Quick Intro to Homological Algebra Let us first recall the definition of a chain complex & homology of a general chain complex. Definition A CHAIN COMPLEX is a septience of abelian groups Ci, iEZ, together with a sequence of homomorphisms $\partial_i : C_i \to C_{i1}$ s.t. $\partial_i \circ \partial_i = 0 \quad \forall i$ (sometimes written as $\partial_0 \partial = 0$). Is called the BOUNDARY OPERATOR. $\rightarrow C_{i,j} \xrightarrow{\circ} C_i \xrightarrow{\circ} C_{i,j} \xrightarrow{\circ} C_{i-2} \xrightarrow{\circ} C_{i$

$$B_{i} = Im (C_{i+1} \rightarrow C_{i}) = boundaries$$

Since $\partial_{2} = 0$, we have $B_{i} \subset Z_{i}$.
Define $H_{i} (\mathcal{C}_{\cdot}) := Z_{i} / B_{i}$.
Phomology $\mathcal{E}_{\cdot} = (C, \partial_{\cdot})$
 $P_{i} degree i$

$$Definition [morphism of chain complexes]$$

If $\mathcal{A}_{\cdot} = (\mathcal{A}_{\cdot}, \partial_{\cdot}^{A}), B_{\cdot} = [B_{\cdot}, \partial_{\cdot}^{B}] \text{ are chain}$
complexes, a CHAIN MAP
 $f: \mathcal{A}_{\cdot} \rightarrow B_{\cdot}$ is a
collection of homomorphisms
 $f: \mathcal{A}_{i} \rightarrow B_{i} \forall i$ s.t. fo $\partial^{A} = \partial^{B} \circ f$
 $\dots = \mathcal{A}_{i+1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{A}} \mathcal{A}_{i} \xrightarrow{\partial_{A}} \mathcal{A}_{i-1} \rightarrow \dots$ all
 $squares$
 $If \oplus If \oplus If$ ore
 $\dots = \mathcal{B}_{i+1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{B}} B_{i} \xrightarrow{\partial_{B}} B_{i-1} \rightarrow \dots$ commutative

PROPOSITION

Let f: A. > B. be a chain map. Then f induces a homomorphism $f_*: H_i(A_{\bullet}) \to H_i(B_{\bullet})$ for all it Z by the following procedure: Let de Hi (A.). Pick a cycle acti s.t. [a]=a. Define $f_{\star}(a) = [f(a)].$ Moreover, If A. B. and C. are chain complexes and $f: A. \rightarrow B., g: B. \rightarrow C.$ are chain maps, then gof is also a and $(g \circ f)_{\star} = g_{\star} \circ f_{\star}$ and chain map $(id_{\mathcal{A}})_{\star} = id_{H_i(\mathcal{A})}$ for all i

Key ingredient : Chain maps map boundaries to boundaries and cycles to cycles. EXACT SEQUENCES Let A, B, C be abelian groups, and A ~ B & C be two homomorphisms. The sequence A 13 B 33C is called EXACT If Kerj=Imi. A seguence ~ > A K+1 A JK JK1 A K-1 JK1 is called EXACT if A K+1 A K+1 A K+1 AK+1 is EXACT for all k. Kemark (1) $0 \rightarrow A \stackrel{f}{\rightarrow} B$ is exact \Leftrightarrow f is injective (kerf={0})

 $\widehat{\mathcal{D}} \land \widehat{\mathcal{A}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}} \widehat{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow 0$ is exact \$7 g is surjective (Img = Ken0 =B) $() \rightarrow A^{h} \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$ is exact (=) 3) h is an isomorphism. FIF N-A-B-C-I is exact, the embedding $i: A \hookrightarrow B$ and the surjection j induce an isomorphism $\overset{\mathcal{D}}{\downarrow}(A) \xrightarrow{\sim} C$ (this holds since j induces an isomorphism B/ -> Imj) Keij II B^{\parallel}/LA

An exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow D$ is called a SHORT EXACT SEQUENCE (SES) Let A. B., C. be chain complexes. $i: A_{\bullet} \rightarrow B_{\bullet}, j: B_{\bullet} \rightarrow C_{\bullet}$ be chain Let maps. We can look at the sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{i} \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{i} \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{} \mathcal{O} (X)$ We say that this sepuence is exact $\forall ne \mathbb{Z} \quad 0 \rightarrow A_n \xrightarrow{t} B_n \xrightarrow{s} C_n \rightarrow 0$ exact. 15 We call (*) a SES of chain complexes.

THEOREM Let $D \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{i} B \xrightarrow{j} C \rightarrow D$ be a SES of chain complexes. Then It induces a LONG EXACT SEGUENCE IN HONOLOGY $G_{H_{n+1}}(A) \xrightarrow{i_*} H_n(B) \xrightarrow{j_*} H_{n+1}(V) \xrightarrow{j_*}$ $G_{H_n}(A) \xrightarrow{i_*} H_n(B) \xrightarrow{j_*} H_n(C) \xrightarrow{j_*}$ The homomorphism $\partial_* : H_n(\mathcal{C}) \to H_n(\mathcal{C})$

is called the CONNECTING

HOMOMORPHISM.

Proof

Let's examine what happens on the chain level in degrees p and p-1:



- We will define $\partial_{x}: Hp(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow Hp(\mathcal{A})$ as follows.
- Let $m \in H_p(\mathcal{C})$. Choose a Cycle CeGp

(ie.
$$\partial c = 0$$
) s.t. $[c] = m$.
 $B_{p} \stackrel{i}{\rightarrow} C_{p}$ is a surjection, so
 $Jb \in B_{p}$ s.t. $j(b) = c$.

Since $j\partial(b) = \partial(j(b)) = \partial c = D$, ∂(b) ∈ korj = Imí. $\Rightarrow \exists ! a \in A_{p-1} \text{ s.t. } i(a) = \partial b.$ Note that $r(9\sigma) - 9r(\sigma) - 9(9P) = 0$ But i is injective hence 2a=0, ie a is a cycle. Define $\partial_*(\gamma):=[\alpha]$.

CLAIM: the definition of ∂_{\star} is good, i.e. it doesn't depend on the choice of c (with [cJ=m]) hor on the choice of b.

Proof of claim: Fix first c and suppose that C = j(b'). Define a' as before but using b'. j(b-b') = 0 (since j(b) = j(b') = c) ⇒ b-b' ∈ kenj=lmi, so b-b'=i(a.) for some a EAp. $\partial b - \partial b' = \partial i(a_0) = i(\partial a_0)$ But $\partial b - \partial b' = i(a) - i(a') = i(a - a')$. So $i(a-a^{1})=i(\partial a_{0})$. Since i is injective, $a-a'=\partial a_0 \implies [a]=[a']$ We'll show next that the definition of ∂ to independent of c (with $\left[C \right] > \mathcal{M}$

Consider another cycle c'c Cp with

$$[c] = jn$$
.
 $\Rightarrow c' = c + \partial c''$.
Since j is surjective, we may choose
 b with $j(b) = c$, and b'' with $j(b'') = c''$.
Put
 $b' := b + \partial b''$
 $j(b') = j(b) + j(\partial b'') = c + \partial j(b'') =$
 $= c + \partial c'' = c'$
So b' serves as an element that is
sent to c' by j . Now constell
 $\partial b'$ then take the unique $a' \in A_{p-1}$
with $i(a') = \partial b'$. But
 $\partial b' = \partial b + \partial \partial b'' = \partial b$.

So $i(a) = \partial b = \partial b = i(a)$. Since i is injective, a'=a. this completes the proof of the claim. CLAIM: 24 is a homomorphism. Proof of claim: Let $C^1, C^2 \in C_p$ be two eycles. From the recipie for 2x, we choose $b^{1}, b^{2} \in BP, Q^{1}, a^{2} \in Ap_{-1}$ with $i(a^{1}) = \partial b^{1}$, $\mathcal{L}\left(a^{2}\right)=\partial b^{2}$ then $\partial_{*}[C^{1}] = [a^{1}], \partial_{*}[c^{2}] = [a^{2}].$ To apply ∂_{x} on $[c^{1}] + [c^{2}] = [c^{1} + c^{2}]$ we can choose c¹+c² to be the representative of [C1]+[C2].

 $j(b'+b')=j(b')+j(b')=c'+c^2$ and $i(a^{1}+a^{2})=i(a^{1}+i(a^{2})=b^{1}+b^{2}=$ $= \Im \left(p_1 + p_2 \right)$ $\implies \Im_{\mathbf{X}} \left(\left[C c^{1} \right] + \left[c^{2} \right] \right)^{2} \left[a^{1} + a^{2} \right] = \left[a^{1} \right] + \left[a^{2} \right]$ $= \partial_{*} \left[C^{1} \right] + \partial_{*} \left[C^{2} \right].$ this proves the claim. PROOF that the long seguence $G_{H_{n+1}}(A) \xrightarrow{i_*} H_{n+1}(B) \xrightarrow{j_*} H_{n+1}(V) \xrightarrow{j_*}$ $G_{H_n}(A) \xrightarrow{i_*} H_n(B) \xrightarrow{f_*} H_n(C) \xrightarrow{g_*}$ $\mathcal{G}_{H_{n-1}}(\mathcal{A}_{n}) \xrightarrow{i_{*}} \mathcal{H}_{n-1}(\mathcal{B}_{n}) \xrightarrow{j_{*}} \mathcal{H}_{n-1}(\mathcal{U}_{n}) \xrightarrow{\partial_{*}}$ is exact.

In
$$j_{\star} \subset \ker \partial_{\star}$$

Let $\beta \in H_{p}(B,)$ and let $b \in B_{p}$ be
a cycle with $\beta = [b]$.
 $0 \rightarrow A_{p} \xrightarrow{i} B_{p} \xrightarrow{i} C_{p} \rightarrow 0$ By construction
 $\partial j \qquad \partial j \qquad \partial j \qquad \partial_{\star} (j_{\star} [b]) = 0$
 $0 \rightarrow A_{p-1} \xrightarrow{i} B_{p} \xrightarrow{i} (p_{-1} \rightarrow 0)$
 $0 \rightarrow 0$

(3) Im $\partial_{x} \leq ken i_{*}$ We must show that $\hat{U}_{\star} \partial_{\star} = 0$. Assume that CECp is a cycle. ix 2, [c]=[i(a)], where as Ap-1 is such that i(a)=2b, where $j(b) = \mathcal{C}$ $\lambda_{+}\partial_{+}[c] = [i(a)] = [\partial b] = 0,$

(F) kenj, ~ Imix: Assume that $j_* [b]=0$, where b is a cycle. Since $j_* [b]=\Sigma j b]$, it follows that $j(b)=\partial c$ for some $ce Cp_{+1}$. Pick b' with j(b')=C.

Note that $j(b-\partial b') = j(b) - j(\partial b') =$ $= \Im C - \Im (\Im (P_{1})) = \Im C - \Im C = O$ By exactness of the SES Ja st. ila)=b-2b'. Let us show that a is a cycle: $\partial x(a) = \partial b - \partial \partial b' = 0 - 0 = 0$ i (da) to injective, $\partial a = 0$. Sina i $\Rightarrow \lambda_{\star} ([a]) = [\lambda(a)] = [b - \partial b]$ = [6] $\Rightarrow [b] \in lm(i_{*}).$

(5) Keriz CIm 2× Suppose that ix [a]=0. => ila)= 06 for some be Bp. Put c:=j(b). We have $\partial(c) = \partial_j(b) = j\partial_j(b) = j\lambda(a) = 0$ =) C is a cycle. Now by the definition of ∂_{X_1} , ∂_{Y} [c]=[a]. (6) ker 2 m j* Suppose $\partial_{\star}[C] = 0$ for some Cycle CECp. Choose beBp with j(b)=c, and a E Ap., with $\overline{\mathcal{L}}(a) = \partial b$. Since $\partial_{\star} [c] = [a] = 0$, $a = \partial a^{\dagger}$

for some a' f Ap. Now: $\partial i(a^i) = i\partial a^i = i(a) = \partial b$ $\Rightarrow \Im \left(\rho - \gamma(\sigma_{j}) \right) = 0$ It follows that b-ild) is a Eycle. We have : $j(b-\lambda(a)) = j(b) - jo\lambda(a)$ $S = \sqrt{(b)} = \sqrt{c}$ from here and $j_* \left[b - i(a') \right] = CC].$ This kind of method of proof is called DIAGRAM CHASING

ADDENDUM to theorem SES => LES



be two SES of chain complexes and fight chain maps s.t. the diagram above commutes. For Yp we have a commutative diagram $0 \rightarrow A_{p} \xrightarrow{\Lambda} B_{p} \xrightarrow{T} \mathcal{C}_{p} \xrightarrow{} \mathcal{D}$ $\int f (I) \int \partial (I) \int h$ $0 \longrightarrow A_{p} \xrightarrow{i} B_{p} \xrightarrow{j} C_{p} \xrightarrow{j} 0$ then we dotain two LES in homology with maps between them that makes all the squares commutative

$$\begin{array}{c} \stackrel{2}{\rightarrow} H_{p}(A,) \stackrel{i_{*}}{\rightarrow} H_{p}(B,) \stackrel{2}{\rightarrow} H_{p}(\mathcal{E},) \stackrel{2}{\rightarrow} H_{p,1}(A,) \stackrel{2}{\rightarrow} \\ \downarrow f_{*} & \downarrow g_{*} & 2 \end{pmatrix} \downarrow h_{*} & 3 & \downarrow f_{*} \\ \stackrel{2}{\rightarrow} H_{p}(A,) \stackrel{i_{*}}{\rightarrow} H_{p}(B,) \stackrel{2}{\rightarrow} H_{p}(\mathcal{E},) \stackrel{2}{\rightarrow} H_{p,1}(A,) \stackrel{2}{\rightarrow} \\ \begin{array}{c} Proof \\ \textcircled{(1)}{8(2)} & commute because \\ \fbox{(1)}{8(2)} & commute because \\ \fbox{(1)}{8(2)} & commute \\ \r{(1)}{8(2)} & commute \\ \r{(1$$

It follows that $\partial'_{*}\circ h_{*}[c] = \partial_{*}'[h(c)] = [f(a)] = f[a]$ $=f^{*}9^{*}[C]$

THE 5-LEMMA

Let

$$\begin{array}{c} A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow D \rightarrow E \\ a \int b \int \int c \int d \int e \\ A' \rightarrow B' \rightarrow c' \rightarrow D' \rightarrow E' \end{array}$$

be a commutative diagram of abelian groups with exact nows. (1) If bed are injective & a is surjective =) c is injective. (2) If bed are surjective & e is injective =) c is surjective. (3) If a,b,d,e are isomorphisms =) C is an isomorphism

Definition Let f,g: A. -> B. be chain maps. A CHAIN HOMOTOPY from f to g is a sequence of homomorphisms he hp Ap→Bp+1, peZ tor which $\partial_{p+1} \circ h_p + h_{p-1} \circ \partial_p = g_p - f_p$ gerl for he gerl for for $\cdots \rightarrow B_{p^{+}} \rightarrow B_{p} \rightarrow B_{p^{-}} \rightarrow \cdots$

Example Let $f, g, X \rightarrow I$ be continuous maps

 $P: C_{K}(X) \rightarrow C_{K+1}(Y)$ (prim operator) P is a chain homotopy from fc to gc. A chaim may f: A. > B. is a CHAIN EQUIVALENCE if there exists a chaim map g: B. - A. and chain homotopilo from fog to id and from gof to lol. Two chain complexes are chain equivalent if there exists a chain epuivalence between them.

Proposition are chain homotopic, $I \not\in F, g : A, \rightarrow B$ then $f_* = q_*$. Proof Let f ~ g. Let a be a cycle, le da=0. then $g(a) - f(a) - \partial (h(a)) + h(\partial a)$ $= \partial(h(a))$ 1 this is a boundary $\Longrightarrow \left[\Im(\alpha) \right] = \left[f(\alpha) \right] \implies \Im^{*} = f^{*}.$