

CHAPTER 6

STOCHASTIC DOMINATION.

Motivation: Ising on  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$  with general b.c.

$$\mu^w \text{ on } \{0, 1\} \quad w = \text{spin configuration at } \partial\Lambda$$

↳ idea.: compare  $\mu^w$  and  $\mu^{w'}$  when  $w \leq w'$ .

Goal: introduce the general concept of stochastic domination

on  $\Omega =$  general Polish space with partial ordering

$$\Omega = \mathbb{R}$$

$$\Omega = \{-1, 1\}^V \quad \text{finite set.}$$

Framework:

- $\Omega =$  Polish space (metric separable, complete)
- equipped with partial ordering  $\leq$
- Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra.

Idea: the elements of  $\Omega$  can be compared via  $\leq$ .

can we compare random elements?

# 1 DEFINITION AND FIRST EXAMPLES.

Def. Let  $\mu, \nu$  be two proba. measures on  $\Omega$ .

We say that  $\mu$  is stoch. dominated by  $\nu$   
(written  $\mu \ll \nu$ ) if:

for all  $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  meas. bounded increasing

$$\int f d\mu \leq \int f d\nu$$

Rk. If  $X \sim \mu$  and  $Y \sim \nu$ . This corresponds to

$$E[f(X)] \leq E[f(Y)]$$

Exple 1:  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}_+$ . For  $x > 0$  consider  $\mu_x$  to be the law of a uniform n.v. on  $[0, x]$ .

(ie  $d\mu_x = \mathbb{1}_{[0, x]} * \frac{1}{x} dt$ )

We have

$$x \leq y \Rightarrow \mu_x \ll \mu_y$$

pf: Let  $X$  be a unif. n.v. on  $[0, x]$

Set  $Y := \frac{y}{x} \cdot X$  (uniform on  $[0, y]$ )

We have  $X \leq Y$  a.s.

Hence  $\forall f \uparrow$  meas. bded  $f(X) \leq f(Y)$ .

Taking the expectation, we conclude

$$\underbrace{E[f(X)]}_{\int f d\mu_x} \leq \underbrace{E[f(Y)]}_{\int f d\mu_y}$$

Example 2  $\Omega = \{0, 1\}$ . For  $p \in [0, 1]$ , set  $\mu_p = \text{Bernoulli}(p)$

$$p \leq q \Rightarrow \mu_p \leq \mu_q.$$

Proof. Let  $U$  be uniform n. v. in  $[0, 1]$

$$\text{Define } X = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } U \leq p \\ 0 & \text{if } U > p \end{cases}, \quad Y = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } U \leq q \\ 0 & \text{if } U > q \end{cases}.$$

$$p \leq q \Rightarrow X \leq Y \text{ a.s.} \Rightarrow \forall f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \uparrow f(X) \leq f(Y)$$

$$\Rightarrow E[f(X)] \leq E[f(Y)]$$

$$\underbrace{\int f d\mu_p}_{E[f(X)]} \leq \int f d\mu_q. \quad \square$$

In the two examples above, we prove  $\mu \ll \nu$  by using a coupling argument: we construct two n.v.  $X, Y$  on the same probability space s.t.

$$X \sim \mu \quad Y \sim \nu \quad X \leq Y \text{ a.s.}$$

The existence of such coupling ensures that  $\mu \ll \nu$ .

A theorem of Shassen asserts that the reciprocal holds.

Thm. Let  $\Omega$  be a Polish space, with  $\leq$ .

Let  $\mu, \nu$  be two proba. measures on  $\Omega$

The following are equivalent:

(i)  $\mu \ll \nu$   $\rightarrow$  "measure theoretical view point"

(ii)  $\exists$  two n.v.  $X \sim \mu \quad Y \sim \nu$  on the same proba space s.t.

$X \leq Y \text{ a.s.} \rightarrow$  "proba view point"

PP: admitted. see Lindvall '99 (e.c.p) or Werner

[percolation & modèle d'Ising p. 98] for the case  $\Omega$  finite.

## 2 STOCHASTIC DOMINATION ON PRODUCT SPACE

$V$  = fixed finite set

$$\Omega = \{-1, +1\}^V, \leq \text{product ordering}$$

$$\gamma \leq \psi \Leftrightarrow \forall x \in V \quad \gamma(x) \leq \psi(x)$$

Rk: all the section can be adapted to  $\Omega = S^V$ ,  $S$  finite ordered

Exercise:  $\mu_p := (\text{Bernoulli}(p))^{\otimes V}$  ( $\mu_p(\gamma) = p^{|\gamma|} (1-p)^{|V|-|\gamma|}$  where  $|\gamma| = |\{i: \gamma_i = +1\}|$ )

Prove that  $p \leq q \Rightarrow \mu_p \ll \mu_q$

Q: How to prove  $\mu \ll \nu$  for  $\mu, \nu$  non product measure?

TR: [Holley criterion]

Let  $\mu, \nu$  be two positive measures on  $\Omega$ ,  
(ie  $\mu(\gamma), \nu(\gamma) > 0 \forall \gamma \in \Omega$ ).

If

$$\forall \gamma \leq \psi$$

$$\frac{\mu[\gamma^x]}{\mu[\gamma_x]} \leq \frac{\nu[\psi^x]}{\nu[\psi_x]}$$

Then  $\mu \ll \nu$

Not:  $\gamma^x(y) = \begin{cases} \gamma(y) & y \neq x \\ +1 & y = x \end{cases}$        $\gamma_x(y) = \begin{cases} \gamma(y) & y \neq x \\ -1 & y = x \end{cases}$

# Glauber dynamics for $\mu$

Notation: for  $x \in V, \gamma \in \Omega$   $\mu_x^\gamma := \mu[\cdot \mid \forall y \neq x, w(y) = \gamma(y)]$

$\hookrightarrow$  "resampling at  $x$ "

Consider the Markov chain  $X = (X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  on  $\Omega$  def. by

•  $X_0 \sim \delta_0$

• Assume  $X_n = \gamma$  for  $n \geq 0$

$\rightarrow$  Pick  $z \in V$  uniformly at random (indep. of the past)

$\rightarrow$  Define  $X_{n+1}$  according to the measure  $\mu_x^\gamma$ .

Equiv.  $X_n$  is a MC with initial distribution  $\delta_0$  and transition probability

•  $P(\gamma, \Psi) = \frac{1}{|V|} \mu_x^\gamma[\Psi]$  if  $\gamma$  and  $\Psi$  differ only at  $x$

•  $P(\gamma, \gamma) = \frac{1}{|V|} \sum_{x \in V} \mu_x^\gamma[\gamma]$

•  $P(\gamma, \Psi) = 0$  if  $\gamma, \Psi$  differ at  $\geq 2$  vertices.

Prop.  $X$  is a irreducible aperiodic MC with invariant distribution  $\mu$ . In particular  $\forall f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$E[f(X_n)] \rightarrow \int f d\mu.$$

## Proof of TR (Molloy)

Let  $\gamma \leq \psi$ . Let  $x \in V$

$$\mu_x^\gamma [w(x)=1] = \frac{\mu[\gamma^x]}{\mu[\gamma^x] + \mu[\gamma_x]} = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\mu[\gamma_x]}{\mu[\gamma^x]}}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\nu[\psi_x]}{\nu[\psi^x]}} = \nu_x^\psi [w(x)=+1].$$

Let  $U_1, U_2, \dots$  iid uniform in  $[0, 1]$ .

$Z_1, Z_2, \dots$  iid uniform in  $V$ .

We construct two coupled MC.  $X = (X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $Y = (Y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , where  $X$  is Glauber( $\gamma$ ) and  $Y$  is Glauber( $\psi$ ) and

$$\forall n \quad X_n \leq Y_n. \quad (\ast)$$

set  $(X_0, Y_0) = (0, 0)$

For  $n \geq 0$  define  $(X_{n+1}, Y_{n+1})$  as follows

For  $x \neq Z_{n+1}$ , set  $X_{n+1}(x) = X_n(x)$      $Y_{n+1}(x) = Y_n(x)$

For  $x = Z_{n+1}$ , set

$$X_{n+1}(x) = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } U_{n+1} \leq \mu_x^\gamma [w(x)=+1] \\ -1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad Y_{n+1}(x) = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } U_{n+1} \leq \nu_x^\psi [w(x)=+1] \\ -1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(9)

By induction, (\*) holds and therefore, for every  $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$

$$E[f(X_n)] \leq E[f(Y_n)]$$

and we obtain

$$\int f d\mu \leq \int f d\nu$$

by letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  . . .

Application If  $G = (V, E)$ ,  $J \geq 0$  is a weighted graph  
 $g \in V$  ghost,  $\mu = \text{Iocmg}$   $\mu^+ = \mu[\cdot | \sigma_g = +1]$   
 $\mu \ll \mu^+$  .

Rk: By considering  $P$  the invariant measure of the MC  $(X_n, Y_n)$   
one obtains a coupling<sup>\*</sup> between  $\mu$  and  $\nu$ . s.t.

$$P[(\gamma, \psi) \in \Omega^2 : \gamma \leq \psi] = 1 .$$

(i.e.  $P[\cdot \times \Omega] = \mu$  and  $P[\Omega \times \cdot] = \nu$ )

### 3 FKG INEQUALITY.

Th: Let  $\mu$  be a  $> 0$  measure on  $\Omega = \{-1, 1\}^V$ . If

$$\forall x \in V \quad \forall \gamma \leq \psi \quad \frac{\mu(\gamma^x)}{\mu(\gamma_x)} \leq \frac{\mu(\psi^x)}{\mu(\psi_x)}$$

Then  $\mu$  satisfies the FKG inequality

$$\boxed{\forall f, g: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \quad \int fg d\mu \geq \int f d\mu \cdot \int g d\mu .}$$



(3)

Proof: WLOG  $f(\gamma) > 0 \ \forall \gamma \in \Omega$  (otherwise consider  $f + c$ )  
c large constant

Consider the  $> 0$  proba measure  $\nu$  defined by

$$\forall \Psi \in \Omega \quad \nu[\Psi] := \frac{1}{\int f d\mu} = f(\Psi) \cdot \mu[\Psi]$$

Since  $f \uparrow$ , we have  $\forall \gamma \leq \Psi \ \forall x \in V$

$$\frac{\nu[\Psi^x]}{\nu[\Psi_x]} = \frac{f(\Psi^x)}{f(\Psi_x)} \cdot \frac{\mu[\Psi^x]}{\mu[\Psi_x]} \geq \frac{\mu[\gamma^x]}{\mu[\gamma_x]}$$

Hence by Holley criterion  $\mu \ll \nu$ . For every  $g \geq 0$

$$\int g d\mu \leq \int g d\nu = \frac{1}{\int f d\mu} \cdot \int f g d\mu. \quad \square$$

Application: • FKG for Bernoulli perco  $P_p = (\text{Bernoulli}(p))^{\otimes V}$

• FKG for Ising Model (later)