Chapter 12 Schemes & Structure sheat det.-Prop: The structure sheaf Ospec R is a sheaf of rings on Spec R s.t.: 1) O(O(f1) = Rf & & fER speck 2) Ospeck, $\infty = R_{px}$ & $x \in Spec R$. Prior f shotch: Proof shetch. 1) define (9 as a presheaf on $\{D(f)\}_{f \in \mathbb{R}}$ given by (9(D(f)) = k_f . Since different FER may give the same D(f), you define $O'(D(f)) := S_{D(f)}^{-1} R$, saturation of (f'), where $S_{D(f)} := d SER | SGC p U p E D(f) }$ is dependent only and check that $R_{f} = S_{D(f)}^{-1} R$ is an isom. The restriction maps are localizations: $D(g) \leq D(f) \implies S_{D(f)} \subseteq S_{D(g)} \longrightarrow S_{D(f)} R \xrightarrow{y} S_{D(g)} R$ 2) show that I is a sheaf of rings on {D(f)} fER. That can be formulated as follows. Lete D(f) = UD(f;) be any open cover. ieI There are localization maps , Pi: Rt > Rti, Pij: Rti > Rtioti.

Then O being a sheaf on {O(f)} is equivalent to the following sequence being exact: O > R f ~ MRf; B MRf; f; , sheaf exact i i j Rf; f; , sheaf exact where $\mathcal{L}(a) = p_i(a)$ and $p_i(a_i)_{i,j} = (p_{i,j}(a_i) - p_{i,j}(a_j))$. That means, by definition, that: "sections agree locally => agree globally" · Ker B = Im L (gluing) " sections agreeing on overlaps can be glued" The proof is an algebraic exercise in localizations of rings 3) Define Ospeck to be the unique sheaf extending O from {D(f)}fer & Basis of Zar. top. Rem. 1) On other opens U, the value of O is less explicit, expressed via a limit": $\mathcal{O}_{\text{speek}}(\mathcal{U}) = \lim \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O}(f)) \simeq \lim R_{f}$ $\mathcal{O}(f) \subseteq \mathcal{U} \qquad \mathcal{O}(f) \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ 2) As for regular functions on a variety, the stalk at x is given by U=x;feou), f)/~, this construction is called "colimit": Spee R, $z = \operatorname{colim} \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{U}) = \operatorname{colim} \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O}(f)) = \operatorname{colim} R f = R p_{z}$ $\mathcal{O}_{Spee R, z} = \mathcal{O}_{Spec R} = \mathcal{O}(f) \exists z$

to the situation when
$$R_{f} \rightarrow R_{g}$$
 are not injective
(lim and colim are defined via universal properties)
3) $\forall U \subseteq Spec R$ open,
 $O'Spec R(U)$ is an R -algebra.
Indeed, for $a \in R$ we define
 $[a]: R_{f} \xrightarrow{a} R_{f}$ on $D(f)$,
and that induces an R -module structure $\forall U$
which gives a map of sheaves
 $[a]: O'Spec R$
 $Ex. 1) X = Spec Z$
 $O'_{X,p} = Z_{(p)}$ invert all $(p^{n})_{R}$
 $O'_{X,p} = Z_{(p)} = O$

even have:

$$\mathcal{O}_{\times}(\emptyset) = 0; \quad \mathcal{O}_{\times}(X) = 0; \quad \mathcal{O}_{\times}(\eta) = 0_{\sharp} = K$$

 $\mathcal{O}_{\times,\infty} = 0_{\{\xi\}} = 0; \quad \mathcal{O}_{\times,\eta} = 0_{\{o\}} = K.$

SAffine schemes

We need to define ringed spaces in a more general context, when Ox can't be thought of as some k-valued functions for a fixed field be. det. A ringed space is a pair (X, Ox) where X is a top. space and Ox a sheat of rings on X. A morphism of ringed spaces is a pair (f, f#) where $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous and $f^{\#}: O_Y \rightarrow f_* O_X$ is a map of sheaves of rings on Y, where fx Ox(U):= Ox(f-U) ~ direct image sheat That means, & USY open we have extra data of a ring hom $f^{*}(\mathcal{U}): \mathcal{O}_{y}(\mathcal{U}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{x}(f^{-1}\mathcal{U}),$ s.t. for VEU ring hours f#(-) are compatible with restrictions pur: $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}(\mathcal{U}) \xrightarrow{f^{\#}(\mathcal{U})} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}}(f^{-1}\mathcal{U})$ is commutative. $\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{P}_{uv} \downarrow & \downarrow \mathcal{P}_{f^{+}u, f^{+}v} \\ \mathcal{O}_{y} (V) \xrightarrow{f^{\pm}(V)} \mathcal{O}_{y} (f^{-}V) \end{array}$

Since the definition of f# is more general, we have too much freedom on the choice of f# so we'll introduce a restriction.

def: A locally-ringed space is a ringed space (x, o'_{x}) such that U point $x \in X$ the stalk $O'_{X,x}$ is a local ring. A morphism of locally-ringed spaces is a morphism of ringed spaces s.t. $\forall x \in X, y = f(x)$ the induced map $f_{x}^{*}: \mathcal{O}_{y,y} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{y,x}$ is a local hom, i.e. $f_{x}^{*}(m_{y}) \leq m_{x}$, or equivalently, $(f_x^{\#})^{-1}(m_x) = m_y$.

For k-varieties, this condition was anto aparically satisfied, because $M_{y} = \{f \in \mathcal{O}_{y,y} \mid f(y) = 0\} = \{f^{*}M_{y} \subseteq M_{z}\}$

is a locally ringed space. Main Ex. (Spec R, Ospec R)

Prop. A ring how y: R→S induces a map of locally ringed spaces Spec p = (q[#], q[#]): (Spec S, O^{*}_{spec}s)→ (Spec R, O^{*}_{spec}R) that satisfies:

1) on distinguished opens, UFER $R_f = O \sum_{\text{Spec } R} D(f) \xrightarrow{\varphi^{\#}(D(f))} O \sum_{\text{Spec } S} D(\varphi(f)) = S \varphi(f)$ is the localization of φ at $f: \frac{\alpha}{f^{\mu}} \mapsto \frac{\varphi(\alpha)}{\varphi(f)}$ 2) on stalks, Up E Spec S the induced map $\varphi^{\#}: R \xrightarrow{} \varphi^{-1}(\varphi) \xrightarrow{} \varphi^{-1}(\varphi)$ is the localization of q. Proof sketch: • define h[#] on D(f) as in 1) • check compatibility with pur • compute h[#] on stalks as in 2) def. An affine scheme is a locally ringed space (X, Ox) is omorphic to (Speck, Speck). Affine schemes form a subcategory Aff Sch of locally ringed spaces. We get a functor Spec: Ringop -> AffSch. We also have a functor in the other direction, which sends (X, \mathcal{O}_X) to $\mathcal{O}_{x}(X) =: \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_{x})$ global sections and $f: (X, \mathcal{O}_{X}) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{O}_{Y})$ to $f^{*}(Y): \mathcal{O}_{Y}(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X}(X)$.

Thm. The functor Spec: Ring P => AffSch is an equivalence, with inverse functor r. In particular, f: Spec S -> Spec R is an isom of locally ringed specces iff f# R=>S is an isom. Proof: enough to show that for X= Spec S, Y= Spec R, $f: X \rightarrow Y \subset AffSch \rightarrow Spec(\Gamma(f)) = f.$ Let $\varphi := \Gamma(f) = f^*(y): R \to S;$ let $x \in X \in \mathbb{Q} \in \mathbb{Q}$ Want: $f = Spec \varphi$ & $f^* = (Spec \varphi)^*$. We have a commutative d'agram: R P S Lelocali -Rp f Sq Hence $\varphi(R-p) \subseteq S-q$, so $\varphi^{-1}(q) \subseteq p$. $J \Rightarrow \varphi^{-1}(q) = p$. However $f_{\infty}^{#}$ is local, so $\varphi^{-1}(q) \ge p$. $J \Rightarrow \varphi^{-1}(q) = p$. so Spec $\varphi = f$ as maps of top. spaces. From the diagram we also get that V se the static map for equals the localitation of φ , i.e. $(Spec \varphi)_{x}^{\#}$, because the map $R \xrightarrow{\varphi} S \xrightarrow{\rightarrow} Sq$ factors iniquely through R_{φ} (Similarly f* (D(h)): Ru - Sq(h) is the localization of q ther) Hence maps of sheaves spec of # and ft coincide.

Reminder: X=SpecR 1) $D(f) = \{x \in X \mid f(x) \neq 0\} \longrightarrow$ $\mathcal{O}_{\times}(D(f)) = R_{f}$ we allow to invert powers of f because they do not vanish on D(f) $2 | O_{X_{3x}} = \begin{cases} (u, f) | x \in U \leq b \text{ open} \\ f \in O_{X}(u) \end{cases} \xrightarrow{\sim} \end{cases}$ $\mathcal{O}_{\times, \infty} = \mathcal{R} \mathcal{P}_{\infty}$ gerns of functions encode local behaviour around x, hence we allow to invert all functions that don't vanish at x, i.e. R-pz. Ex: X = Spec Z $\mathcal{O}_{\times}(\mathcal{O}(p)) = \mathcal{O}_{\times}(\operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{H} - (p)) \ge \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{H})$

 $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{x},(\mathbf{p})} = \mathbb{Z}_{(\mathbf{p})} = \widehat{\mathcal{I}}\frac{m}{e}, \mathbf{p} \times \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{y}}$

Schemes

def: A scheme is a locally ringed space (X, O_X) which is locally isomorphic to an offine scheme, i.e. $X = UU_i$ open cover, s.t. iGI $\forall i \exists ring R_i: (U_i, O_X|_{u_i}) \cong (Spec R_i, O_{Spec R_i}).$ xEX whe stalle of x is the local ring at x. If x eU=Spec REX open, then $9_{\chi_{1}\nu e} = 9_{\chi_{1}\nu e} = R_{p}, p = p_{\pi}.$ Residue field at se: $h c (\mathcal{O}_{X,x}) \rightarrow k(x) := \mathcal{O}_{X,x}/m \cdot \mathcal{O}_{X,x} = \frac{Rp}{p \cdot Rp}$ A morphism or a map of schemes is a mop (f,f*) of locally ringed spaces. Schemes form a category Sch > AffSch. One can compute maps to affine schemes simileur to the case of varieties. Thin. It & scheme, R ring Maps $_{Sch}(X, Spec R) = Maps (R, O_{X}(X)).$ (for X = Spec S, see above, in general: similar proof) Hence giving a map X -> Spec R is equivalent to giving an R-algebra structure to Ox.

Gluing: how to get non-affine schemes



 X_i - schemes that $x_i - schemes$ that





& Integral schemes det. A scheme (K, Ox) is reduced if all local rings are reduced (no nilpotents). Exercise: Ox, x reduced Vx EX (=) Ox(U) reduced & (affine) open UEX. In particular, Spec R is reduced iff R is reduced. Associated reduced scheme: Spec Rred Spec R, where Rred: = R/NilR J closed immersion same top. spaces, different structure sheaves! Ex: R=kCt]/th ~> Spec R red = Spec k ~> Spec R For any scheme x, one can glue Xreel \rightarrow X, and it is universal: for a reduced scheme Y any map Y \rightarrow X factors Hurough Xred. det. A scheme is integral if it is reduced and irreducible. Exercise: (X, 0/2) is integral iff Ox(U) is an int. domain U (affine) open UEX. Spec R integral (=> Nil R=0) Nil R is prime (=> (0) is prime.

Structure sheat of an integral scheme We can think of sections of Ox as of certain rational functions! def. X integral scheme, $\eta \in X$ the generic pt. The function field of X is $\kappa(X) := O_X, \chi$. It is a field because & speck = x open O'x, n = O' = R (0) = Frac R rintegral domain Prop: X integral, $U \subseteq X$ open, $h \in X$ generic pt. 1) The canonical map $O_X(U) \to O_{X,Y} = k(X)$ is injective 2) $V \subseteq U$ open => the restriction map $P_{UV}: \mathcal{O}_{X}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X}(U) \subseteq \kappa(X)$ is injective 3) $\forall x \in X$ $\forall x, x \in K(X)$, and $U \ni \mathcal{K} \implies \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{U}) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{K},\mathcal{K}}$ 4) $\mathcal{O}_{\times}(u) = \mathcal{D}_{\times,z} \mathcal{O}_{\times,z} \subseteq k(\times)$ If X = Spec R, $\mathcal{O}_X(u) = \{f \in K(X) | \forall x \in U \\ f = \underbrace{\Im}_{g, h} (x) \neq O \\ can pick a representative, f = \underbrace{\Im}_{g, h} (x) \neq O \\ it depends on x$

Proof: 1) Let
$$f \in O_{\times}(U)$$
, assume $f(y) = 0$.
Then V affine open $V = \text{Spee } S \subseteq U$
we have $g_{uv}(f) = 0$, because
 $S \text{ is an integral domain => } S \subseteq \text{Frac}S = k(X)$
Take $U = U = U$; affine open cover =>
 $g_{uv}(f) = 0$ Vi => $f = 0$ because O_X is a sheaf.
2) The inclusions $O_X(U) \subseteq K(X)$
are compatible with restriction maps p_{uv} :
 $O_X(U) \xrightarrow{B_{uv}} O_X(V)$
 $S = O_X(V)$
 $S = O_X(V)$
 $S = O_X(U) \xrightarrow{B_{uv}} O_X(V)$
The induce a canonical morp
 $O_{X,Y} \xrightarrow{C} O_{X,Y}$
 $S = O_{X,Y} \xrightarrow{C} Spee A =>$
 $O_{X,Y} = O_{X,Z} \xrightarrow{C} Frac A = O_{X,Y}$
for A on integral domain.
For $U \Rightarrow X$ the map $O_X(U) \hookrightarrow u(X)$
factors through $O_{X,Y} = O_{X,Y}$.

4) by 3), O_x(U) ⊆ ∩ O_{x,x}
Let fe ∩ O_{x,x} ⊆ k(X).
Then ∀x ∃ open holds x ∈ V(x) ⊆ U: f∈ O_x (V(x)).
Since U = V(x). we can glue a finition f∈ O_x(U) because the values agree on all V(x) ∧ V(x) since they coincide inside k(x).
The last equality follows because X = Spee R ~ O_{x,x} = Rp_x.

§ Varieties Vs schemes

Schemes are more useful than varieties! Examples from our course: 1) projective schemes (SP) can be conveniently built from graded rings: Pp = Projk[t1,_,th] analogue of Spec for graded rings And blow-ups one better defined this way: Bl Specki= Proj @ I"; E = Proj @ I" Specki (2) intersection theory is done using schemes. untiplicities of intersection pts can be encoded by scheme-theoretic fibers, which in two have nilpotents. solving classical problems in enumerative geometry! det. $S \in Sch.$ An <u>S-scheme</u> is a scheme X with a chosen map $X \rightarrow S$, called structure morphism. A morphism of S-schemes is a comm. diagr. is define the category Sch. $\chi \rightarrow \chi$ Abbreviate: Sch Spec A =: Sch A.

Goal: k=t => k-varieties => reduced, finite type k-schemes

Q: How to get a scheme from a (non-affine) variety? A: Make it sober!

det. A top space is sober it every irreducible closed subset has a unique generic point $(\forall Z \exists ! h_{Z} : \overline{n_{Z}} = Z)$. Ex: Muderlying top. space of any scheme (for affines - proved before, in general - exercise) 2) Underlying top. space of a k-variety 18 hot solver: all points are closed (asmaximal ideals). det. X top. space. Its soberification is a sober top. space S(X) with a map $X \rightarrow S(X)$ that is universal: 4×59 with 9 sober factors as $X \rightarrow 9$ \$(x) E! S(f) I.e. I functor S: Top > Topsol, left adjoint to the inclusion Topsol & Top. Explicitly s(x) is constructed as follows: · points of S(X) are the closed irred subsets of X · open sets in S(X) correspond to open sets in X:

UEX open us u':= firr closed subsets of XZES(K) declare to be open in S(X)

Lenna. 1) there's a bijection between Open(X) and Open(S(X)) U ≤ X open ← U' ≤ S(X) open Moreover, U' ~S(U). 2) Huis bijection preserves \hat{n} and \mathcal{T} hence Open(S(X)) form a topology and for sheaves we get $Shv(X) \simeq Shv(S(X))$ 3) The convolical map: equiv. of carts. $X \rightarrow S(X) \qquad x \mapsto \sqrt{x}$ is continuous 4) S(X) is solver and satisfies the universal property Proof: 1) UZV=> JUEU, UEVC=> TEVC=>UGV Consider $S(u) \rightarrow S(x)$ $\{\frac{1}{2}\} \rightarrow \{\frac{1}{2}\}$: U S(u) $u' \in S(x)$ The other map is: S(U) ← U' {wnU} ← {w} and these are inverse continuous maps. 2) ok 3) under $X \rightarrow S(X)$ the preimage of U' is U (x q U => $\overline{x} \cap U = \overline{y}$ because $U \subseteq X$ open) 4) exercise

Ex. X affine k-variety => Speck[X] = S(X) as a top. space. Indeed, points of Speck[X] are pck[X], and they correspond to irreducible closed subsets of x. Topologies are compatible: closed subsets of S(x) are given by $2 \leq X$ closed in $\left\{ \{T\} \in S(x) \} \right\}$ When XEA^h k-variety, ZEX closed {TEZ | TEX closed] (may {p=I(2) | pck[X] prime} the corresponding closed subcet of Speck[X] Prop. 1) (X, O) k-variety => (S(X), O) is a k-scheme 2) this gives a functor S: Vark -> Schk $f: X \to Y \longmapsto S(f) : S(X) \to S(Y)$ $\{\overline{z}\} \mapsto \{\overline{f(z)}\}$ Proof: 1) × offine z>S(X) = Spee L(X), and O's gives O'sly because shu(X)=Shu(S(X)). X=UU; affine cover => S(X) is some ringed space, which has a cover S(X) = U Spec L(4;) => S(t) is a scheme with Oscy my Ox, and Oscopy is a sheat of k-algebras.

2) $S(f): S(k) \rightarrow S(Y)$ is a map of top. spaces. The map of sheaves $S(f)^{\#}: \mathcal{O}_{S(\mathcal{Y})} \to S(f)_{*} \mathcal{O}_{S(\mathcal{X})}$ is obtained by replacing $\mathcal{O}_{S(\mathcal{Y})} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}$ and $f^{\#}: \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}} \to f_{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$ is defined via precomposition with f. S(f) is a k-scheme map because $f^{\#}$ preserves k-algebra structure. ken. X a k-variety => $X \rightarrow S(X)$ is a subspace embedding, because all points of X are closed. Prop. X k-variety, $p \in S(X) \iff Z \subseteq X$. Then k(Z) = K(p). In particular, X irreducible => k(x) = k(y) = k(S(x)). By definiton of the function field of an integral scheme $k(x) = \overline{U}(u,f) / = \overline{U}(u',f) / = k(\eta)$ $\underset{f \in \mathcal{O}_{X}(u)}{\underset{f \in \mathcal{O}_{X}(u)}}{\underset{f \in \mathcal{O}_{X}(u)}{\underset{f \in \mathcal{O}_{X}(u)}}{\underset{f \in \mathcal{O}_{X}(u)}}{\underset{f \in \mathcal{O}_{X}(u)}}}}}}}}}}$ ZEX irreducible: exercise (good to do!) called k-points Claim: $X \subset S(X)$ equals free $S(X) | u(x) = k \int c S(X)$. Proof: 0= tr degula) (= tr degula) (=> x is a O-dim. closed irred subver of X, i.e. a point. Points of X have K(x)=k because K(x)/k=k is finite.

Then. The functor S: Var -> Sch K is fully faithful and its essential image is given by reduced finite type k-schemes. Proof (Fully faithfulness): $f: K \rightarrow \mathcal{I} \in Var_{L} \longrightarrow S(f): S(K) \rightarrow S(\mathcal{I}).$ Let $h: S(X) \rightarrow S(Y)$, want: h = S(f) for $f: X \rightarrow Y$. To show: $h(x) \subseteq Y$, so $h|_{x}: X \rightarrow Y$. Can be checked locally => assume S(X), S(Y) offine => h is induced by a how of finite type k-algebras -> by Wullstellensatz the preimage of a max ideal is a max ideal -> by Claim, In sends points of X to points of Y. Moreover, h!: X -> Y is a map of k-varieties and if h=S(f) then h]=f by construction. Ess. image: X k-variety => S(X) reduced finite type/. Let \mathcal{W} be a reduced fin type k-scheme, define $\chi := \{ closed pts \} \subset \mathcal{W}$. To get a variety: we have $\mathcal{O}(u') \xrightarrow{\mu} C(\mathcal{U}, k)$ in \mathcal{U} it commutes with restrictioners $\mathcal{O}_{\chi}(\mathcal{U}) := \mathcal{V}_{\mu}(\mathcal{O}_{SCX}(\mathcal{U}))$ X affine us this is the structure sheart (check on D(A)) = (\times , σ x) is a variety.

x is a subspace of c and a k-variety. c sober => get a map $S(x) \rightarrow c$. Claim (skip proof): $S(x) \rightarrow c$ is an isom of schemes.