Chapter &  
Curres  
def. A curre is an irreducible alg vortety  
of dimension A.  
Points of a curve have local rings of dim=1.  
Prop. (from CA): (A, m) Noetherian local domey  
of dimension 1.  
Then are equivalent:  
1) A is tegular, i.e. dim M/m2=1; k=A/m.  
2) A is integrally closed  
3) M is principal  
4) A is a PID and all ideals are  
powers of M.  
Such A is a discrete valuation ring,  
with a valuation  
V: A-O -> Z (v(0)=00)  
st. 
$$\forall f \in A-O$$
 is  $f = L \cdot t^{v(f)}$ ,  $m=(t)$ ,  $d \in A^{v}$ .  
A discrete valuation satisfies:  
A v(Lg)=v(f)+ev(g)  
2) v(f m) > min (v(f), v(g))  
= if v(h = v(g)



That means, t has a asimple zerot at ps or a vanishing of order 1, and V(f) can be thought of as the order of zero/pole of fat p:  $f = \lambda \cdot t^{\nu(f)}$  where  $\nu(f) \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\alpha \in O_{x,p}^{x}$ .

Moral: curves are casier to study because regular local rings are dur's, and singularities can be resolved via normalization: any normal curve is regular.

Of course, turves are simple" geometrically but not arithmetically: Fermat's Last Thun is a statement about curves! (over Z)

plassification of projective curves

Then X, Y projective regular curves. Then  $X \underset{Bir}{\to} Y \xrightarrow{(=)} X \stackrel{(=)}{=} Y$ .

Non-EX: 1) 
$$\mathbb{P}^{1}$$
 for  $\mathbb{N}^{1}$  - not projective  
2)  $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1} \sup_{\text{for}} \mathbb{P}^{2} - \text{hot curves}$   
3)  $\mathbb{P}^{1} \sup_{\text{for}} \mathbb{E}_{+}(x^{3}-y^{2}) - \text{hot regular}$   
Extension lemma & curve,  $p \in X$  regular points,  
 $p: X - p \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n}$  morphism.  
Then  $\exists ! \ p: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n}$  that extends  $p$ .  
Proof. We can assume  $X$  affine, because  
it's enough to extend  $p$  to an open hold of  $p$ .  
Let  $D = D_{t}(x_{0}) \subset \mathbb{P}^{n}$ . We can assume  
 $V := p^{-1}(D) \subset X$  is a non-empty open.  
Then  $p: V \rightarrow D \simeq \mathbb{A}^{n}$   
is given by  $(f_{\dots}, f_{n})$ ,  $f_{i} = \frac{3i}{g_{0}} \in O_{X}^{i}(V)$ .  
Let  $\mathbb{P}: X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{n+i}$   
 $x \mapsto (g_{0}(x), g_{1}(x), \dots, g_{n}(x))$ .  
If  $\mathbb{P}(p) \neq 0$ , we get an exptension  
which of  $p \in \mathbb{A}^{n}$  for and win.  
We multiple p  $m^{n+1} = 0$   
where  $g_{1}$  is  $p^{n}$  and  $win$ .

et 
$$t \in O_{x,p}$$
 be a uniformizer,  
then  $\forall g_i = d_i (t) \cdot t^{\vee i}$  where  $x_i(t) \in O_{x,p}$   
does not vanish at  $p$ .  
Define  $v_i = \min(v_0, \dots, v_n)$   
 $w_i = v_i - v > 0$  and  $\exists j : M_i = 0$ .  
We replace each  $g_i$  by defined in a  
 $\tilde{g}_i = g_i \cdot t^{-\vee} = d_i(t) \cdot t^{M_i} - \underset{heighborhood of p}{=}$   
 $w_i = v_i - v > 0$  and  $\exists j : M_i = 0$ .  
We replace each  $g_i$  by defined in a  
 $\tilde{g}_i = g_i \cdot t^{-\vee} = d_i(t) \cdot t^{M_i} - \underset{heighborhood of p}{=}$   
 $w_i = v_i - v > 0$  and  $\exists j : M_i = 0$ .  
We replace each  $g_i$  by defined in a  
 $\tilde{g}_i = g_i \cdot t^{-\vee} = d_i(t) \cdot t^{M_i} - \underset{heighborhood of p}{=}$   
 $w_i = 0$  and  $\tilde{g}_i = 0$  where both at  $p_i$   
 $\tilde{g}_0 = \frac{g_i}{g_0}$  where both are defined.

Cor. & curve, pEX regular pt, y projective var.  
Then Y rational map 
$$\varphi: X - -> y \leq p^m$$
  
extends to a morphism near p.  
Proof: Let U>p open nobed st,  
 $\varphi: U-p \rightarrow y$  is a marphism.  
Then  $\varphi$  extends to  
 $\varphi: U \rightarrow p^m$ , and  $\varphi(U) \leq y$  because  
 $y \leq p^m$  is closed  $=>$   
 $\varphi^{-1}(y) \leq U$  is closed and contains  $U-p => \varphi^{-1}(y)=U$ .

Proof of Thm. X, 3 projective regular curves,  $X \rightarrow Y$   $U \qquad U$   $U \qquad U$   $U \qquad V$  isom with inverse  $\psi$ . we have By Cor., p and y extend to morphisms. We get that poppid, and yoppidu on non-empty open sets => by separatedness, => on X and Y, so p and y are inverse isoms.

Fundamental thin for curves K/k afg. field extension with tr deg k = 1  $\Rightarrow$  3! regular projective curve  $\chi$ up to isom. s.t.  $k(\chi) \cong K$  as k-extensions: Cor. There is a contravariant equivalence of costs: regular curves over k ~ f.g. k-extensions of troleg 1 + dominant rational maps + k-algebra homs Earlier we proved analogous equivalence for all irreducible varieties and tin gen. tield extensions of k, and now we know that all tr deg 1 extensions correspond to curves. Fundamental Thm Proof: Uniqueness follows from the Thmy because two such curves X and X' could be birational, since  $k(X) \simeq k(X')$  as k-extensions. Existence: K/L tr deg 1 => 3 æ EK: K is finite separade over L(x).

That means,  $\exists f \in K \quad s.t. \quad K = le(x) \ Tf \ and f is a root of an irreducible polynomial$  $y^{h} + a_{h_{-1}}(x)y^{h-1} + \dots + a_{o}(x) = 0$ This equation defines a curve C in  $M^2$ , with  $k(C) \simeq K$ . Then CCIP<sup>2</sup> is a projective cenve. We define X as the normalization of C, it's a regular curve with  $k(X) \simeq k(C) \simeq k(C) \simeq K$ . Fact: X is a projective curve. (follows from the general construction of normalization, which we slipped). Over C: there are bijections: regular projective complex carves fingen. C-extensions of tr deg 1 compact connected 1-dim complex utods (Riemann surfaces) Geometry, algebra and analysis come together!

SElliptic arres  
Let L alg dosed, char L +2  
Elliptic curve: 
$$C = \{y^2 = x(x-1)(x-p_1)\} \in A^2,$$
  
 $h \neq p \in k^k$ .  
Thus, Elliptic curves are not rational,  
i.e.  $C \xrightarrow{abs} P^2$ .  
Reason: they have different genus  
 $g(P_1) = 0$  vs  $g(\overline{C}) = 1$   
(pictures over C)  
and genus is a birational Invariant.  
Genus: over  $C - \#$  holes in the Riemann surface  
over  $k - more$  complicated definition.  
Proof: can assume  $h = 1$ ,  $p = -1$  (same proof).  
Let  $L := k(x)$ , and  
Let  $L := k(C) = K(y)$  where  $y^2 = x(x-1)(x+1)$ .  
Claim 1:  $\forall$  valuation  $v: L^{\infty} \to \mathbb{Z}$   $v(x)$  is even.  
Proof:  $\cdot v(x) = 0 - ok$ 

$$(x) > 0 = > u(x-1) = \min(u(x), u(-1)) = u(-1) = 0 u(x-1) = u(-1) = 0 = > u(y^2) = v(x) + v(x-1) + v(x+1) zu(y) u(x) . u(x) < 0 => v(x-1) = u(x+1) = v(x) => zu(y) = 3u(x) => u(x) even. (laim 2: Let gel(t). If u(g) is even & valuation u: l(t)x = x, then g is a square. Y valuation u: l(t)x = x, then g is a square. g(t) =  $\Pi(t-a_1)^{h_1}$ ,  $u_1 \in Z$ ,  $a_1 \in L$ .   
 We have  $u_1 = \operatorname{ord}_{q_1}(g)$ , if they all   
 are even then  $Z^{i}$  g is a square.   
  $L(t) = \operatorname{Frac} L(t)_{(t-a_1)}$    
 Claim 3: xeL is hot a square.   
  $L(t) = \operatorname{Frac} L(t)_{(t-a_1)}$    
 Assume  $x = (a+by)^2$ ,  $a_1 \in L$ .   
 Then  $x = a^2 + 2aby + b^2 x(x-1)(x+1) = 2ab = 0$   $\operatorname{dist} x = 2^{h_1}$  in  $k = k(x)$ , but  $\operatorname{ord}_{q_1}(x) = 1$ .   
 If  $b = 0$ , then  $x = a^{n_1}$  in  $k = k(x)$ , but  $\operatorname{ord}_{q_1}(x) = 1$ .   
 If  $a = 0$ , then  $(x-1)(x+1)$  is a square in  $k$ , but   
 ib has  $\operatorname{ord}_{1} = 1$ .$$