

# Modular Forms: Problem Sheet 3

Sarah Zerbes

18th March 2022

- (a) Show that the Eisenstein series  $E_4$  has a simple zero at  $z = \rho$  and no other zeros.  
(b) Similarly, show that  $E_6$  has a simple zero at  $z = i$  and no other zeros.

**Solution:** See the solution to Problem Sheet 2, exercise 3.

- Define the modular invariant  $j(z) = \frac{E_4^3}{\Delta}$ . (This is an extremely important invariant, with applications to the elliptic curves and class field theory. The coefficients in its  $q$ -expansion are famous for their role in the **moonshine conjecture**, which links them to the representation theory of the monster group.)  
(a) Prove that  $j$  is a weakly modular function of weight 0.

**Solution:** For any  $\gamma \in \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , we compute

$$j(\gamma \circ z) = \frac{E_4^3(\gamma \circ z)}{\Delta(\gamma \circ z)} = \frac{(cz + d)^{12} E_4^3(z)}{(cz + d)^{12} \Delta(z)} = j(z).$$

- (b) Show that  $j$  is holomorphic on  $\mathcal{H}$  and has a simple pole at  $\infty$ .

**Solution:** This follows directly from the basic properties of quotients of meromorphic functions applied to  $j(z)$  for the statement on  $\mathcal{H}$ , and applied to  $j(e^{2\pi iz})$  for the statement at  $\infty$ .

- (c) Show that  $j$  induces a bijection  $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathcal{H} \cong \mathbb{C}$ .

**Solution:** From (a), we see that  $j(z)$  doesn't depend specifically on  $z$  but rather on the orbit of  $z$  under the action of  $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ . Hence,  $j$  induces a well-defined map from  $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathcal{H}$  to  $\mathbb{C}$ , mapping each orbit to the image of one of its representatives.

Now, showing that this induced map is bijective is equivalent to showing that for any  $\xi \in \mathbb{C}$ , the map induced by the auxiliary function  $g_\xi := j(z) - \xi$  has a unique zero on  $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathcal{H}$ . We write

$$g_\xi(z) = \frac{E_4^3(z) - \xi \Delta(z)}{\Delta(z)},$$

and denote the numerator by  $h_\xi$ . The map  $h_\xi$  is clearly holomorphic on  $\mathcal{H}$  and at  $\infty$ . In fact, since  $\Delta$  vanishes at  $\infty$  and  $E_4^3$  doesn't, we additionally know that  $v_\infty(h_\xi) = 0$ . Therefore, the valence formula yields

$$\frac{1}{2}v_i(h_\xi) + \frac{1}{3}v_\rho(h_\xi) + \sum_{P \in W} v_P(h_\xi) = 1,$$

where  $W$  is defined as usual. Since all the vanishing orders on the LHS are positive, you can check that the only solutions are for  $h_\xi$  to vanish with order 3 at  $\rho$  or for  $h_\xi$  to vanish

with order 2 at  $i$  or for  $h_\xi$  to vanish with order 1 at a unique  $P \in W$ . In any case, the map induced by  $h_\xi$  vanishes exactly once on  $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \mathcal{H}$ . This implies that the same holds for the map induced by  $g_\xi$  and finishes the proof.

3. Show that for any  $k \geq 0$ , we have

$$|\{(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2 : 4a + 6b = k\}| = \dim M_k.$$

**Solution:** Remember that

$$\dim(M_k) = \begin{cases} \lfloor k/12 \rfloor + 1, & k \not\equiv 2 \pmod{12} \\ \lfloor k/12 \rfloor, & k \equiv 2 \pmod{12} \end{cases}$$

We solve the problem using induction in steps of 12.

- We claim that the cases  $k < 0$ ,  $k$  is odd and  $k \in \{0, 2, 4, 6, 8\}$  are an easy check.
- Let  $k \geq 12$  and note that

$$\dim(M_{k-12}) = \begin{cases} \lfloor k/12 \rfloor, & k \not\equiv 2 \pmod{12} \\ \lfloor k/12 \rfloor - 1, & k \equiv 2 \pmod{12} \end{cases}$$

In any case, we reduced the problem to showing that the equation

$$4a + 6b = k \tag{1}$$

has always one more solution in  $(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^2$  than the equation

$$4a + 6b = k - 12. \tag{2}$$

Let us consider the map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{Z}^2 &\rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ (a, b) &\mapsto (a, b + 2) \end{aligned}$$

This maps solutions of (2) to solutions of (1). The only solutions of (1) that might not be in the image are those with  $b < 2$ . We show that such a solution always exists.

Let  $(a', b')$  be a solution of (1) (we can always pick one since the image of the map is non-empty for all  $k \geq 12$ , except for  $k = 14$ , but in this case we can obviously find a solution). We have that  $6b'$  is congruent to 0 or 2 modulo 4. Hence there exists a unique positive integer  $q$  and some  $r \in \{0, 2\}$  such that  $6b' = 4q + r$ . If  $r = 0$ , then  $(a' + q, 0)$  is a solution of (1). Otherwise, if  $r = 2$ , then  $(a' + q - 1, 1)$  is a solution of (1). Note also that by looking at  $k$  modulo 4, we see that there cannot be a solution of (1) with  $b = 0$  and another one with  $b = 1$ . This concludes the proof.

4. Let  $n$  be a positive integer.

- (a) Show (by quoting an appropriate theorem from your notes) that the dimension of  $M_{4n}$  is  $1 + j$ , where  $j = \lfloor n/3 \rfloor$ . Hence show that the functions

$$E_4^n, E_4^{n-3} \Delta, \dots, E_4^{n-3j} \Delta^j$$

are a basis of  $M_{4n}$ .

**Solution:** By theorem 1.6.10,

$$\dim(M_k) = \begin{cases} \lfloor k/12 \rfloor + 1, & k \not\equiv 2 \pmod{12} \\ \lfloor k/12 \rfloor, & k \equiv 2 \pmod{12} \end{cases}$$

Since  $k = 4n$  is not congruent to 2 mod 12, we are always in the first case. We proceed by induction in steps of 12. As before, we will let you check the cases  $n \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ . Assume now that  $n \geq 3$ . We have to show that the family

$$\mathcal{B} := \{E_4^{n-3i} \Delta^i \mid 0 \leq i \leq j\}$$

is linearly independent. First, note that there cannot be any non-trivial vanishing linear combination of elements of  $\mathcal{B}$

$$\sum_{i=0}^j \alpha_i E_4^{n-3i} \Delta^i$$

in which  $\alpha_0 \neq 0$ . Indeed, such a combination doesn't vanish at  $\infty$  since every non-trivial power of  $\Delta$  does but non of the powers of  $E_4$  do. Moreover there cannot be any non-trivial vanishing linear combination of elements of  $\mathcal{B}' := \mathcal{B} \setminus \{E_4^n\}$ . Indeed, factorising such a combination by  $\Delta$  yields a non-trivial vanishing linear combination of elements of

$$\mathcal{B}'' := \{E_4^{n-3i} \Delta^{i-1} \mid 1 \leq i \leq j\}.$$

But by induction hypothesis, this is a basis for  $M_{4n-12}$ , so this cannot happen. We conclude that  $\mathcal{B}$  is a linearly independent family with cardinality  $1 + j$  and therefore forms a basis.

- (b) Let  $M_{4n}(\mathbb{Z})$  denote the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -submodule of  $M_{4n}$  consisting of modular forms whose  $q$ -expansions have integer coefficients. Show that the above functions are a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of  $M_{4n}(\mathbb{Z})$ . (You may assume that  $\Delta \in M_{12}(\mathbb{Z})$ .)

**Solution:** We recall that

$$E_4 = 1 + 24 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_3(n) q^n,$$

so  $E_4 \in M_4(\mathbb{Z})$  and  $E_4^n \in M_{4n}(\mathbb{Z})$  for all  $n \geq 0$ . Since we assume it is also the case for  $\Delta$ , all the elements of  $\mathcal{B}$  belong to  $M_{4n}(\mathbb{Z})$ . Assume that

$$f := \sum_{i=0}^j \alpha_i E_4^{n-3i} \Delta^i, \quad \alpha_i \in \mathbb{C} \quad \forall i$$

is an element of  $M_{4n}(\mathbb{Z})$ . Since the vanishing order of  $E_4^{n-3i} \Delta^i$  at  $\infty$  is  $i$ , the first coefficient in the  $q$ -expansion of  $f$  is  $\alpha_0$ . Therefore we must have  $\alpha_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We now let  $i \geq 1$  and we assume that  $\alpha_k \in \mathbb{Z}$  for  $k \leq i-1$ . The coefficient of  $q^i$  in the expansion of  $f$  is (by assumption) an integer and is given by

$$\alpha_0 b_i^{(0)} + \alpha_1 b_i^{(1)} + \cdots + \alpha_{i-1} b_i^{(i-1)} + \alpha_i,$$

where  $b_i^{(k)} \in \mathbb{Z}$  is the coefficient of  $q^i$  in the expansion of  $E_4^{n-3k} \Delta^k$ . This forces  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We conclude that  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  for  $0 \leq i \leq j$ . Since  $f$  was an arbitrary element of  $M_{4n}(\mathbb{Z})$ , this concludes the proof.