

## Solutions to sheet 2

**Exercise 1**

Let  $\mathcal{L} = \{(E, 2)\}$  be the language of graphs containing a single binary relation  $E$ . Prove that there is no  $\mathcal{L}$ -theory  $T$  whose models are exactly the trees.

**Solution:**

Graphs are  $\mathcal{L}$ -structures by considering the vertices as elements of the universe and by having the relation  $E(x, y)$  between two vertices  $x, y$  if and only if there is an edge between  $x, y$ . We assume for contradiction that there is an  $\mathcal{L}$ -theory  $T$ , whose models are exactly trees. We introduce a new language  $\mathcal{L}' = \mathcal{L} \cup \{a, b\}$ , where  $a$  and  $b$  are constant-symbols. For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we then consider the  $\mathcal{L}'$ -sentences

$$\phi_n = \neg \exists x_1 \dots x_n, x_1 = a \wedge x_n = b \wedge \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n-1} E(x_i, x_{i+1}) \vee x_i = x_{i+1}$$

which intuitively say that there is no path of length at most  $n$  between  $a$  and  $b$ . We consider the  $\mathcal{L}'$ -theory  $T' = T \cup \{\phi_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  and show that it is finitely satisfiable. Indeed, let  $\Delta \subseteq T'$  be a finite subset. Let  $N = \max\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \phi_n \in \Delta\}$ . We construct a  $\mathcal{L}'$ -model (the infinite linear graph)  $\mathcal{M}$  satisfying  $\Delta$ : Let  $\mathbb{Z}$  be the universe and  $E_{\mathcal{M}}(z_1, z_2)$  if and only if  $|z_1 - z_2| = 1$ . We may choose  $a_{\mathcal{M}} = 0$  and  $b_{\mathcal{M}} = N + 1$ . Since  $\mathcal{M}$  is a tree, it satisfies  $\mathcal{M} \models T$  and by the choice of  $a_{\mathcal{M}}, b_{\mathcal{M}}$   $\mathcal{M} \models \phi_n$  for  $n < N$ , hence  $\mathcal{M} \models \Delta$ . By the compactness-theorem, there exists a model  $\mathcal{N}$  of  $T'$ . Since  $\mathcal{N} \models T$ ,  $\mathcal{N}$  has to be a tree (with marked points  $a_{\mathcal{N}}$  and  $b_{\mathcal{N}}$ ). But since  $\mathcal{N} \models \phi_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , it is not possible to connect  $a_{\mathcal{N}}$  and  $b_{\mathcal{N}}$  by a path, hence  $\mathcal{N}$  is not connected. This is a contradiction, and hence there is no theory  $T$  that axiomatizes trees in the language  $\mathcal{L}$ .

**Exercise 2**

Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a language and  $T$  an  $\mathcal{L}$ -theory. Let  $\varphi(x, y)$  be a formula with two variables. Assume that for every model  $M$  of  $T$  and every  $b \in M$ , the set

$$\varphi(M, b) = \{a \in M \mid M \models \varphi(a, b)\}$$

is finite.

Prove that there is an integer  $C \geq 0$  such that all the sets  $\varphi(M, b)$  have cardinality at most  $C$ , as  $M$  ranges over models of  $T$  and  $b$  ranges over  $M$ .

*Hint: assume this is false, and then expand the language with infinitely many new constant symbols  $(c_i)$ , and an additional constant  $t$ , and expand the theory in a suitable way, so that after showing that it is finitely-satisfiable, a contradiction follows.*

**Solution:**

We assume for contradiction that for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there is a model  $M_n$  of

$T$  and an element  $b_n \in M_n$  such that  $|\varphi(M_n, b_n)| \geq n$ . We define a new language  $\mathcal{L}' = \mathcal{L} \cup \{c_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{t\}$ , where the  $c_i$  and the  $t$  are constant-symbols. We consider the  $\mathcal{L}'$ -sentences

$$\psi_n = \bigwedge_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^n c_i \neq c_j \wedge \bigwedge_{i=1}^n \varphi(c_i, t)$$

which intuitively say that  $c_1, \dots, c_n$  are distinct elements of  $\varphi(M, t)$ . We now consider the  $\mathcal{L}'$ -theory  $T' = T \cup \{\psi_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . We show that  $T'$  is finitely satisfiable: Let  $\Delta \subseteq T'$  be a finite subset and let  $N = \max\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \psi_n \in \Delta\}$ . Then we construct a model  $\mathcal{M}$  by extending  $M_N$  by choosing distinct  $(c_i)_{\mathcal{M}} \in \varphi(M_N, b_N)$  (which exist by assumption) and  $t_{\mathcal{M}} = b_N$ . Note that  $\mathcal{M} \models T$ , since  $M_N \models T$  and that  $\mathcal{M} \models \Delta$  by our choice of  $(c_i)_{\mathcal{M}}$  and  $t_{\mathcal{M}}$ . By the compactness theorem, it follows that there is a model  $\mathcal{N} \models T'$ . Since  $\mathcal{N} \models T$ , we know that  $\varphi(\mathcal{N}, t_{\mathcal{N}})$  is finite. But if  $N = |\varphi(\mathcal{N}, t_{\mathcal{N}})| \in \mathbb{N}$ , we know that  $\mathcal{N} \models \psi_{N+1}$ , hence there are  $N+1$  distinct elements  $(c_i)_{\mathcal{N}} \in \mathcal{N}$  that all lie in  $\varphi(\mathcal{N}, t_{\mathcal{N}})$ . This is a contradiction, and hence our assumption must be false, i.e. the cardinalities of  $\varphi(M, b)$  have to be bounded by a uniform constant  $C \in \mathbb{N}$ .

### Exercise 3

- Let  $E$  be a finite field and let  $\bar{E}$  be an algebraic closure of  $E$ . Let  $n \geq 1$  be an integer and let  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  be polynomials in  $\bar{E}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ . Assume that the map  $x = (x_i) \mapsto (f_j(x))$  is injective. Prove that this map is also surjective.

#### Solution:

Note that in a finite field  $E$ , any injective map  $E^n \rightarrow E^n$  is also surjective. Unfortunately, the algebraic closure  $\bar{E}$  of a finite field is not finite, but we can fix this as follows: Consider the coefficients  $a_i^j \in \bar{E}$  that appear in the polynomials

$$f_i(X) = \sum_{j=1}^m a_i^j X^j.$$

We assume that the map  $\bar{E}^n \rightarrow \bar{E}^n$  given by the polynomials  $f_i$  is injective. We have to show that it is surjective. So consider  $b = (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \bar{E}^n$ . We have to find a preimage of  $b$ .

Consider the algebraic field-extension  $F = E[a_i^j, b_i]$  generated by the elements  $a_i^j, b_i \in \bar{E}$ . The field  $F$  is finite and the polynomials define an injective map  $F^n \rightarrow F^n$ , hence there exists a preimage  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in F^n$ , that gets sent to  $b$ . Hence both the maps  $F^n \rightarrow F^n$  and  $\bar{E}^n \rightarrow \bar{E}^n$  are surjective.

- Give an example to show that “injective” and “surjective” cannot be swit-

ched.

**Solution:**

The map  $\bar{E} \rightarrow \bar{E}$  defined by  $x \mapsto x^2 - x$  is surjective since  $\bar{E}$  is algebraically closed. But it is not injective since both 0 and 1 get sent to 0 in every field.

3. Show that an ultrafilter is principal if and only if it contains a finite set.

**Solution:**

Let  $X$  be a set and  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$  an ultrafilter on  $X$ . If  $\mathcal{F}$  is principal, then by definition there exists  $x \in X$  with  $\mathcal{F} = \{A: x \in A\}$ , in particular  $\{x\} \in \mathcal{F}$ , hence  $\mathcal{F}$  contains a finite set.

Let on the other hand  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  be a finite subset of  $X$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume  $|A| = \min\{|B|: B \in \mathcal{F}\} > 0$ , ( $B \neq \emptyset$  by (F1)). Let  $x \in A$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}$  is an ultrafilter, we know that either  $\{x\}$  or  $X \setminus \{x\}$  is in  $\mathcal{F}$ . If  $\{x\} \in \mathcal{F}$  we are done. If  $X \setminus \{x\} \in \mathcal{F}$ , then by (F2) also  $A \cap (X \setminus \{x\}) = A \setminus \{x\} \in \mathcal{F}$ , which contradicts minimality of  $|A|$  and thus this case does not happen.

4. Let  $C$  be a non-principal ultraproduct of fields  $E_p$  which are algebraic closures of  $\mathbb{F}_p$  as  $p$  ranges over all prime numbers. Show that  $C$  is an algebraically-closed field of characteristic 0.

**Solution:**

We use Łos' theorem about non-principal ultraproducts to prove the statements. Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be the language of rings. The fields  $E_p$  as well as the non-principal ultraproduct  $C$  are  $\mathcal{L}$ -structures. We can encode the axioms of fields as  $\mathcal{L}$ -sentences and since they hold in  $E_p$  for all  $p$ , they also hold in  $C$  (by Łos). For being algebraically closed, a similar trick works, consider the  $\mathcal{L}$ -sentences

$$\varphi_n = \forall a_0, \dots, a_n \exists x, a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 x + a_0 = 0$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and note that they hold for  $E_p$  and thus also for  $C$  (by Łos). To show that  $C$  has characteristic 0, we use

$$\psi_n = \underbrace{-1 + 1 + \dots + 1}_{n\text{-times}} = 0$$

and notice that  $E_p \models \psi_n$  for all but one  $p$ . Since we take the product over a non-principal ultrafilter  $\mathcal{F}$ , the set  $\{p: E_p \models \psi_n\} = \{n\} \notin \mathcal{F}$  (by def of non-principal or by part 3) and hence by Łos,  $C \not\models \psi_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since the field  $C$  has no finite characteristic, it must have characteristic 0.

5. Show that the cardinality of  $C$  is bounded by that of  $\mathbb{C}$ .

**Solution:**

The ultraproduct  $C$  is a quotient of the countable product  $\prod_p E_p$  of countable sets and hence has cardinality at most  $\aleph_0^{\aleph_0} = \aleph_1 \leq |\mathbb{C}|$ .

6. Show that there exists a family  $(f_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  of maps  $f_t: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  such that, for all  $t \neq s \in \mathbb{R}$ , the set

$$\{n \geq 0 \mid f_t(n) = f_s(n)\}$$

is finite

*Hint: consider for each  $t$  a sequence of rational numbers converging to  $t$ .*

**Solution:**

For  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  consider a sequence of rational numbers  $(f_t(1), f_t(2), f_t(3), \dots)$  converging to  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . The function  $f_t: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  satisfies for  $t \neq s$ ,

$$|\{n \geq 0 \mid f_t(n) = f_s(n)\}| < \infty$$

which is what we required.

7. Deduce the existence of a family of maps  $g_t$  from the set of primes to the disjoint union of all  $E_p$  such that  $g_t(p) \in E_p$  for all primes  $p$  and for all  $t \neq s \in \mathbb{R}$ , the set

$$\{p \geq 0 \mid g_t(p) = g_s(p)\}$$

is finite.

**Solution:**

The fields  $E_p$  are countable, so let  $\nu_p: \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow E_p$  be a bijection. We then define

$$g_t(p) := \nu_p(f_t(p))$$

using  $f_t$  from part 6. Clearly  $g_t(p) \in E_p$  and the set

$$\{p \geq 0 \mid g_t(p) = g_s(p)\} = \{p \geq 0: f_t(p) = f_s(p)\}$$

is finite for  $s \neq t$  (as in part 6).

8. Deduce that the cardinality of  $C$  is equal to that of  $\mathbb{C}$ , and conclude that  $C$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}$  as a field. (Use the fact that algebraically closed fields of characteristic 0 are isomorphic if and only if they have the same cardinality.)

**Solution:**

We define a function  $f$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{R} &\rightarrow \prod_p E_p \rightarrow \hat{\prod}_{\mathcal{F}} E_p \\ t &\mapsto (g_t(p))_p \mapsto f(t). \end{aligned}$$

We want to show that  $f$  is injective. By the definition of the ultraproduct we have  $f(t) = f(s)$  if and only if  $\{p \geq 1 : g_t(p) = g_s(p)\} \in \mathcal{F}$ . But this set is finite for  $s \neq t$  by part 7 and a non-principal ultrafilter does not contain finite sets by part 3. Hence  $f(s) \neq f(t)$  and  $f$  is injective. We conclude that  $|\mathbb{R}| \leq |C|$  and together with part 5 that  $|\mathbb{R}| = |C| = |\mathbb{C}|$ . A general fact from algebra tells us now that algebraically closed fields of characteristic 0 and of the same cardinality are isomorphic. Hence  $C$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}$ .

9. Let  $g_1, \dots, g_n$  be elements of  $\mathbb{C}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ . If the map  $z = (z_i) \mapsto (g_j(z))$  is injective from  $\mathbb{C}^n$  to itself, then it is surjective.

**Solution:**

We can write this statement as first-order sentences:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{n,m} &= \forall a_1^1, \dots, a_n^m, \left( \forall xy, \bigwedge_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m a_i^j x^j = \sum_{j=1}^m a_i^j y^j \rightarrow x = y \right) \\ &\rightarrow \left( \forall y_1, \dots, y_n \exists x_1, \dots, x_n, \bigwedge_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m a_i^j x_i^j = y_i \right) \end{aligned}$$

and we have  $E_p \models \varphi_{n,m}$  for all  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$  by part 1. By Łos, also  $C \models \varphi_{n,m}$  and hence  $\mathbb{C} \models \varphi_{n,m}$  by part 8. Thus for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and for all polynomials (of degree at most  $m$ ), if the map defined from  $\mathbb{C}^n$  to itself is injective, then it is surjective.

Note that the existence of a non-principal ultrafilter, the bijection  $\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow E_p$  and the isomorphism  $C \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  are all non-canonical choices. Thus this proof is highly non-constructive.