

**Exercise 2.1.**

Prove that the system of elementary sets

$$\mathcal{A} := \{A \subset \mathbb{R}^n \mid A \text{ is the union of finitely many disjoint intervals}\}$$

is an algebra.

**Solution:** To prove that the collection of elementary sets  $\mathcal{A}$  is an algebra, we need to show that  $\mathbb{R}^n \in \mathcal{A}$  as well as the closedness of  $\mathcal{A}$  with respect to taking complements and finite unions.

It is easy to see that  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is an interval (see definition in Lecture Notes,  $a, b = \pm\infty$  is allowed). Therefore, it belongs to  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let now  $A = \bigcup_{k=1}^m A_k$  where  $A_k$  are disjoint intervals. The complements of the  $A_k$  can be expressed as:

$$A_k^c = \bigcup_{i=1}^{p(n)} B_{k,i}$$

where  $p(n)$  depends on the dimension of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and determines how many pieces are needed to express the complement as a union of intervals. As a result,  $A_k^c$  is again in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Now, using de Morgan, we see:

$$A^c = \left( \bigcup_{k=1}^m A_k \right)^c = \bigcap_{k=1}^m A_k^c,$$

However, it is obvious (since the intersection of two intervals is another interval), that the intersection of two sets  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$  lies again in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Therefore, we have shown  $A^c \in \mathcal{A}$ .

Finally, let  $A_k = \bigcup_{l=1}^{n_k} A_{kl} \in \mathcal{A}$  where  $A_{kl}$  are pairwise disjoint intervals for  $k = 1, \dots, m$  and  $l = 1, \dots, n_k$ . In this case,  $\bigcup_{k=1}^m A_k = \bigcup_{k=1}^m \bigcup_{l=1}^{n_k} A_{kl}$  is a finite union of intervals. In addition, they can be chosen to be disjoint. To see this, let us consider the case  $m = 2$ , the general case follows by repeated application of the case  $m = 2$ . For all  $l \in \{1, \dots, n_2\}$ , we define:

$$\tilde{A}_{2l} := A_{2l} \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{n_1} A_{1j} = A_{2l} \cap \bigcap_{j=1}^{n_1} A_{1j}^c.$$

As we argued before,  $A_{1j}^c$  is again an elementary subset and the finite intersection of elementary subsets is again elementary (consider their decomposition into disjoint cubes to see this). Therefore,  $\tilde{A}_{2l}$  is elementary. Moreover, observe that all  $\tilde{A}_{2l}$  are pairwise disjoint with each other and each of the  $A_{1j}$ . Therefore, using their decomposition into disjoint cubes, we can deduce that:

$$A_1 \cup A_2 \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Consequently, we see  $\bigcup_{k=1}^m A_k \in \mathcal{A}$ . This yields that  $\mathcal{A}$  is an algebra. □

A more direct proof can be given as follows: again, we are given a finite collection of elementary sets  $A_1, \dots, A_m$ . For each  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , let

$$-\infty =: a_0^k < a_1^k < a_2^k < \dots < a_{q_k-1}^k < a_{q_k}^k := +\infty$$

be the finite collection of numbers which appear as one of the endpoints of the  $k$ -th factor of one of the intervals that constitute one of the  $A_j$ .

Namely, for each  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , let  $S^k$  be the union of the sets of endpoints of all the intervals  $I_k$  that are the  $k$ -th factor of one of the  $I \subset A_j$ , together with  $\pm\infty$ . Since  $S^k$  is finite, we can write it as  $\{a_0^k, \dots, a_{q_k}^k\}$ , where the elements are ordered increasingly and  $a_0^k = -\infty, a_{q_k}^k = +\infty$ .

Consider then the finite collection of intervals

$$\mathcal{J} = \{J_1 \times \dots \times J_n \mid \text{for each } k, J_k = \{a_i^k\} \text{ with } 0 < i < q_k \text{ or } J_k = (a_i^k, a_{i+1}^k) \text{ with } 0 \leq i < q_k\}.$$

It is clear that the intervals in  $\mathcal{J}$  partition  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and that each  $A_j$  is a union of intervals in  $\mathcal{J}$ . Therefore the union  $A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_m$  can be written as the (disjoint) union of those  $J \in \mathcal{J}$  which are contained in some  $A_j$ , and in particular is a disjoint union of intervals.

Note also that this also shows at once that  $\mathcal{A}$  is closed under complements: defining  $\mathcal{J}$  as above only for the elementary set  $A$ , we see that  $A$  is the union of some intervals from  $\mathcal{J}$ , therefore  $A^c$  is the union of the remaining ones.

### Exercise 2.2.

Let  $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  be a measure space. A subset  $A \in \Sigma$  is called  $\mu$ -atom, if it holds  $\mu(A) > 0$  and for every  $B \in \Sigma$  such that  $B \subset A$ , we have either  $\mu(A \setminus B) = 0$  or  $\mu(B) = 0$ .

(a) Let  $A$  be a  $\mu$ -atom and  $B \in \Sigma$  such that  $B \subset A$ . Prove that either  $\mu(B) = \mu(A)$  or  $\mu(B) = 0$ .

**Solution:** If  $\mu(B) = 0$ , we are done. Therefore, assume  $\mu(A \setminus B) = 0$ . This gives by additivity and monotonicity:

$$\mu(B) \leq \mu(A) = \mu(B) + \mu(A \setminus B) = \mu(B),$$

thus yielding  $\mu(A) = \mu(B)$ . □

(b) Let  $A \in \Sigma$  and assume  $0 < \mu(A) < \infty$ . Moreover, assume that for all  $B \in \Sigma$  with  $B \subset A$ , it holds that either  $\mu(B) = 0$  or  $\mu(B) = \mu(A)$ . Show that  $A$  is a  $\mu$ -atom.

**Solution:** If  $\mu(B) = 0$ , we are done. Otherwise, we have  $\mu(B) = \mu(A) < \infty$  and we observe that, by additivity, it holds:

$$\mu(B) = \mu(A) = \mu(B) + \mu(A \setminus B).$$

Because  $\mu(B)$  is finite, this implies  $\mu(A \setminus B) = 0$  and thus  $A$  is a  $\mu$ -atom. □

(c) Assume that  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -finite, that is, there is a countable collection  $\{S_j\} \subset \Sigma$  with  $\mu(S_j) < \infty$  and  $X = \bigcup_j S_j$ . Show that for every  $\mu$ -atom  $A$ , it holds  $\mu(A) < \infty$ .

**Solution:** Since  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -finite, we can write  $X = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} S_j$  with  $S_j \in \Sigma$  and  $\mu(S_j) < \infty$ . Up to subtracting  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{j-1} S_i$  from  $S_j$  for each  $j$ , we may suppose that all the  $S_j$  are pairwise disjoint and still in  $\Sigma$ . Define  $A_j := S_j \cap A \in \Sigma$ . Because  $A_j \subset A$ , it follows by the first part of the exercise that

$$\mu(A_j) = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \mu(A) = \mu(A_j) \leq \mu(S_j) < \infty$$

for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . If the second case occurs for some  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , we are done. So it remains to consider the case  $\mu(A_j) = 0$  for all  $j$ . Due to  $\sigma$ -additivity, this case implies  $\mu(A) = 0$ , which concludes the proof. □

### Exercise 2.3.

Let  $X$  be an uncountable set and

$$\mathcal{B} := \{E \subset X \mid E \text{ or } E^c \text{ countable}\}.$$

Show that  $\mu : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  defined by

$$\mu(E) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } E \text{ is countable} \\ 1 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

is a pre-measure on  $\mathcal{B}$ .

**Solution:** Clearly  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ . Now assume that  $A, \{A_j\}_j$  is a countable subfamily of  $\mathcal{B}$  such that  $A = \bigcup_j A_j$  and such that the  $A_j$ 's are pairwise disjoint.

If  $A$  is countable, this implies that  $A_j \subset A$  is also countable for every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore:

$$\mu(A) = 0 = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 0 = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_j).$$

Otherwise,  $A$  is uncountable, which implies that there exists  $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $A_{j_0}$  is uncountable as well. Furthermore, this means that  $A_{j_0}^c$  is countable by definition of  $\mathcal{B}$ . Because  $A_j$  is contained in  $A_{j_0}^c$  for all  $j \neq j_0$  by disjointness, this shows that  $A_j$  is countable if  $j \neq j_0$ . Thus, we obtain

$$\mu(A) = 1 = \mu(A_{j_0}) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_j).$$

This shows that  $\mu$  is a pre-measure. □

**Exercise 2.4.**

Let  $X$  be a set and  $\mu : \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  a measure on  $X$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{A}_\mu$  the  $\sigma$ -algebra of  $\mu$ -measurable subsets of  $X$ . Let  $B \subset X$  be an arbitrary subset.

(a) Denote by  $\mu \llcorner B$  the restriction of  $\mu$  to  $B$  defined by:

$$\forall A \subset X : \quad \mu \llcorner B(A) := \mu(A \cap B).$$

Show that  $\mu \llcorner B$  is a measure.

**Solution:** Let us define  $\tilde{\mu} := \mu \llcorner B$ . It is obvious that  $\tilde{\mu}(\emptyset) = 0$ . Moreover, let  $A, \{A_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a collection of subsets of  $X$  such that

$$A \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j.$$

It is clear that  $A \cap B, \{A_j \cap B\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  satisfy the same inclusion. Therefore, as  $\mu$  is a measure:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \tilde{\mu}(A_j) &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_j \cap B) \\ &\geq \mu(A \cap B) = \tilde{\mu}(A), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $\tilde{\mu}$  is a measure. □

(b) Show that  $\mathcal{A}_\mu$  is a subset of the  $\sigma$ -algebra of  $(\mu \llcorner B)$ -measurable sets.

**Solution:** Let  $A \in \mathcal{A}_\mu$  and  $C \subset X$  be arbitrary and notice by  $A$  being  $\mu$ -measurable:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mu}(C \cap A) + \tilde{\mu}(C \setminus A) &= \mu(C \cap B \cap A) + \mu((C \setminus A) \cap B) = \mu(C \cap B \cap A) + \mu((C \cap B) \setminus A) \\ &= \mu(C \cap B) = \tilde{\mu}(C), \end{aligned}$$

implying that  $A$  is  $\tilde{\mu}$ -measurable. □

**Exercise 2.5.**

Let  $X, Y$  be two sets,  $\mu : \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  a measure on  $X$  and  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  a map. How can we naturally define a “pushforward measure”  $f_*\mu$  on  $Y$ ? Prove that for such a measure, if  $\mathcal{A}_\mu$  denotes the  $\sigma$ -algebra of  $\mu$ -measurable sets in  $X$ , then the collection of sets<sup>1</sup>

$$f_*(\mathcal{A}_\mu) := \{B \subseteq Y \mid f^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{A}_\mu\}$$

is a subset of the  $\sigma$ -algebra of  $f_*\mu$ -measurable subsets of  $Y$ .

**Solution:** Define, for  $B \subseteq Y$ ,  $f_*\mu(B) := \mu(f^{-1}(B))$ . Clearly  $f_*\mu(\emptyset) = \mu(f^{-1}(\emptyset)) = \mu(\emptyset) = 0$ , and given a countable collection  $\{B_j\} \subset \mathcal{P}(Y)$  and  $B \subseteq \bigcup_j B_j$ , it holds that  $f^{-1}(B) \subseteq f^{-1}(\bigcup_j B_j) = \bigcup_j f^{-1}(B_j)$ , so that

$$f_*\mu(B) = \mu(f^{-1}(B)) \leq \sum_j \mu(f^{-1}(B_j)) = \sum_j f_*\mu(B_j),$$

proving that  $f_*\mu$  is indeed a measure on  $Y$ .

Moreover, given  $B \in f_*(\mathcal{A}_\mu)$  and an arbitrary  $E \subseteq Y$ , it holds that  $f^{-1}(E \cap B) = f^{-1}(E) \cap f^{-1}(B)$  and  $f^{-1}(E \setminus B) = f^{-1}(E) \setminus f^{-1}(B)$ , so that

$$\begin{aligned} f_*\mu(E) &= \mu(f^{-1}(E)) = \mu(f^{-1}(E) \cap f^{-1}(B)) + \mu(f^{-1}(E) \setminus f^{-1}(B)) \\ &= \mu(f^{-1}(E \cap B)) + \mu(f^{-1}(E \setminus B)) \\ &= f_*\mu(E \cap B) + f_*\mu(E \setminus B) \end{aligned}$$

since  $f^{-1}(B)$  is  $\mu$ -measurable. It follows that  $B$  is  $f_*\mu$ -measurable. □

---

<sup>1</sup>This is the  $\sigma$ -algebra introduced in Exercise 1.4 from Sheet 1.