

Write Thm 3.2.2, Prop 3.2.3, Thm 3.3.3 (HK1-2) on board

①

In this talk we present the main conclusion.

Thm 3.3.4 Suppose  $K \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$  satisfies (HK1-HK2).

$f^{\text{in}}$  probability density on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  s.t.

Then  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |z| f^{\text{in}}(z) dz < \infty$   $\leftarrow$  i.e.  $f^{\text{in}} \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$   
Needed for Thm 3.2.2

(1) the Cauchy Problem for the mean field PDE

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t f(t, z) + \operatorname{div}_z (f(t, z) K f(t, z)) = 0 \\ f|_{t=0} = f^{\text{in}} \end{cases}$$

has a unique weak solution  $f \in C(\mathbb{R}, L^1(\mathbb{R}^d))$ .

pf.  $\mu^{\text{in}} = f^{\text{in}} \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

① By Thm 3.2.2 there is a unique soln

$$t \mapsto Z(t, y^{\text{in}}, \mu^{\text{in}})$$

to the mean field characteristics eqn

$$\begin{cases} \dot{Z}(t, y^{\text{in}}, \mu^{\text{in}}) = K \mu(t, Z(t, y^{\text{in}}, \mu^{\text{in}})) \\ \mu(t) = Z(t, \cdot, \mu^{\text{in}}) \# \mu^{\text{in}} \\ Z(0, y^{\text{in}}, \mu^{\text{in}}) = y^{\text{in}} \end{cases}$$

② On the other hand, to apply Exercise (6) (7) on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  (CMF) P. 37, let  $Y(t, 0, \cdot) := Z(t, \cdot, \mu^{\text{in}})$

$$b(t, z) := K \mu(t, z) = \int K(z, z') \mu \# \mu(t, dz')$$

(Then  $|b(t, z)| \leq K(1+|z|)$  by (HK2))

$\Rightarrow$  The assumptions on the exercises are satisfied.

By Exercises (6) (7),

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(t) &= Y(t, 0, \cdot) \# \mu^{\text{in}} \\ &= Z(t, \cdot, \mu^{\text{in}}) \# f^{\text{in}} \end{aligned}$$

is the unique soln to

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \mu + \operatorname{div}_z (\mu b) = 0 \\ \mu|_{t=0} = f^{\text{in}}_{\text{pd}} \end{cases} \iff \partial_t \mu + \operatorname{div}_z (\mu K \mu) = 0 \quad (2)$$

It gives back the mean field PDE so this is the desired conclusion.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  It remains to check that the  $Z$  here is indeed the solution to the MF characteristic eqn.

By Exercise (1),  $s \mapsto Y(s, t, y)$  solves

$$\begin{cases} \dot{Y}(s) = b(s, Y(s)) \\ Y(t) = y \end{cases} \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^d, t \in [0, \tau]$$

Take  $t := 0$ , change notation  $s \rightarrow t$ ,  $y := y^{\text{in}}$ , we know

$$\begin{cases} s \mapsto Y(t, 0, y^{\text{in}}) = Z(t, y^{\text{in}}, \mu^{\text{in}}) \text{ solves} \\ \partial_t Y(t, 0, y) = b(t, Y(t, 0, y)) \iff \partial_t Z(t, y^{\text{in}}, \mu^{\text{in}}) = K_{\text{Jct}}(t, Z(0, y^{\text{in}}, \mu^{\text{in}})) \\ Y(0, y) = y \iff Z(0, y^{\text{in}}, \mu^{\text{in}}) = y^{\text{in}} \end{cases}$$

This is indeed the mean field characteristic eqn.  $\square$

Idea of proof:

- (1) By Thm 3.2.2  $\exists!$  soln  $Z$  to the mean field characteristic eqn  $\square$
- (2)  $Z$  satisfies the ODE in exercise (1).
- (3) By Exercises (6) (7), the desired soln is the unique soln to the mean field PDE

(2) (The second half of this Thm states that the empirical measure associated to the  $N$ -particle system at time  $t$  converges to

$\forall N \geq 1$ , let  $Z(N) = (z_{1,N}^{\text{in}}, \dots, z_{N,N}^{\text{in}}) \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^N$  be such that

$$\mu_{Z(N)} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \delta_{z_{j,N}^{\text{in}}} \text{ (the initial empirical meas.) satisfies}$$

$\operatorname{dist}_{\text{MK, ER}}(\mu_{Z(N)}, f^{\text{in}}) \rightarrow 0$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$   $\rightarrow$  Will discuss how to achieve this in next second half of the talk

Let  $t \mapsto T_t \mathcal{S}(N) = (z_{1,N}(t), \dots, z_{N,N}(t)) \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^N$  be the soln<sup>③</sup> of the  $N$ -particle ODE system with initial data  $\mathcal{S}(N)$ , i.e.

$$\begin{cases} \dot{z}_i(t) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N K(z_i(t), z_j(t)), & i=1, \dots, N \\ z_i(0) = z_i^{\text{in}} \end{cases}$$

Then  $\mu_{T_t \mathcal{S}(N)} \rightarrow f(t, \cdot) \mathcal{L}^d$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$  weakly.

with  $\text{dist}_{\text{MK},1}(\mu_{T_t \mathcal{S}(N)}, f(t, \cdot) \mathcal{L}^d) \leq e^{2|t|} \text{dist}_{\text{MK},1}(\mu_{\mathcal{S}(N)}, f^{\text{in}})$   
 $(\rightarrow 0 \text{ by assumption})$

Remark. The last statement indeed describes a convergence rate because  $\text{dist}_{\text{MK},1}(\mu_n, \mu) \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow \mu_n \rightarrow \mu$  where  $\mu_n$  and  $\mu$  are prob. measures, as we shall see in proof.

~~pf.  $\text{dist}_{\text{MK},1}(\mu_n, \mu) = \sup_{\varphi \in \text{Lip}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(z) \mu_n(dz) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(z) \mu(dz) \right| \rightarrow 0$~~   
 ~~$\rightarrow \forall \varphi \in \text{Lip}(\mathbb{R}^d), \text{Lip}(\varphi) \leq 1, \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(z) \mu_n(dz) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(z) \mu(dz) \right| \rightarrow 0$~~   
~~This is part of Lem 3.3.6~~

pf of Thm. By the proof of the first part, we know that  $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$f(t, \cdot) \mathcal{L}^d = Z(t, \cdot, f^{\text{in}} \mathcal{L}^d) \# f^{\text{in}} \mathcal{L}^d \quad \textcircled{1}$$

$$\text{claim: } \mu_{T_t \mathcal{S}(N)} = Z(t, \cdot, \mu_{\mathcal{S}(N)}) \# \mu_{\mathcal{S}(N)} \quad \leftarrow \text{discrete meas.} \quad \textcircled{2}$$

pf of claim:

By Prop 2.2.3,  $z_{i,N}(t) = Z(t, z_{i,N}^{\text{in}}, \mu_{\mathcal{S}(N)}) \quad \forall i=1, \dots, N$ .

Since  $\mu_{T_t \mathcal{S}(N)} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{z_{i,N}(t)}$ , we know

$$\mu_{T_t \mathcal{S}(N)}(z) = \frac{1}{N} \Leftrightarrow \exists j=1, \dots, N \text{ s.t. } z = z_{j,N}(t)$$

$$\text{s.t. } z = z_{j,N}(t) = Z(t, z_{j,N}^{\text{in}}, \mu_{\mathcal{S}(N)})$$

On the other hand, by defn of push-forward measure,  $Z(t, \cdot, \mu_{\mathcal{S}(N)}) \# \mu_{\mathcal{S}(N)}(z) = \frac{1}{N}$

$$\Leftrightarrow \mu_{\mathcal{S}(N)}(Z(t, \cdot, \mu_{\mathcal{S}(N)})^{-1}(z)) = \frac{1}{N}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow Z(t, \cdot, \mu_{Z(N)}^{-1}(z)) \in \mathcal{Z}(N)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \exists z_0 \in \mathcal{Z}(N), \text{ s.t. } z = Z(t, z_0, \mu_{Z(N)})$$

$$\text{But } \mathcal{Z}(N) = \{z_{1,N}^{\text{in}}, \dots, z_{N,N}^{\text{in}}\} \text{ so}$$

$$\exists j=1, \dots, N \text{ s.t. } z = Z(t, z_{j,N}^{\text{in}}, \mu_{Z(N)})$$

Therefore the two measures take  $\frac{1}{N}$  on the same set of points and 0 elsewhere, so they coincide.

This finishes the proof of the claim.

(clear the pf)

Then we directly apply Thm 3.3.3 with the measures in ① ② to see that

$$\underbrace{\text{dist}_{\text{MK},1}(\mu_{T_t Z(N)}, f(t, \cdot) \mathcal{L}^d)}_{\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } N \rightarrow \infty} \leq e^{2|t|} \underbrace{\text{dist}_{\text{MK},1}(\mu_{Z(N)}, f^{\text{in}})}_{\text{By ass'n } \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} 0}$$

Now we show  $\mu_{T_t Z(N)} \rightarrow f(t, \cdot) \mathcal{L}^d$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ .

(Write on top of new board): Recall that for  $(\mu_n), \mu$  probability measures,  $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu$  weakly means that

$$\int f d\mu_n \rightarrow \int f d\mu \text{ for all } f \text{ cts + bounded (denoted } C_b)$$

Portmanteau Theorem states that it suffices to show the above convergence for  $f \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,

because  $C_c$  functions are dense in  $C_b$ .

~~In part~~ Step 1. We show  $\forall \varphi: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  Lipschitz,

$$\text{Step 1. We show } \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(z) (\mu_{T_t Z(N)} dz) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(z) (f(t, z) dz) \right| \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

One can see this by directly applying Prop 3.3.2 which

states that  $(\mu_N := \mu_{TSCM}, \mu := f(\cdot, \cdot) \mathbb{Q}^d)$

$$\text{dist}_{MK,1}(\mu_N, \mu) = \sup_{\substack{\varphi \in \text{Lip}(\mathbb{R}^d) \\ \text{Lip}(\varphi) \leq 1}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(z) \mu_N dz - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(z) \mu dz \right| \quad (5)$$

We know LHS  $\rightarrow 0$  so  $\forall \varphi$  Lipschitz, we have

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(z) \mu_N dz - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(z) \mu dz \right| \rightarrow 0.$$

This justification can also be done without using this more advanced result: Let  $\varphi$  be Lipschitz. Then  $\forall \pi \in \Pi(\mu_N, \mu)$ ,

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(z) \mu_N dz - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(z) \mu dz \right|$$

by defn of coupling  $\rightarrow = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} (\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)) \pi(dx dy) \right|$

$$\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)| \pi(dx dy) \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |x - y| \pi(dx dy) \cdot \text{Lip}(\varphi)$$

This holds for all  $\pi \in \Pi(\mu_N, \mu)$ , hence

$$\text{LHS} \leq \text{Lip}(\varphi) \cdot \inf_{\pi \in \Pi(\mu_N, \mu)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |x - y| \pi(dx dy)$$

Defn of MK dist.

$$\stackrel{(\text{Def 3.3.1})}{=} \text{Lip}(\varphi) \cdot \text{dist}_{MK,1}(\mu_N, \mu) \rightarrow 0$$

Step 2. We show  $\forall g \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(z) \mu_N dz - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(z) \mu dz \right| \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

pf. We showed in step 1 the same relation for Lipschitz fncs.

In particular, this relation holds for all  $\varphi \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

(This is because for such  $\varphi$ , its derivatives are in  $C_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$ )

so ~~have~~ takes value in a compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ )

Let  $g \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $\varphi_n \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $\varphi_n \rightarrow g$ , i.e.

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |\varphi_n(x) - g(x)| \rightarrow 0 \quad \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |g(x) - \varphi_n(x)| \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

Then  $|\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(z) (\mu_N dz) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(z) (\mu dz)|$  (6)

$$\leq |\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (g - \varphi_n)(z) (\mu_N dz)| + |\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (g - \varphi_n)(z) (\mu dz)|$$

$$+ \underbrace{|\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi_n(z) (\mu_N dz) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi_n(z) (\mu dz)|}_{\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } N \rightarrow \infty}$$

$$|\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (g - \varphi_n)(z) (\mu_N dz)| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |g - \varphi_n|(z) (\mu_N dz)$$

$$\leq \underbrace{\mu_N(\mathbb{R}^d)}_{=1} \underbrace{\sup_{\substack{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \\ \kappa \in \mathbb{R}^d}} |g(\kappa) - \varphi_n(\kappa)|}_{\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ so is bounded}}$$

Therefore DCT applies (in the ~~limit~~ limit  $n \rightarrow \infty$ )

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} |\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (g - \varphi_n)(z) (\mu_N dz)| = 0.$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall \epsilon > 0, N \in \mathbb{N} \exists n_0 \text{ s.t. } |\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (g - \varphi_n)(z) (\mu_N dz)| < \epsilon$$

$$\forall n > n_0.$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \underbrace{|\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (g - \varphi_n)(z) (\mu_N dz)|}_{< \epsilon \text{ for } n \text{ large enough}} \leq \epsilon.$$

Similar for  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} |\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (g - \varphi_n)(z) (\mu dz)|$

$$\Rightarrow |\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(z) (\mu_N dz) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(z) (\mu dz)| \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

because  $\epsilon$  is arbitrary.

This finishes step 2.

~~Now since  $C_c(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$  dense, the Portmanteau theorem applies and hence we obtain weak convergence.~~

~~$\mu_N$  conv. weakly  $\Leftrightarrow \int f d\mu_N \rightarrow \int f d\mu \forall f \in D, D \subset C_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$  dense~~

⑦

Remark In the proof we first showed convergence for  $C_c^1$  functions. Using density of  $C_c^1$  functions in  $C_c(C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset C_c(\mathbb{R}^d))$  we extended this result to  $C_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Then the conclusion followed by density of  $C_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$  in  $C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . However  ~~$C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$~~  this does not imply that  $C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is dense in  $C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$  because the topologies of  $C_b$  and  $C_c$  are defined differently.

Since  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\mu_N dz) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\mu dz) = 1$ , the above convergence holds also for all  $\varphi \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , which shows weak conv. of  $\mu_N \rightarrow \mu$  by defn.

In fact, we could have concluded with portmanteau's Thm after finishing step 1.

### 3.3.4 On the choice of initial data

In Thm 3.3.4 we assumed that

$$\text{dist}_{MK,1}(\mu_{S(N)}, f^{\text{in}}) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } N \rightarrow \infty, \text{ where}$$

$$\mu_{S(N)} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \delta_{z_j^{\text{in}}}$$

In this section we will show that to reach this condition, it suffices to draw an infinite sequence  $z_j^{\text{in}}$  at random according to the distribution  $f^{\text{in}}$  i.i.d.

Assume  $f^{\text{in}}$  is a prob. density on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  s.t.

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |z|^2 f^{\text{in}}(z) dz < \infty$$

We construct a prob. space on the collection of sequences of points in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  (denoted by  $(\mathbb{R}^d)^{\mathbb{N}}$ ) as follows:

$$\Omega := (\mathbb{R}^d)^{\mathbb{N}}, \text{ a algebra of } \mathcal{F} = \sigma\left\{ \prod_{n=1}^N B_n : B_n \subset \mathbb{R}^d \text{ Borel, all but } \right.$$

finitely many  $B_n$ 's are  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

(8)

$\mathbb{P} := (f^{i_n})^{\otimes \infty}$ , i.e. on the cylinders.

$$\mathbb{P}(\prod_{n \geq 1} B_n) := \prod_{n \geq 1} f^{i_n}(B_n)$$

Then  $f^{i_n}(B_n) = 1$  for all but finitely many  $n$ 's.

• It is easy to see  $\mathbb{P}(\Omega) = 1$  and  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  is a prob. space.

Thm 3.3.5 For each  $\vec{z}^{i_n} = (z_k^{i_n})_{k \geq 1} \in \Omega$  ( $\vec{z}^{i_n}$  is a seq in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ),

$$\text{let } Z_N^{i_n} := (z_1^{i_n}, \dots, z_N^{i_n}).$$

Then  $\text{dist}_{mk, 1}(\mathcal{M}_{Z_N^{i_n}}, f^{i_n d}) \rightarrow 0$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$  for  $\mathbb{P}$ -a.s.  $\vec{z}^{i_n} \in \Omega$ .

Write lem 3.3.6 on board  
pf. Consider  $Y_n(\vec{z}) := \varphi(z_n)$  where  $\varphi \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , or  $\varphi(z) = |z|$   
 $\vec{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_n, \dots) \in \Omega$ .

Then  $Y_n : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  measurable so  $Y_n$  is a random variable.

~~we~~ We will apply SLLN on  $(Y_n)_{n \geq 1}$  so we check iid +  $L^1$ :

(i)  $Y_n$ 's are identically distributed

pf. Let  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  be arbitrary. Then

$$\mathbb{P}(Y_n \geq a) = \mathbb{P}(\{\vec{z} \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^{\mathbb{N}} : \varphi(z_n) \geq a\})$$

$$= \mathbb{P}(\prod_{j \geq 1} B_j : B_j = \mathbb{R}^d \text{ for } j \neq n; B_n = \{z_n : \varphi(z_n) \geq a\})$$

$$= \mathbb{P}(\prod_{j \geq 1} \{B_j : B_n = \varphi^{-1}([a, \infty)), B_j = \mathbb{R}^d \forall j \neq n\})$$

$$\text{By defn of } \mathbb{P} \rightarrow = \prod_{\substack{j \geq 1 \\ j \neq n}} f^{i_n}(\mathbb{R}^d) \cdot f^{i_n}(\varphi^{-1}([a, \infty)))$$

$$= \int \mathbb{1}_{\{\varphi^{-1}([a, \infty))\}}(\vec{z}) f^{i_n}(z) dz$$

This does not depend on  $n$ .



(2)  $\{Y_n\}$  are indep.

(9)

pf. By defn of independence of a seq. of r.v.'s, we need to show

It suffices to show

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}} [g_1(Y_1) \cdots g_N(Y_N)] = \prod_{k=1}^N \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}} [g_k(Y_k)] \quad \text{where}$$

$$g_1, \dots, g_N \in C_b(\mathbb{R}).$$

$$\mathbb{E} [g_1(Y_1) \cdots g_N(Y_N)] = \int_{\Omega} g_1(Y_1) \cdots g_N(Y_N) d\mathbb{P}$$

By defn of  $\mathbb{P}$

$$= \int_{\Omega} g_1(\psi(z_1)) \cdots g_N(\psi(z_N)) \prod_{n=1}^N f^{in}(z_n) dz_n$$

$$= \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^{\{N+1, N+2, \dots\}}} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^N} g_1(\psi(z_1)) \cdots g_N(\psi(z_N)) \prod_{n=1}^N f^{in}(z_n) dz_n \prod_{n=N+1}^{\infty} f^{in}(z_n) dz_n$$

Fubini  $\rightarrow$   $\int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^{\{N+1, N+2, \dots\}}} \left( \prod_{n=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g_n(\psi(z_n)) f^{in}(z_n) dz_n \right) \prod_{n=N+1}^{\infty} f^{in}(z_n) dz_n$   
 (if bounded)

Fubini  $\rightarrow$   $\prod_{n=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g_n(\psi(z_n)) f^{in}(z_n) dz_n = \prod_{n=1}^N \mathbb{E} [g_n(Y_n)]$   
 $= \prod_{n=1}^N \mathbb{E} [g_n(Y_n)]$

$\Rightarrow Y_n$ 's are indep.

(3)  $\mathbb{E}[|Y_k|^2] < \infty \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\mathbb{E}[|Y_k|^2] = \int_{\Omega} |\psi(z_k)|^2 d\mathbb{P}(z^*)$$

$$= \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^{\mathbb{N}}} |\psi(z_k)|^2 \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} f^{in}(z_n) dz_n$$

Use Tonelli  
as above

$$\Rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\psi(z)|^2 f^{in}(z) dz < \infty$$

~~(if  $\psi \in C_c$ )~~

(If  $\psi \in C_c$ , or  $\|\psi\|_{\infty} = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}^d} |\psi(z)| < \infty$ ; If  $\psi(z) = |z|$ , use ass'n)

Therefore SLLN applies, that is,  $\sum_{k=1}^N Y_k \rightarrow \mathbb{E}[Y_1]$  a.s.

$$\mathbb{E}[Y_1] = \int_{\Omega} Y_1(\vec{z}) d\mathbb{P}(\vec{z}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(z) f^{\text{in}}(z) dz = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(z) f^{\text{in}}(z) dz \quad (10)$$

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N Y_k = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \varphi(z_k) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \delta_{z_k}(\varphi) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \langle \delta_{z_k}, \varphi \rangle$$

Therefore  $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \langle \delta_{z_k}, \varphi \rangle \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(z) f^{\text{in}}(z) dz$  for a.e.  $\vec{z} \in \Omega$ .

$$\langle f^{\text{in}}_{\mathcal{L}^d}, \varphi \rangle$$

This holds for all  $\varphi \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $\varphi(\cdot) = 1.1$  (\*)

Since  $C_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is separable (by Stone-Weierstrass), we can assume the  $\mathbb{P}$ -negligible set is same for all  $\varphi$ . (\*)

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \langle \delta_{z_k}, \varphi \rangle \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(z) f^{\text{in}}(z) dz \quad \forall \varphi \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^d) \text{ or } \varphi(\cdot) = 1.1$$

for a.e.  $\vec{z} \in \Omega$ . So by defn

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \delta_{z_k} \rightarrow f^{\text{in}}_{\mathcal{L}^d}$$

weakly (conv. in measure)

Can be simplified  
See P 13 (1)  
↓

Furthermore,  $\sum_{k=1}^N \delta_{z_k} \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $f^{\text{in}}_{\mathcal{L}^d} \in \mathcal{P}_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , and

$$\sup_N \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |z| \mathbb{1}_{|z| \leq R} \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \delta_{z_k} dz \right)$$

$$= \sup_N \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N |z_k| \mathbb{1}_{|z_k| \leq R}$$

By (\*) for  $\varphi(\cdot) = 1.1$  we have

$$\langle \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \delta_{z_k}, \varphi \rangle = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \varphi(z_k) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N |z_k| \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f^{\text{in}}(z) dz < \infty$$

$$\Rightarrow \exists N_0 \text{ s.t. } \langle \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \delta_{z_k}, \varphi \rangle < 1 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f^{\text{in}}(z) dz \quad \text{indep. of } R$$

$$\Rightarrow \sup_{N > N_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |z| \mathbb{1}_{|z| > R} \mu_N dz = \sup_{N > N_0} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N |z_k| \mathbb{1}_{|z_k| > R} \leq 1 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f^{\text{in}}(z) dz < \infty$$

$$\stackrel{\text{DCT}}{\Rightarrow} \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{N > N_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |z| \mathbb{1}_{|z| > R} \mu_N dz \rightarrow \sup_{N > N_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} |z| \mathbb{1}_{|z| > R} dz = 0$$

That  $\sup_{N \in \mathbb{N}_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |z| \mathbb{1}_{|z| > R} \mu_N dz = \sup_{N \in \mathbb{N}_0} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N |z_k| \mathbb{1}_{|z_k| > R} \rightarrow 0$  as  $R \rightarrow \infty$

Therefore  $\sup_N \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |z| \mathbb{1}_{|z| > R} \mu_N dz \rightarrow 0$  as  $R \rightarrow \infty$  if  $R > \max\{|z_{11}|, \dots, |z_{N1}|\}$

$\Rightarrow$  lem 3.3.6 (2) is satisfied

$\Rightarrow$  By lem 3.3.6 (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) we conclude.

pf of (\*). We follow the proof given in notes P49-50.

Let  $R > 0$ .  $E_R = \{ \varphi \in C([-\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}]^d; \mathbb{R}^d) : \varphi|_{\partial[-\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}]^d} = 0 \}$   
 $\|\varphi\| := \sup_{x \in [-\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}]^d} |\varphi(x)|$

claim 1.  $(E_R, \|\cdot\|)$  is a separable Banach space

pf. Separability is a consequence of Stone-Weierstrass

Completeness: Let  $(\varphi_n)$  be a Cauchy seq.

i.e.  $\sup_{x \in [-\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}]^d} |\varphi_n(x) - \varphi_m(x)| \rightarrow 0$  as  $m, n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Then  $(\varphi_n(x))_n$  is a Cauchy seq in  $\mathbb{R}$  so converges.

Define  $\varphi(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_n(x)$ .

(1)  $\varphi(x)$  is cts because  ~~$\varphi(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_n(x)$~~

$$|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)| \leq |\varphi(x) - \varphi_n(x)| + |\varphi_n(x) - \varphi_n(y)| + |\varphi_n(y) - \varphi(y)|$$

$$\exists N > 0 \text{ s.t. } |\varphi_n(x) - \varphi_n(x)| < \epsilon, |\varphi_n(y) - \varphi_n(y)| < \epsilon \quad \forall n \geq N.$$

$$\Rightarrow |\varphi(x) - \varphi_N(x)| < \epsilon, |\varphi(y) - \varphi_N(y)| < \epsilon$$

$$\square \text{ For } x, y \text{ suff. close, } |\varphi_N(x) - \varphi_N(y)| < \epsilon.$$

$$\Rightarrow |\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)| < 3\epsilon \text{ for } x, y \text{ suff. close.}$$

$\Rightarrow \varphi$  is cts.

$$\Rightarrow \varphi \in E_R.$$

(2)  $\|\varphi_n - \varphi\| \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$ . i.e.  $\varphi$  is indeed the limit of  $\varphi_n$ .

~~$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\varphi_n - \varphi\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\varphi_n\|$$~~

Since  $\varphi_n$  and  $\varphi$  are cts on  $[-\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}]^d$  compact, and  $\varphi_n \rightarrow \varphi$  pointwise (by defn of  $\varphi$ ),

$$\varphi_n \rightarrow \varphi \text{ uniformly, i.e. } \sup_{x \in [-\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}]^d} |\varphi_n(x) - \varphi(x)| \rightarrow 0.$$

$\Rightarrow \|\varphi_n - \varphi\| \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$ , as desired.

Now define  $N_{\varphi, R} := \{ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \delta_{z_k}, \varphi \}$  for  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(z) f^{in}(z) dz \in \mathbb{R}$ .  
~~Defn~~ Let  $(\varphi_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be a countable dense set of  $E_R$ .

$$\text{Define } N_R := \bigcup_{n \geq 1} N_{\varphi_n, R}$$

claim 2.  $\langle \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \delta_{z_k}, \varphi \rangle \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(z) f^{in}(z) dz \quad \forall \varphi \in \bar{E}_R, \vec{z} \notin N_R$  (12)

Pf. By density of  $(\varphi_n)$ ,  $\exists m = m(\varphi, \epsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $\|\varphi - \varphi_m\| < \epsilon$ .

$\vec{z} \notin N_R \Leftrightarrow \vec{z} \in \Omega$  s.t.  $\langle \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \delta_{z_k}, \varphi_n \rangle \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi_n(z) f^{in}(z) dz \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Let  $\epsilon > 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 |LHS - RHS| &\leq \left| \langle \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \delta_{z_k}, \varphi - \varphi_m \rangle \right| + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\varphi_m(z) - \varphi(z)) f^{in}(z) dz \right| \\
 &\leq \sup |\varphi - \varphi_m| = \epsilon \qquad \qquad \qquad \leq \sup |\varphi_m - \varphi| = \epsilon \\
 &+ \left| \langle \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \delta_{z_k}, \varphi - \varphi_m \rangle - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi_m(z) f^{in}(z) dz \right| \\
 &\qquad \qquad \qquad \leq \epsilon \text{ for } N \text{ large enough by the choice of } \vec{z} \\
 &\qquad \qquad \qquad < 3\epsilon \text{ for } N \text{ large enough.}
 \end{aligned}$$

because  $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \delta_{z_k}$  and  $f^{in}(z) dz$  are prob. meas.

$\epsilon$  is arbitrary  $\Rightarrow$  claim 2 is verified.

In the context of Thm 3.3.5, let  $R$  be arbitrary, we had in (X) (Page 10) that  $\mathbb{P}(N_{\varphi_n, R}) = 0 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

$\Rightarrow \mathbb{P}(N_R) = \mathbb{P}(N_{\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} N_{\varphi_n, R}}) = 0$

By claim 2,  $\langle \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \delta_{z_k}, \varphi \rangle \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(z) f^{in}(z) dz \quad \forall \varphi \in \bar{E}_R, \vec{z} \notin N_R$ .

Since this is true for  $R$  arbitrary, the statement holds for all

$\varphi \in \bigcup_{R=1}^{\infty} \bar{E}_R \cong C_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$  provided  $\vec{z} \notin \underbrace{\bigcup_{R=1}^{\infty} N_R}_{\mathcal{I}}$   
 $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{I}) = 0$

This is the  $\mathbb{P}$ -negligible set we take

(\*) An easier way to show

$$\sup_N \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |z| \mathbb{1}_{|z| \geq R} \mu_N dz \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } R \rightarrow \infty \text{ (*):}$$

Define  $\tilde{\varphi}(z) := |z| \mathbb{1}_{|z| \geq R}$

Then if we again let  $\gamma_{n(z)} := \tilde{\varphi}(z_n)$ , SLLN still applies

$$\text{and } \left\langle \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \delta_{z_k}, \tilde{\varphi} \right\rangle \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{\varphi}(z) \varphi f^{in}(z) dz$$

$$\begin{matrix} \parallel & \parallel \\ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |z| \mathbb{1}_{|z| \geq R} d(\delta_{z_k}) & \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |z| \mathbb{1}_{|z| \geq R} f^{in}(z) dz \\ & \rightarrow 0 \end{matrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N |z_k| \mathbb{1}_{|z_k| \geq R} = \text{LHS of (*). } R \rightarrow \infty \rightarrow 0 \text{ By DCT}$$

$\Rightarrow$  As  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , LHS of (\*)  $\rightarrow 0$  as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ .

$\Rightarrow \forall \epsilon > 0 \exists N_0$  s.t. for  $R$  large enough, LHS  $< \epsilon \forall N \geq N_0$ .  
For  $N < N_0$ , LHS  $\xrightarrow{R \rightarrow \infty} 0$  directly by DCT.

$$\Rightarrow \sup_N \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |z| \mathbb{1}_{|z| \geq R} \mu_N dz \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } R \rightarrow \infty.$$