

# PROOF OF THEOREM 1

Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a covering as in the statement of Theorem 1. Let  $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_p(x)$  be a singular simplex.

Then  $\{\sigma^{-1}(U) \mid U \in \mathcal{U}\}$  is an open covering of  $\Delta^p$ .  $\Delta^p$  is

compact, so we can select the

Lebesgue number  $\delta$  of this covering

Pick  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  large enough that

$$\left(\frac{p}{p+1}\right)^m \sqrt{2} \leq \delta.$$

↙ diameter of an  $p$ -simplex

$m$  will determine

how much we have to subdivide simplices so that each lies in some  $U \in \mathcal{U}$

If we use  $\sigma$   $m$ -times on

$\sigma$  we get a chain consisting of singular simplices, of which each lies in some  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ .

$$\partial_c(\text{sd}^m(\text{id}_{\Delta^p})) = \text{sd}^m(\sigma) \in S_p^{\mathcal{U}}(x).$$

For each  $p$ -simplex  $\sigma$  we select  $m_\sigma$  in a way that it is the smallest non-negative integer for which  $\text{sd}^{m_\sigma}(\sigma) \in S_p^{\mathcal{U}}(x)$  ( $m_\sigma = 0 \iff \sigma \in S_p^{\mathcal{U}}(x)$ ).

We define

$$\bar{D} : S_p(x) \rightarrow S_{p+1}(x)$$

$$\bar{D}(\sigma) = \sum_{j=0}^{m_\sigma-1} D(\text{sd}^j(\sigma))$$

for  $\sigma$  a  $p$ -simplex

← this is the  $D$  that we defined for singular chains

$$\text{if } m_\partial = 0, \overline{D}(\partial) = 0.$$

We calculate

$$(\partial \overline{D} + \overline{D} \partial)(\partial) = \partial \sum_{j=0}^{m_\partial-1} D(\text{sd}^j(\partial)) + \sum_{i=0}^p (-1)^i \overline{D} \partial^i =$$

$\nearrow$   
i-th face  
 $m_\partial$  of  $\partial$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{m_\partial-1} \partial D(\text{sd}^j(\partial)) + \sum_{i=0}^p (-1)^i \sum_{j=0}^{m_{\partial^i}-1} D(\text{sd}^j(\partial^i))$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{m_\partial-1} (\text{sd}^j(\partial) - \text{sd}^{j+1}(\partial) - D\partial(\text{sd}^j(\partial)))$$

$$+ \sum_{i=0}^p (-1)^i \sum_{j=0}^{m_{\partial^i}-1} D(\text{sd}^j(\partial^i)) =$$

$$= \partial - \text{sd}^{m_\partial}(\partial) - \sum_{j=0}^{m_\partial-1} D(\text{sd}^j(\partial)) +$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{i=0}^p (-1)^i \sum_{j=0}^{m_{\mathcal{Z}_i}-1} D(\text{sd}^j(\mathcal{Z}_i)) = \\
& = \mathcal{G} - \text{sd}^{m_{\mathcal{Z}}}(\mathcal{Z}) - \sum_{j=0}^{m_{\mathcal{Z}}-1} \sum_{i=0}^p (-1)^i D(\text{sd}^j(\mathcal{Z}_i)) \\
& + \sum_{i=0}^p (-1)^i \sum_{j=0}^{m_{\mathcal{Z}_i}-1} D(\text{sd}^j(\mathcal{Z}_i)) = \\
& = \mathcal{G} - \text{sd}^{m_{\mathcal{Z}}}(\mathcal{Z}) + \sum_{i=0}^p (-1)^i \sum_{j=m_{\mathcal{Z}_i}}^{m_{\mathcal{Z}}-1} D(\text{sd}^j(\mathcal{Z}_i)) \\
& \quad (m_{\mathcal{Z}_i} \leq m_{\mathcal{Z}})
\end{aligned}$$

We set

$$\rho(\mathcal{Z}) := \mathcal{G} - \partial \bar{D}(\mathcal{Z}) - \bar{D} \partial(\mathcal{Z})$$

Note that  $\rho(\mathcal{Z}) \in S_p^u(x)$ .

This  $\rho$  is a map:  $S_p(x) \rightarrow S_p^u(x)$ .

$\rho$  is a chain map:

$$\begin{aligned}\partial\rho(z) &= \partial z - \partial\bar{D}(z) - \bar{D}\partial(z) \\ &= \partial z - \bar{D}\partial(z) \\ &= \partial z - \partial\bar{D}\partial(z) - \bar{D}\partial\partial(z) \\ &= \rho(\partial z)\end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \partial\bar{D} - \bar{D}\partial = \text{id} - i_c^u \rho,$$

where  $i_c^u: C_n^u(x) \rightarrow C_n(x)$  is the inclusion.

$\bar{D}$  is a chain homotopy from

$i_c^u \rho$  to  $\text{id}$ .

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Also, } \rho \circ i_c^u \rho(i_c^u(z)) &= \text{id}(z) \\ &= z - \partial\bar{D}(i_c^u(z)) - \bar{D}\partial(i_c^u(z))\end{aligned}$$

$$= \text{id}$$

so  $P$  is the chain homotopy  
inverse of  $i_c^u$ .

It follows from homotopy invariance  
statements that  $i_*^u$  is an isomorphism  
 $H_p^u(X) \xrightarrow{i_*^u} H_p(X)$ .

## PROOF OF EXCISION THEOREM

Let  $u = \{A, B\}$  such that  $\overset{\circ}{A} \cup \overset{\circ}{B} = X$ ,

$$i_c^u : C_n^u(X) \rightarrow C_n(X)$$

is a chain equivalence. From

proof of theorem 4 we get

maps  $\rho$  &  $\bar{D}$  that map simplices

in  $A$  to simplices in  $A$ .

$\rho$  and  $\bar{D}$  induce maps on  
quotients

$$\rho : \frac{Sp(x)}{Sp(A)} \rightarrow \frac{Sp^u(x)}{Sp(A)}$$

$$\bar{D} : \frac{Sp(x)}{Sp(A)} \rightarrow \frac{Sp_{+1}(x)}{Sp_{+1}(A)}$$

It still holds that

$$\partial \bar{D} + \bar{D} \partial = \text{id} - \iota_c^u \circ \rho$$

and that

$$\iota_c^u : \frac{C_n^u(x)}{C_n(A)} \rightarrow \frac{C_n(x)}{C_n(A)}$$

is a chain equivalence and  
consequently it induces an isomorphism  
on homology.

The map

$$\frac{S_p(B)}{S_p(A \cap B)} \rightarrow \frac{S_p^u(x)}{S_p(A)}$$

induced by inclusion is an isomorphism since both quotient groups are free with the basis singular  $p$ -simplices in  $B$  that do not lie in  $A$ .  $\Rightarrow$

$$H_p(x, A) \cong H_p\left(\frac{C_n^u(x)}{C_n(A)}\right)$$

$$\cong H_p(B, A \cap B).$$

