

Exercise Sheet 11

Algebraic Geometry

Jeremy Feusi

May 17, 2022

Exercise 1. Consider the symmetric power map

$$\phi: \mathbb{P}^1 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^1 \text{ (} d \text{ times)} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^d.$$

Prove that ϕ is a finite morphism.

Answer. The symmetric power map is given as follows: Consider the polynomial

$$P(s) := \prod_{i=1}^d (x_i - y_i \cdot s)$$

and let a_k be the coefficient to s^k of $P(s)$. Then

$$\phi([x_1 : y_1], \dots, [x_d : y_d]) = [a_0 : \cdots : a_d].$$

We aim to show that ϕ is projective and quasi-finite (which shows that ϕ is finite as shown in the lecture). To this end, we first show that ϕ is quasi-finite: Indeed, let $\phi([x_1 : y_1], \dots, [x_d : y_d]) = [a_0 : \cdots : a_d]$. If $a_d = 0$, then there exists i such that $y_i = 0$. But then, omitting this coordinate and replacing d with $d - 1$, ϕ restricts to the analogously defined map into \mathbb{P}^{d-1} and hence we may assume that all y_i are non-zero. Thus ϕ is in fact a map into $D_+(a_d)$. The preimage of this set under ϕ is precisely $D_+(y_1) \times \cdots \times D_+(y_d)$ and composing with the canonical charts of $D_+(a_d)$ and $D_+(y_i)$, we have that we can replace ϕ with $\psi: \mathbb{A}^1 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^d$ sending (x_1, \dots, x_d) to (s_0, \dots, s_{d-1}) where s_k is the k -th symmetric polynomial in the x_i . We claim that this map is in fact finite. Indeed, writing $k[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ for the coordinate ring of \mathbb{A}^d , each x_i satisfies the polynomial equation in $k[s_0, \dots, s_{d-1}]$:

$$0 = \prod_{k=1}^d (X - x_k).$$

Consequently the x_i are integral and it follows that $k[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ is a finitely generated $k[s_0, \dots, s_{d-1}]$ -module showing the claim. Now, since ψ is finite, so are its fibres and by the above, so are the fibres of ϕ .

It remains to show that ϕ is projective. This can be seen as follows: Notice that $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \dots \times \mathbb{P}^1$ is a projective variety. Indeed, considering any family of closed embeddings $\mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^k$ (for example the Segre embedding) and using induction, we obtain a closed embedding $i : \mathbb{P}^1 \times \dots \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ for some n . In particular, the map $\phi \times i : \mathbb{P}^1 \times \dots \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^d \times \mathbb{P}^n$ is injective. Moreover, we know from the lecture that images of projective varieties under morphisms are closed and hence $\phi \times i$ is closed. It is obviously an embedding because i is an embedding (the inverse “forgets” the first component and applies the inverse of i) and we conclude that $\phi \times i$ is a closed embedding. But now, $\phi = \pi \circ (\phi \times i)$ where $\pi : \mathbb{P}^d \times \mathbb{P}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^d$ is the projection onto the first component and by definition ϕ is projective. From the lecture, we know that projective and quasi-finite morphisms are finite and we conclude. \square

Exercise 2. Consider the map

$$\phi : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1, z \mapsto [f(z) : g(z)]$$

for some $f, g \in k[z]$ with no common zeros and not both constant. Compute the degree of ϕ .

Answer. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\deg(f) \geq \deg(g)$ for else, we may swap f and g . For

Notice that \mathbb{P}^1 and \mathbb{A}^1 are birational and hence the function field of \mathbb{P}^1 is $k(t)$. To determine a generator, consider the birational equivalence $\phi : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ defined by

$$\phi(x : y) = \frac{y}{x}$$

on $D_+(x)$. Now, the function field of \mathbb{A}^1 is generated by t and hence we obtain a generator of the function field of \mathbb{P}^1 $f := \phi^*(t)$. This is the regular function $\frac{y}{x}$ defined on $D_+(x)$. It follows that ϕ induces a field morphism $\phi^* : k(t) \rightarrow k(t)$ defined by

$$\phi^*(t) = \frac{g(t)}{f(t)}.$$

Consequently we must determine $[k(t) : k(g/f)]$. Equivalently, we may determine the degree of the minimal polynomial of t over $k(g/f)$. First, observe that we may assume that g and f are monic after dividing by the lead coefficient. We

claim then that the minimal polynomial is given by

$$P := f(X) - g(X) \cdot \frac{f(t)}{g(t)}.$$

This is indeed a non-constant polynomial in X since f is non-constant and thus the image of P under the evaluation morphism sending t to some zero of f is non-constant. Moreover, t is a zero of P . It remains to show that P is irreducible. We wish to apply Gauss's lemma and thus first show that $P \in k[g/f]$ is primitive: To this end, first notice that this is obvious if $\deg(f) > \deg(g)$. Otherwise, the leading coefficient of P is $1 - f/g$. Since $f \neq g$, there exists at least one coefficient which f and g do not have in common. Consequently, one of the coefficients of P is of the form $\alpha - \beta \cdot f/g$ where $\alpha, \beta \in k$ are not equal. Observe that:

$$\beta \cdot (1 - f/g) - (\alpha - \beta \cdot f/g) = \beta - \alpha \neq 0$$

which is a unit in $k[g/f]$ showing that these two coefficients are coprime and hence P is primitive. By Gauss's lemma, it suffices to show that P is irreducible in $k[g/f]$. We claim that the canonical map $\psi : k[t] \rightarrow k[g/f]$ is an isomorphism. This is equivalent to claiming that g/f is not algebraic over k . To see that this is the case, note that $k[t]$ is not algebraic over k and $k[t]$ is a finite extension of $k[g/f]$. By the multiplicativity of degrees, $k[g/f]|k$ is an infinite extension showing that g/f is transcendental and the claim follows. Therefore, we may equivalently show that $Q := f(X) - g(X) \cdot t$ is irreducible in $k[t, X]$. Now, since f and g have no roots in common, they are coprime in $k[X]$. This means that Q is primitive and applying Gauss's lemma a second time, we see that we may equivalently show that Q is irreducible in $k(X)[t]$. But this is trivial since Q is of degree 1 in t and $k(X)$ is a field. Consequently

$$[k(t) : k(g/f)] = \deg(P) = \deg(f) = \max\{\deg(f), \deg(g)\}$$

where the second equality can be seen in the case $\deg(f) = \deg(g)$ by observing that the lead coefficient of P is $1 - f/g \neq 0$ in this case.

Exercise 3. Suppose M is a B -module that is an increasing union of submodules M_i with $M_0 = 0$ and such that every M_{i+1}/M_i is a free B -module.

1. Show that M is a free B -module.

We say that an arbitrary B -algebra A satisfies (\star) if for each finitely generated A -module M , there exists a nonzero $f \in B$ such that M_f is a free B_f -module.

2. Suppose B is a noetherian integral domain. Prove that B satisfies (\star) .
3. Suppose B is a Noetherian integral domain and A is a finitely generated B -algebra satisfying (\star) . Prove that $A[T]$ also satisfies (\star) .

Answer. Task 1: We show inductively that M_i is free and that there exists a basis of M_i which contains a basis of M_{i-1} :

Base case: For $i = 0$, this is trivial.

Induction step ($i \rightarrow i + 1$): Assume that M_i is free and let \mathcal{B}_i be a basis of M_i . Consider the short exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow M_i \rightarrow M_{i+1} \rightarrow M_{i+1}/M_i \rightarrow 0.$$

Since M_{i+1}/M_i is free, the sequence is split and we have

$$M_i \oplus M_{i+1}/M_i \cong M_{i+1}$$

where the isomorphism is given by $i + h$: with $i : M_i \rightarrow M_{i+1}$ the inclusion and $h : M_{i+1}/M_i \rightarrow M_{i+1}$ some homomorphism. Now, $M_i \oplus M_{i+1}/M_i$ is free as the direct sum of free modules and we may extend the basis of M_i to a basis of $M_i \oplus M_{i+1}/M_i$. Applying $i + h$, we see that we obtain a basis of M_{i+1} which contains \mathcal{B}_i showing the claim.

Now, define:

$$\mathcal{B} := \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{B}_i.$$

We claim that this is a basis of M . Since M is the union of all M_i , \mathcal{B} obviously generates M . Moreover, any non-trivial linear relation between elements of \mathcal{B} would involve only finitely many basis elements and hence would yield a non-trivial relation between elements of some \mathcal{B}_i for i large enough. Since this is impossible, we conclude that M is free with basis \mathcal{B} .

Task 2: We first remark that if M_f is a free B_f -module and $g \in B$, then M_{gf} is a free B_{gf} module. This follows from the fact that

$$\left(\bigoplus_i B_f \right)_g \cong \bigoplus_i B_{fg}.$$

Let $M = (m_1, \dots, m_n)$. We show inductively using task 1 that for any B module with at most n generators such an f exists:

Base case ($n = 0$): In this case $M = 0$ and the claim is trivial.

Induction step ($n \rightarrow n + 1$): Let $M_1 := (m_1, \dots, m_n) \subseteq M = (m_1, \dots, m_{n+1})$. By induction hypothesis, there exists $f \in B$ such that $(M_1)_f$ is a free B_f module. Moreover, $M/M_1 = (m_{n+1}) = Bm_{n+1}$. Consequently the map $B \rightarrow M/M_1$, $b \mapsto bm_{n+1}$ is surjective and we have $M/M_1 \cong B/\text{Ann}(M/M_1)$. If $\text{Ann}(M/M_1) \neq \{0\}$, choose $g \in \text{Ann}(M/M_1) \setminus \{0\}$. Then notice that $(M/M_1)_g = 0$ since for every $m \in M/M_1$, $m = (gm)/g = 0/g = 0$. Hence $(M/M_1)_g$ is in particular free. If $\text{Ann}(M/M_1) = 0$, then $M/M_1 \cong B$ is free and we set $g = 1$. Now, by the above remark, both $(M/M_1)_{gf}$ and $(M_1)_{gf}$ are free B_{gf} -modules. Since B

an integral domain, we also have $gf \neq 0$. Finally, by task 1, considering the increasing sequence $M_0 := \{0\} \subseteq (M_1)_{gf} \subseteq M_{gf}$ it follows that M_{gf} is a free B_{gf} -module and we conclude.

Task 3: Let M be a finitely generated $A[T]$ -module with $M = (m_1, \dots, m_n)$. Define $M' := Am_1 + \dots + Am_n$. Then M' is a finitely generated A -module. Define

$$M_k := M' + T \cdot M' + \dots + T^k M'$$

and the map of A -modules $\phi_k : M' \rightarrow M_k/M_{k-1}$ defined by $\phi_k(m) := T^k \cdot m$. Define N_k to be the kernel of ϕ_k . Notice that if $\phi_k(m) = 0$ then $T^k \cdot m \in M_{k-1}$ and consequently $T^{k+1} \cdot m \in M_k$ showing that the sequence N_k is an increasing sequence of A -submodules. Since A is Noetherian and M' is finitely generated, there must exist some k_0 at which the N_k stabilize. That is to say, M_k/M_{k-1} are isomorphic as A -modules for all $k \geq k_0$. Choose $f \in B$ such that $(M_{k_0-1})_f$ is a free B_f module and analogously $g \in B$ such that $(M_{k_0}/M_{k_0-1})_g$ is a free B_g -module. By a previous remark $(M_{k_0-1})_{gf}$ and $(M_{k_0}/M_{k_0-1})_{gf}$ are free B_{gf} -modules. Moreover, since B is integral, $gf \neq 0$. But now, by the isomorphism above, $(M_k/M_{k-1})_{gf}$ are free modules for all $k \geq k_0$. Hence setting $N_0 := \{0\}$, $N_1 := (M_{k_0})_{gf}$, $N_2 := (M_{k_0+1})_{gf}$, etc., we see that the previous task applies and we obtain that M_{gf} is a free B_{gf} -module. Since M was arbitrary, we conclude. \square