\|Tu\|_Y$.

“(ii) \Rightarrow (iii)“: From $\|u\|_X \leq C\|Tu\|_Y$ for all $u \in D(T)$ it follows for every $u \in \ker(T)$ immediately that $u = 0$ so that T is injective. Moreover, let $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \text{im}(T)$ and $y_\infty \in Y$ satisfy $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|y_n - y_\infty\|_Y = 0$. Then there exist $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq D(T)$ such that $y_n = Tu_n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The fact that $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is Cauchy together with the assumed inequality implies that $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X . Since $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ is complete, there exists $u_\infty \in X$ so that $u_n \rightarrow u_\infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since T is closed, $u_n \rightarrow u_\infty$ and $Tu_n \rightarrow y_\infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that $u_\infty \in D(T)$ and $y_\infty = Tu_\infty \in \text{im}(T)$. Thus, $\text{im}(T)$ is closed.

“(iii) \Rightarrow (i)“: Since $\text{im}(T)$ is closed and T is a closed operator, Banach’s closed range theorem implies that $\text{im}(T^*) = (\ker(T))^\perp$. Since T is in addition injective, $\text{im}(T^*) = X^*$, i.e., T^* is surjective.