Chapter 2 The Zariski topology zzariski topology

Last time:

• affine space 12": le as a set, top. space - today! · (closed) algebraic set: zero locus of an ideal achtx1,..,xn) ~> Z(a):={x6A \{f|x)=0 \fea} · coordinate ring: XSA alg set in LIXJ=LCX1,..., XnJ/I(X) Main idea: algebraic sets are building blocks for algebraic varieties same way as open balls are building blocks for manifolds. In Russign, French, Italian, Japanese, ... , voriety"= "manifold" ft. variété ger. Mannigsaltigheit (Riemann) An alg variety is locally an alg set. To note sense *f* , locally ^h are introduce Zarishi topology, which is well designed to work with algebraic sets, with the cost of being rather counterintative.

det-Prop. The Eariski topology on A^h: closed subsets are algebraic sets (open sets are their complements). Koof: check assions of top. space 1) $\emptyset = Z(1)$ and $A^h = Z(0)$ are closed sets 2) Z(a) UZ(b) = Z(anb) is a closed set 3) $\Pi = \mathbb{Z}(\mathbb{Z}_{i})$ is a closed set if $I = \mathbb{Z}(\mathbb{Z}_{i})$ is a closed set det. The Zarishi top. on X, for XEA" an algebraic set, is the topology induced from Zarishi top. on At. Closed sets = Z(a) n k $Z(\alpha)$ for $\alpha \ge I(x) = Z(\alpha)$ for $\alpha \ge L[x]$ From Kilbert's Nullstellensatz we get: Prop. There is an inclusion - reversing bijection closed subsets madical ideals in X in k[X]



NB: 1) say, $k = \mathbb{C}$ Then k" = C" also has Enclidean topology => every alg. set X has an induced topology (called complex topology). Complex top. is very different from Zarishi top.! UCh E UCK open in complex top. 7 open in Zariski top. Open balls By are NOT open in An. 2) Even the example $X = A^4$ shows that Zarishi topology is far from being Mansdorff: U_1, U_2 hon-empty open subsets in $A^2 => U_1 \cap U_2 \neq \emptyset$. So, this topology is "counterintuitive": does not look this coory!

Nonetheless, we use it because it's defined over any field (e.g., when chark>0) and it's good for encoding information about scroes of systems of polynomial equations.

def: A distinduished open set in X
is a subset of the form

$$D(f):= \{x \in X \mid f(p_c) \neq 0\}$$
 for some $f \in k \in X$.
Indeed, $D(f)=X-Z(f)$ is open in X.
Prop. X closed alg set. Then $\{D(f)\}$,
form a basis for the Zeriski topology on X.
Proof: Let USX be open.
Want: $U = U D(f_i)$ for some field X .
Since U^c is closed,
 $U^c = Z(a)$ for some ideal $a \subseteq k \in X$.
Let $a = (\{f_i\}_{i \in T})$, then
 $Z(a) = \bigcap_i Z(f_i) \longrightarrow U = Z(a)^c = U D(f_i)$.

§ Irreducible components

det A top. space is irreducible if it is not a union of two proper closed subsets. Equivalently, a space is irreducible if the intersection of any two non-empty open subsets is non-empty (otherwise $X = U_2^c \cup U_2^c$). Ex. irred $\mathbb{R}^n = \{ | x | \leq 1 \} \cup \{ | x | > 1 \}$ not irred Lemma. X irreducible. Then. 1) $U \subset X$ open => U irreducible and dense $(\overline{U} = X)$ 2) X CX => X is irreducible 3) f: X -> y continuous => f(x) is irreductble Proof: follows From the equivalent description, e.g. 3) Un, Uz CF(x) non-empty open =) f-1(U1), f-1(U2) CX non-empty open => $f'(u_1 n u_2) = f'(u_1) \cap f'(u_1) \neq \emptyset =) u_1 \cap U_2 \neq \emptyset.$ E_{\times} 1) 1 \longrightarrow 2) Z((×+y)·(x-y))=Z(×-y)∪ Z(×+y) Z(x-y) not irreducible irreducible

Prop. An algebraic set
$$X \subseteq A^{h}$$
 is
irreducible iff $a:=I(x)$ is prime.
In particular, $A^{h} = Z(0)$ is irreducible.
Proof. (a) if f.g $\in a$, then
 $X \subseteq Z(f) \cup Z(g) \Longrightarrow Z(f) \cap X = X$ or $Z(g) \cap X = X$
 $=> X \subseteq Z(f) \ or X \subseteq Z(g) \Longrightarrow f \in a$ or $g \in a$.
(a) if $X = Z(a') \cup Z(a'') = Z(a' \cap a'')$
with a, a'' radical, then $a = a' \cap a^{u'}$
 $=> a = a' \ or \ a = a'' \ because \ a \ is \ prime \ => X = Z(a') \ or \ X = Z(a'').$

Algebro-geometric dictionary
x alg closed set, k alg closed. We get a bijection:
algebra of ktv? geometry of x
maximal ideals points
prime ideals irreducible closed subsets
radical ideals closed subsets
Algebra and geometry shed light on each der's problems?
df. An algebraic set
$$x = Z(f)$$
, for $f \in k[x_{1...,x_N}]$
is called a hypersurface.
If f is linear, it's a hyperplane.

Cor. Irreducible hypersurfaces correspond to (powers of) irreducible polynomials. Ex. GLn C M_{hxn} = A^{n²} is the complement of a hypersurface {det=0}. GLn is open subset of Anz => irreducide. In fact, {det=0] is irreducible too. Recatt Noether-Lasher than (commalg): every ideal in a Noetherian ring (e.g. ktx2, ..., Xn]) is $a=q_1 \dots nq_r$, $g_i \neq f_i q_i$, where g_i are prinary ideals, i.e. Jq_i are prime. The decomposition is unique modulo embedded components, in particular, the associated primes Jq; are unique. This translates to the geometric language: Thm. Any closed algebraic set has a decomposition, X = X, U. .. UX, X; ZUX; Ui where X; are irreducible closed states. The subsets X: are maximal irreducible subsets, they are called irreducible components of X. The decomposition is unique up to reordering. This is an example of a more general pheromenon.

def. A topological space y is Noetherian if every chain of closed subsets stabilizes eventually (Y;=YN j>N). Ex. U alg. set X is noetherium: Hilbert's basis LCX] = LCX1,..., 2n]/I(x) is a Wetherian ring => ascending chains of ideals in k(X) stabilize, and they correspond to descending chains of closed subsets of X. Then X Noetherian top. space, GEX closed => J= Y1 U... UYr, Y; & TUY; j= iti s.t. Yi are irreducible. The decomposition is unique. They are irreducible components of Y. Proof (3). . X Noetherian => & family E + & & closed subsets has a minimal member (none of its subsets are in i) otherwise you can exclude any descending chairs . Let I be the family of closed subsets of X that don't have such a decomposition, it has a minimal clement M. M is not irreducible => M=M, UMz,

and one of them doesn't have a
decomposition (etherwise M would) =>
M was not minimal =>
$$\Sigma = \emptyset$$
. 4 B
This argument is called Noetherian induction.
Ex. 1) hypersurfaces are the simplest:
 $X = Z(f)$ f=f_1^{q_1}..., f_r, f: irreducible =>
 $X = Z(f)$ f=f_1^{q_2}..., f_r, f: irreducible =>
 $X = Z(f_1) \dots \cup Z(f_r)$ is the decomposition.
2) $X = Z(x^2 - yz, x^2 - x) \subset A^3$
f $y=0$ for $x=0$ for $y=x^2$
 $f=0$ $y=x^2$

We get: $\lambda = \frac{1}{(x, y)} \cup \frac{1}{(x, z)} \cup \frac{1}{(y-y^2, z-4)}$.

& Pimension

def. Y topological space. The dimension of Y is dim Y := sup [Yo G Y, G... GYr] where Y; ## are closed irreducible subsets. Dim = 00 is possible (but not for algebraic sets). It is defined in such a way that it's compatible with Krull dimension. Prop. X closed alg set => dim X = dim k[x]. In particular, dim M^h = h (column alg fact). Proof By Nullstellensate, {X. < X, c... < Xr} (J(Xr) cI(Xr_1) c... cI(Ko)} closed irred prime ideals subsets of ∞ in LCXJ so suprema of lengths are the same. $E^{\times} X = \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Z}) \subseteq \mathbb{A}^3$ 20 July=0 dim $z(x) \cap z(y) = 1$ => dim X = 2h[x,y,2]/2 = h[x,y] > (x,y) > (x) > (0) 2 h[x,y,2]/(x,y) ~ h[z] >(2)>(0) 1

We used that din X = max dim Xi (exercise). Prop. y top space, $y' \leq y$ closed subspace. Then dim $y' \leq \dim y$. If y, y' inreducible, then dim $y' = \dim y = y' = y$. Cor. X = 1An algebraic set => dim X is finite ((w). Prop. Y top. space, UCY open subset. Then dim U & dim Y. Prost: assume dim Mzr, consider Up CU, C... CUr a drain in 4. Then II; are also irreducible, and II; nU=lli, so they form a strictly ascending chain in Y. Ex. y= {y, y} where y is closed and y open (Sietpinshi space) spàce) Then 423 is an open subset of dim O, but y has dim 1 thanks to the chain (y) CY. When we get to discuss schemes, we shall see that this space corresponds to certain rings like padic numbers Zp, so it's important in alg geom!

& Polynomial maps

det. Let X C/A", 9 E/A" be algebraic sets. A map q=(q,,q):X -> 9 ≤ A is a polynomial map if all components qi are polynomial functions on X, i.e. p is induced by a map MM > MM given by polynomials. We denote their set hom (x, y) Affer affine

 $\varphi: A^2 \rightarrow A^2$ ($(x_3, y) \mapsto (x_3, x_3)$ Ex. 5 $\varphi^{-1}(u,v) = \begin{cases} (u,u^{-1}v) & u \neq 0 \\ y - axis & u = 0 \quad v = 0 \\ \emptyset & u = 0 \quad v \neq 0 \end{cases}$ In $\varphi = (M^2 - Z(bc)) \cup \{(0,0)\}$ neither open nor dosed. Preimage of the caching x-axis should be the uline at 00° (later: projective varieties)... Construction. A polynomial morp op: k-sy induces a k-algebra homomorphism $\phi^*: hisi \rightarrow hisi$ χg Polynomial A' function on X

Then There is a contravourient equivalence of exts:
algeboraic sets
and polynomial maps reduced, fin. generated k-algebra
and polynomial maps and k-algebra hours

$$X \mapsto h[X]$$

 $p: X \rightarrow 9 \mapsto p^*: k[Y] \wedge k[X]$
(That is, $X \mapsto h[X]$ and $p \mapsto qp^*$ are
functorial bijections.)
Proof. We will show the bijections,
functoriality is an exercise.
• bijection on objects:
- Ux algebra kc[X] is a reduced, fin.gen. k-algebra
- any fin gen. algebra is some $A = klow, \dots ocn [/I]$
A reduced => I techcical
 $\Rightarrow A = kc[X]$ for $X = Z(I) \subseteq A^{h}$.