

Machine Learning in Finance

Solution sheet 4

Exercise 4.1 (Bayesian optimization)

- (a) Recall the definition of prior, likelihood, posterior, and evidence distributions in bayesian statistics.
- (b) Consider linear model on \mathbb{R} : $Y \sim \theta X + Z$, $\theta \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$, $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$, and θ independent with X . Compute $p_\theta(y|x)$ and $p(\theta|x, y)$. Prove that maximizing the posterior $p(\theta|x, y)$ is exactly doing Ridge regression (fix λ here).
- (c) Consider Lasso regression, what is the prior under Bayesian perspective? Please calculate the posterior under this prior.
- (d) Would you expect a sparser weight or denser weight using Lasso regression instead of Ridge regression.

Solution 4.1

- (a) See wiki.
- (b) See the proof here.
- (c) Sparser for Lasso.

Exercise 4.2 (Stochastic gradient descent)

- (a) Assume that we aim to find the θ^* to maximize the posterior:

$$p(\theta|x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{p(\theta) \prod_{i=1}^n p(x_i|\theta)}{p(x_1, \dots, x_n)} \quad (1)$$

with stochastic gradient descent method in practice. In each step, do we calculate $\nabla p(\theta|x_1, \dots, x_n)$? do we calculate $\nabla \log p(\theta|x_1, \dots, x_n)$? do we calculate $\nabla \log p(\theta)$ or $\nabla \log p(x_i|\theta)$?

- (b) If $p(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ has no closed formula, does it cause a trouble when we do stochastic gradient descent?
- (c) Construct a stochastic differential equation with invariant measure to be the posterior distribution $p(\theta|x_1, \dots, x_n)$.

Solution 4.2

- (a) We calculate $\nabla \log p(\theta)$ and $\nabla \log p(x_i|\theta)$.
- (b) No, because this is a scaling term
- (c) See lecture notebook 3

Exercise 4.3 (Backpropogation) Code the backpropogation by hand. See the notebook of lecture 2.

Solution 4.3