

# Mathematical Foundations for Finance

## Exercise sheet 11

Please upload your solutions until Wednesday, 08/12/2021, 12:00 using the link on the course website.

**Exercise 11.1** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability space with a filtration  $\mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$  satisfying the usual conditions. Assume that  $\mathcal{F}_0$  is  $P$ -trivial and consider a Brownian motion  $W$  on this space.

- (a) Prove that any continuous, adapted process  $H$  is predictable and locally bounded.  
*Hint 1: Recall that a process  $X$  is locally bounded if there exists a sequence of stopping times  $(\tau_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  increasing to infinity such that each  $X^{\tau_n}$  is uniformly bounded  $P$ -a.s.*
- (b) Prove that any predictable, locally bounded process  $H$  is an element of  $L^2_{\text{loc}}(W)$ .  
*Hint: We saw that  $L^2_{\text{loc}}(M)$  can be characterized in a nice way when  $M$  is a continuous local martingale null at 0.*
- (c) Deduce that for any function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  in  $C^1$ , the stochastic integral  $\int_0^\cdot f'(W_s) dW_s$  is a continuous local martingale.
- (d) Conclude using Itô's formula that  $f(W)$  for a given  $f \in C^2$  is a continuous local martingale if and only if  $\int_0^\cdot f''(W_s) ds = 0$ .  
*Hint 1: If  $M$  and  $N$  are local  $(P, \mathbb{F})$ -martingales, then  $M + N$  is a local  $(P, \mathbb{F})$ -martingale.  
Hint 2: For every continuous local martingale  $M$  null at 0 and with finite variation, we have that  $M = 0$   $P$ -a.s.*

### Solution 11.1

- (a) Recall that a process  $H$  is predictable if it is  $\mathcal{P}$ -measurable when viewed as a mapping  $H : \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , for  $\bar{\Omega} := \Omega \times (0, \infty)$  and  $\mathcal{P}$  being the  $\sigma$ -field on  $\bar{\Omega}$  generated by all left-continuous adapted processes. Since  $H$  is adapted and continuous (therefore also left-continuous), it is obviously predictable.

Define now  $(\tau_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  by

$$\tau_n := \inf\{t \geq 0 \mid |H_t| > n\}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Observe that  $\tau_n$  is a stopping time for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , by the continuity of  $H$  and the right-continuity of the filtration (see also Exercise 10.1 (a) where we have done this for BM, and realize that the proof uses only the continuity of BM). The sequence  $(\tau_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is then clearly increasing  $P$ -a.s. since the Brownian Motion has  $P$ -a.s. continuous trajectories.

Fix now an  $\omega \in \Omega$  such that the map  $t \mapsto H_t(\omega)$  is continuous. Since continuous functions are bounded on compact intervals, we have that for all  $T \geq 0$ , there exists an  $N := N(\omega, T) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|H_t(\omega)| < N$  for all  $t \in [0, T]$ , and thus  $\tau_n(\omega) \geq T$  for all  $n \geq N$ . As a result  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau_n(\omega) = \infty$  and hence  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau_n = \infty$   $P$ -a.s. We can thus conclude that  $(\tau_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  defines a localizing sequence.

Finally, by the definition of  $\tau_n$ , we have that for all  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,

$$|H_t(\omega)| \leq n \quad \forall t < \tau_n(\omega).$$

There are now two possible cases. Either  $\tau_n(\omega) = 0$  and hence  $|H_{\tau_n(\omega)}(\omega)| = |H_0(\omega)|$ , or  $\tau_n(\omega) > 0$  in which case  $[0, \tau_n(\omega)) \neq \emptyset$  and by continuity of  $H$  we can compute

$$|H_{\tau_n(\omega)}(\omega)| = \lim_{\substack{t \rightarrow \tau_n(\omega) \\ t < \tau_n(\omega)}} |H_t(\omega)| \leq n$$

for  $P$ -a.a.  $\omega \in \Omega$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}_0$  is  $P$ -trivial,  $H_0 = h_0 \in \mathbb{R}$   $P$ -a.s. and we can conclude that  $|H_t(\omega)| \leq n \vee |h_0|$  for all  $t \leq \tau_n(\omega)$  and  $P$ -a.a.  $\omega \in \Omega$  and thus

$$|H_t^{\tau_n}| \leq n \vee |h_0| \quad P\text{-a.s. for all } t \geq 0.$$

(b) Since  $W$  is a *continuous* (local) martingale,  $H \in L_{\text{loc}}^2(W)$  if and only if it is predictable and

$$\int_0^t H_s^2 ds = \int_0^t H_s^2 d[W]_s < \infty \quad P\text{-a.s.}$$

for each  $t \geq 0$  (see top of the page 87 in the lecture notes). The first property is true by assumption. For the second one, let  $(\tau_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of stopping times increasing  $P$ -a.s. to infinity such that  $H^{\tau_n}$  is uniformly bounded  $P$ -a.s. (i.e.  $|H_t^{\tau_n}| \leq c_n$  for some  $c_n \geq 0$  for all  $t \geq 0$ ). Let  $\Omega_0$  be the set of all  $\omega \in \Omega$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau_n(\omega) = \infty$  and  $|H_t^{\tau_n}(\omega)| \leq c_n$  for all  $t \geq 0$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since countable intersections of sets of probability one are of probability 1,  $P[\Omega_0] = 1$ . Fix then an  $\omega \in \Omega_0$  and a  $t > 0$ . Observe that since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau_n(\omega) = \infty$ , there exists an  $N := N(\omega, t) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\tau_N(\omega) > t$ . As a result

$$\int_0^t H_s^2(\omega) ds = \int_0^t (H_s^{\tau_N}(\omega))^2 ds \leq \int_0^t c_N^2 ds = c_N^2 t < \infty$$

and hence  $\int_0^t H_s^2(\omega) ds < \infty$  for all  $\omega \in \Omega_0$  and  $t > 0$ .

(c) First note that  $(f'(W_t))_{t \geq 0}$  is adapted and continuous because  $f'$  is continuous and  $W$  is adapted and continuous. By (a),  $f'(W)$  is then predictable and locally bounded. Since  $f'(W) \in L_{\text{loc}}^2(W)$  by (b) and since  $W$  is a (local) martingale null at 0, the stochastic integral  $\int_0^\cdot f'(W_s) dW_s$  is well defined by and is indeed a continuous local martingale null at 0 (see the bottom of page 86 in the lecture notes).

(d) Since  $f \in C^2$ , we can compute by Itô's lemma that

$$f(W_t) = f(0) + \int_0^t f'(W_s) dW_s + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t f''(W_s) ds. \tag{1}$$

Since  $\int_0^\cdot f'(W_s) dW_s$  is a continuous local martingale by (c), it is clear that the same holds true for  $f(W)$  if  $\int_0^t f''(W_s) ds = 0$   $P$ -a.s. for all  $t \geq 0$ .

Assume now for the converse that  $f(W)$  is a continuous local martingale. We obtain by rearranging (1) that

$$\int_0^t f''(W_s) ds = 2f(W_t) - 2f(0) - 2 \int_0^t f'(W_s) dW_s \quad P\text{-a.s. for all } t \geq 0.$$

Since the right-hand side is a sum of two local  $(P, \mathbb{F})$ -martingales and it is obviously null at 0, we can conclude by Hint 1 that  $\int_0^\cdot f''(W_s) ds$  is a local martingale null at 0.

However,  $\int_0^\cdot f''(W_s(\omega)) d\langle W \rangle_s(\omega) = \int_0^\cdot f''(W_s(\omega)) ds$  is defined pathwise for  $P$ -a.a.  $\omega \in \Omega$  as a Lebesgue-Stieltjes integral. Since  $f''(W_s(\omega))$  is continuous (as a function of  $s$ ) for  $P$ -a.a.  $\omega \in \Omega$ , it is also  $P$ -a.s. bounded on any compact interval  $[0, t]$  and therefore integrable on any such interval. But this means that the paths

$$g_\omega(t) = \int_0^t f''(W_s(\omega)) ds$$

are absolutely continuous for  $P$ -a.a.  $\omega \in \Omega$  and hence of finite variation for  $P$ -a.a.  $\omega \in \Omega$ . We can therefore conclude by Hint 2 that  $\int_0^\cdot f''(W_s) ds$  is identically equal to 0  $P$ -a.s.

Alternatively, we could compute for a any partition  $\Pi$  of  $[0, \infty)$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{t_i \in \Pi} |g_\omega(t_i \wedge t) - g_\omega(t_{i-1} \wedge t)| &= \sum_{t_i \in \Pi} \left| \int_{t_{i-1} \wedge t}^{t_i \wedge t} f''(W_s(\omega)) ds \right| \leq \sum_{t_i \in \Pi} \int_{t_{i-1} \wedge t}^{t_i \wedge t} |f''(W_s(\omega))| ds \\ &= \int_0^{t_N \wedge t} |f''(W_s(\omega))| ds \leq \int_0^t |f''(W_s(\omega))| ds, \end{aligned}$$

where the last expression is finite for  $P$ -a.a.  $\omega \in \Omega$  since for  $P$ -a.a.  $\omega \in \Omega$  it is an integral of a continuous function on a compact interval. Since the above holds for any partition  $\Pi$  of  $[0, \infty)$  we must also have that

$$\sup_{\Pi} \sum_{t_i \in \Pi} |g_\omega(t_i \wedge t) - g_\omega(t_{i-1} \wedge t)| \leq \int_0^t |f''(W_s(\omega))| ds < \infty,$$

and hence we can conclude using Hint 2 as before.

**Exercise 11.2** Let  $W = (W_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be a Brownian motion with respect to a probability measure  $P$  and a filtration  $\mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ . Using Itô's formula, say and justify for each of the following processes whether they are local  $(P, \mathbb{F})$ -martingales or not. Which of them are even  $(P, \mathbb{F})$ -martingales?

- (a)  $X_t^{(1)} := \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2 t\right) \cos(\alpha(W_t - \beta))$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , where  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ .  
Hint: For the martingale property of  $X^{(1)}$ , look first at  $[0, T]$  for some  $T > 0$ .
- (b)  $X_t^{(2)} := \sin W_t - \cos W_t$ ,  $t \geq 0$ .
- (c)  $X_t^{(3)} := W_t^p - ptW_t$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , for  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $p \geq 2$ .  
Hint: For any  $T > 0$ ,  $\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} W_t$  has the same distribution as  $|W_T|$  and so has  $-\inf_{0 \leq s \leq T} W_s$ .

**Solution 11.2** First, we notice that  $X^{(1)}, X^{(2)}, X^{(3)}$  are all of the form  $X_t = f(W_t, t)$  with  $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  in  $C^2$ . By Itô's formula, it then follows that

$$X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t \frac{\partial f}{\partial w}(W_s, s) dW_s + \int_0^t \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(W_s, s) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{(\partial w)^2}(W_s, s) \right) ds.$$

Since  $W$  is a continuous  $(P, \mathbb{F})$ -martingale and since the integrand  $\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial w}(W_t, t)\right)_{t \geq 0}$  is continuous and adapted, and therefore also predictable, the integrand is also an element of  $L_{loc}^2(W)$  and we have that

$$\int_0^t \frac{\partial f}{\partial w}(W_s, s) dW_s$$

is a local  $(P, \mathbb{F})$ -martingale. Moreover, analogously to Exercise 11.1 (d), the process  $X$  is a (continuous) local  $(P, \mathbb{F})$ -martingale if and only if

$$\int_0^t \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(W_s, s) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{(\partial w)^2}(W_s, s) \right) ds = 0, \quad P\text{-a.s. for all } t \geq 0. \tag{2}$$

- (a) We have  $X_t^{(1)} = f^{(1)}(W_t, t)$ , where  $f^{(1)}(w, t) = \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2 t\right) \cos(\alpha(w - \beta))$ . A direct computation shows that

$$\frac{\partial f^{(1)}}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f^{(1)}}{(\partial w)^2} = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty).$$

Hence, by (2),  $X^{(1)}$  is a local  $(P, \mathbb{F})$ -martingale. But for any  $T \geq 0$ , the process  $X^{(1)}$  is bounded on  $[0, T]$ , i.e.

$$|X_t^{(1)}| \leq \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2 T\right) \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T], \tag{3}$$

which means, in particular, that  $X^{(1)}$  is integrable on  $[0, T]$ . Now let  $(\tau_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a localizing sequence for  $X^{(1)}$ . By (3), dominated convergence theorem gives us that

$$E \left[ X_t^{(1)} \mid \mathcal{F}_s \right] = E \left[ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_{t \wedge \tau_n}^{(1)} \mid \mathcal{F}_s \right] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E \left[ X_{t \wedge \tau_n}^{(1)} \mid \mathcal{F}_s \right] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_{s \wedge \tau_n}^{(1)} = X_s^{(1)},$$

which is the martingale property of  $X^{(1)}$  on  $[0, T]$  and concludes showing that  $X^{(1)}$  is a true  $(P, \mathbb{F})$ -martingale on  $[0, T]$ . However, the above holds true for any  $T > 0$  and since we can write  $[0, \infty) = \bigcup_{T > 0} [0, T]$ ,  $X^{(1)}$  is in fact a true  $(P, \mathbb{F})$ -martingale on  $[0, \infty)$ .

- (b) We have  $X_t^{(2)} = f^{(2)}(W_t, t)$ , where  $f^{(2)}(w, t) = \sin(w) - \cos(w)$ . A direct computation shows that

$$\frac{\partial f^{(2)}}{\partial t}(w, t) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f^{(2)}}{(\partial w)^2}(w, t) = \frac{1}{2}(\cos w - \sin w). \tag{4}$$

Observe that for  $w \in [-\pi/8, \pi/8]$  we have that the expression in (4) is strictly positive. So,  $X^{(2)}$  is not a local  $(P, \mathbb{F})$ -martingale and consequently not a  $(P, \mathbb{F})$ -martingale.

- (c) We have  $X_t^{(3)} = f^{(3)}(W_t, t)$ , where  $f^{(3)}(w, t) = w^p - ptw$ . Moreover

$$\frac{\partial f^{(3)}}{\partial t}(w, t) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f^{(3)}}{(\partial w)^2}(w, t) = -pw + \frac{1}{2}p(p-1)w^{p-2}, \quad (w, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty).$$

The latter term is identically equal to 0 if and only if  $p = 3$ . Hence, by (2), the process  $X^{(3)}$  is a local  $(P, \mathbb{F})$ -martingale if and only if  $p = 3$ .

In order to show that  $X^{(3)}$  is indeed a true  $(P, \mathbb{F})$ -martingale, we will use the result from Exercise 10.2 (a), i.e. that if  $M = (M_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is an RCLL local  $(P, \mathbb{F})$ -martingale null at 0 with  $\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |M_t| \in L^2(P)$ , then  $M$  is a true  $(P, \mathbb{F})$ -martingale on  $[0, T]$ .

Since  $\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} W_t \geq 0$ , we can write for all  $T > 0$  that

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |W_t| \leq \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} W_t + \left| \inf_{0 \leq t \leq T} W_t \right| = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} W_t + \left| - \inf_{0 \leq t \leq T} W_t \right|.$$

But since we have by the hint that  $\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} W_t \stackrel{(d)}{=} |W_T|$  and  $-\inf_{0 \leq t \leq T} W_t \stackrel{(d)}{=} |W_T|$ , we have, in particular, that  $\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} W_t$  and  $|\inf_{0 \leq t \leq T} W_t|$  both belong to  $L^q(P)$  for any  $q \in (0, \infty)$ . We thus also have that  $\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |W_t| \in L^q(P)$  for any  $q \in (0, \infty)$ .

Moreover, by the above result, we have that

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |X_t^{(3)}| \leq \left( \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |W_t| \right)^3 + 3T \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |W_t| \in L^2(P)$$

for all  $T > 0$ , and hence on  $[0, \infty)$ , and we are done.

**Exercise 11.3** Let  $W = (W_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be a Brownian motion with respect to some probability measure  $P$  and a filtration  $\mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ . Use Itô's formula to write the following processes as stochastic integrals.

- (a)  $X_t^{(1)} = W_t^2$ .
- (b)  $X_t^{(2)} = t^2 W_t^3$ .
- (c)  $X_t^{(3)} = \exp(mt + \sigma W_t)$ .
- (d)  $X_t^{(4)} = \cos(t + W_t)$ .

- (e)  $X_t^{(5)} = \log(2 + \cos(W_t - t))$ .
- (f) Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be two continuous real-valued  $(P, \mathbb{F})$ -semimartingales. Define the process  $Z = XY$ . Apply Itô's formula to  $Z$  and write it as a sum of stochastic integrals.

**Solution 11.3** First we note that the value at time  $t$  of the first five processes can be written as the value of some  $C^2$ -functions at the point  $(t, W_t)$ . The process  $((t, W_t))_{t \geq 0}$  is a continuous semimartingale, so we can apply Itô's formula.

- (a)  $X_t^{(1)} = W_t^2$ . We have  $X_t^{(1)} = f^{(1)}(W_t)$  for  $f^{(1)} : x \mapsto x^2$ . A quick computation gives

$$f_x^{(1)}(x) = 2x, \quad f_{xx}^{(1)}(x) = 2,$$

and by Itô's formula, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} X_t^{(1)} &= X_0^{(1)} + \int_0^t f_x^{(1)}(W_s) dW_s + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t f_{xx}^{(1)}(W_s) ds \\ &= 2 \int_0^t W_s dW_s + \int_0^t ds \\ &= 2 \int_0^t W_s dW_s + t. \end{aligned}$$

Note that this also gives that

$$\int_0^t W_s dW_s = \frac{1}{2} W_t^2 - \frac{1}{2} t$$

as shown in a different way in the example on the page 89 in the lecture notes.

- (b) We have  $X_t^{(2)} = f^{(2)}(t, W_t)$  for  $f^{(2)} : (t, x) \mapsto t^2 x^3$ . Partial differentiation gives

$$f_t^{(2)}(t, x) = 2tx^3, \quad f_{t,x}^{(2)}(t, x) = 3t^2 x^2, \quad f_{xx}^{(2)}(t, x) = 6t^2 x.$$

By Itô's formula, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} X_t^{(2)} &= X_0^{(2)} + \int_0^t f_t^{(2)}(s, W_s) ds + \int_0^t f_x^{(2)}(s, W_s) dW_s + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t f_{xx}^{(2)}(s, W_s) ds \\ &= \int_0^t (2sW_s^3 + 3s^2 W_s) ds + 3 \int_0^t s^2 W_s^2 dW_s. \end{aligned}$$

- (c) We can write  $X_t^{(3)} = f^{(3)}(t, W_t)$  for  $f^{(3)} : (t, x) \mapsto \exp(mt + \sigma W_t)$ . We compute

$$f_t^{(3)}(t, x) = m \exp(mt + \sigma x), \quad f_x^{(3)}(t, x) = \sigma \exp(mt + \sigma x), \quad f_{xx}^{(3)}(t, x) = \sigma^2 \exp(mt + \sigma x).$$

As before, Itô's formula yields

$$\begin{aligned} X_t^{(3)} &= X_0^{(3)} + \int_0^t f_t^{(3)}(s, W_s) ds + \int_0^t f_x^{(3)}(s, W_s) dW_s + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t f_{xx}^{(3)}(s, W_s) ds \\ &= 1 + \int_0^t \left( m + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \right) \exp(ms + \sigma W_s) ds + \int_0^t \sigma \exp(ms + \sigma W_s) dW_s. \end{aligned}$$

We can also rewrite the last equality as

$$X_t^{(3)} - X_0^{(3)} = \int_0^t \left( m + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \right) X_s^{(3)} ds + \int_0^t \sigma X_s^{(3)} dW_s.$$

- (d) Define  $f^{(4)} : (t, x) \mapsto \cos(t + x)$ ; then  $X_t^{(4)} = f^{(4)}(t, W_t)$ . We need to compute the three partial derivatives

$$f_t^{(4)}(t, x) = -\sin(t + x), \quad f_x^{(4)}(t, x) = -\sin(t + x), \quad f_{xx}^{(4)}(t, x) = -\cos(t + x).$$

Itô's formula yields

$$\begin{aligned} X_t^{(4)} &= X_0^{(4)} + \int_0^t f_t^{(4)}(s, W_s) ds + \int_0^t f_x^{(4)}(s, W_s) dW_s + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t f_{xx}^{(4)}(s, W_s) ds \\ &= 1 - \int_0^t \left( \sin(s + W_s) + \frac{1}{2} \cos(s + W_s) \right) ds - \int_0^t \sin(s + W_s) dW_s. \end{aligned}$$

- (e) Define  $f^{(5)} : (t, x) \mapsto \log(2 + \cos(x - t))$ ; then  $X_t^{(5)} = f^{(5)}(t, W_t)$ . We compute

$$\begin{aligned} f_t^{(5)}(t, x) &= \frac{\sin(x - t)}{2 + \cos(x - t)}, & f_x^{(5)}(t, x) &= -\frac{\sin(x - t)}{2 + \cos(x - t)}, \\ f_{xx}^{(5)}(t, x) &= -\frac{\cos(x - t)(2 + \cos(x - t)) + \sin(x - t)^2}{(2 + \cos(x - t))^2} \\ &= -\frac{1 + 2\cos(x - t)}{(2 + \cos(x - t))^2}. \end{aligned}$$

By Itô's formula, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} X_t^{(5)} &= X_0^{(5)} + \int_0^t f_t^{(5)}(s, W_s) ds + \int_0^t f_x^{(5)}(s, W_s) dW_s + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t f_{xx}^{(5)}(s, W_s) ds \\ &= \log(3) + \int_0^t \left( \frac{\sin(W_s - s)}{2 + \cos(W_s - s)} - \frac{1 + 2\cos(W_s - s)}{2(2 + \cos(W_s - s))^2} \right) ds - \int_0^t \frac{\sin(W_s - s)}{2 + \cos(W_s - s)} dW_s. \end{aligned}$$

- (f) We can write  $Z_t = g(X_t, Y_t)$  for  $g : (x, y) \mapsto xy$ , which is twice continuously differentiable in every variable. Applying Itô's formula to  $g$ , we get that

$$Z_t - Z_0 = \int_0^t Y_s dX_s + \int_0^t X_s dY_s + \int_0^t d[X, Y]_s,$$

since  $X$  and  $Y$  are continuous. This formula is sometimes referred to as the *product formula* or the *integration by parts formula*.