

CHAPTER 4
SPECTRAL RADIUS

$G = (V, E)$ transitive, loc. finite, connected, infinite graph
degree d , fixed origin $o \in V$

$(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ SRW starting from o , under P_o .

$$p(x, y) = \frac{1}{d} \mathbb{1}_{x \sim y}$$

The goal of the chapter is to prove the following theorem.

Thm [Kesten '58]

The following are equivalent

(i) $\exists c > 0$ st. $\forall n \geq 0$ $P_o[X_n = o] \leq e^{-cn}$

(ii) G is non amenable.

In the previous chapter we already proved (ii) \Rightarrow (i). In this chapter we will prove the other direction. We will rely on tools from operator theory.

RW \iff operator P
exp. decay \iff norm of the operator < 1 .

SPECTRAL RADIUS

Def. (spectral radius of the SRW)

$$\rho = \rho(G) := \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n(\sigma)^{\frac{1}{n}}$$

(i.e. $\rho(G)$ is the smallest positive number s.t. $p_n(\sigma) \leq \rho(G)^{n+o(n)}$)

Rk.s : exponential decay of $p_n(\sigma) \Leftrightarrow \rho(G) < 1$

• "limsup" is important if G is bipartite

$$(p_{2n+1}(\sigma) = 0)$$

Prop. $\forall n \geq 0 \quad p_n(\sigma) \leq \rho(G)^n$

Pf. Let $n \geq 0$. By Chapman-Kolmogorov.

$$\forall k \geq 0 \quad p_n(\sigma)^k \leq p_{kn}(\sigma)$$

Therefore

$$p_n(\sigma)^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} p_{kn}(\sigma)^{\frac{1}{kn}} \leq \rho(G) \quad \blacksquare$$

Exercises: Prove that :

$$\bullet \rho(G) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_{2n}(\sigma)^{\frac{1}{2n}},$$

$$\bullet \rho(G) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n(\sigma)^{\frac{1}{n}} \text{ if } G \text{ not bipartite,}$$

$$\bullet \frac{1}{d} \leq \rho(G) \leq 1.$$

2 TRANSITION OPERATOR



"infinite" matrix

↔ associated linear operator.

$$P = (p(x, y))_{x, y \in V}$$

$$P: \mathbb{R}^V \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^V$$
$$f \longmapsto Pf$$

$$\text{where } (Pf)(x) = \sum_{y \in V} p(x, y) f(y)$$

Not. $\mathcal{C} := \{f: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ with finite support}\}$

$$\text{For } f, g \in \mathcal{C} \quad \langle f, g \rangle := \sum_{x \in V} f(x) g(x) \quad \|f\| = \sqrt{\langle f, f \rangle}$$

Def. The transition operator $P: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ associated to the SRW on G is defined by

$$\forall f \in \mathcal{C} \quad \forall x \in V \quad (Pf)(x) = \sum_{y \in V} p(x, y) f(y)$$

Proba. interpretation:

$$\boxed{Pf(x) = \mathbb{E}_x[f(X_1)]}$$

$$\text{Furthermore } \forall n \geq 0 \quad P^n f(x) = \sum_{y_1, \dots, y_n \in V} p(x, y_1) \cdots p(y_{n-1}, y_n) f(y_n) \quad (\text{by induction})$$

$$= \sum_{y \in V} \left(\sum_{y_1, \dots, y_{n-1} \in V} p(x, y_1) \cdots p(y_{n-1}, y) \right) f(y)$$

$$= \sum_{y \in V} p_n(x, y) f(y)$$

Therefore

$$P^n f(x) = E_x[f(X_n)]$$

In particular, we have the following expression for the n -step transition probabilities:

$\forall n \geq 0 \forall x, y \in V$

$$P^n(x, y) = \langle P^n \mathbb{1}_x, \mathbb{1}_y \rangle$$

Rk: The operator P can be naturally defined on the Hilbert space $l^2(V) = \{f: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid \sum_{x \in V} f(x)^2 < \infty\}$

In this course we work with ℓ to avoid convergence questions.

Prop. (i) P is self-adjoint: $\forall f, g \in \ell \quad \langle Pf, g \rangle = \langle f, Pg \rangle$

(ii) $\|P\| = \sup_{f \in \ell \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\langle Pf, f \rangle}{\langle f, f \rangle}$ "Rayleigh Quotient"

Proof. (i) $\langle Pf, g \rangle = \sum_{x \in V} (Pf)(x) g(x)$

$$= \sum_{x, y \in V} P(x, y) f(y) g(x)$$

$$= \sum_{x, y \in V} P(y, x) f(y) g(x) \quad (\text{Reversibility})$$

$$= \sum_{y \in V} f(y) (Pg)(y)$$

$$= \langle f, Pg \rangle$$

(ii)

(20)

$$\text{Let } C = \sup_{f \in \mathcal{B}_2, \|f\|=1} |\langle Pf, f \rangle|$$

By Cauchy Schwarz, $\forall f \neq 0$ $|\langle Pf, f \rangle| \leq \|Pf\|_2 \|f\|_2^2$

Hence $C \leq \|P\|_2$

$$\text{Now set } g = \frac{\|f\|}{\|Pf\|} Pf$$

$$\|Pf\| \|f\| = \langle Pf, g \rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} (\langle P(f+g), f+g \rangle - \langle P(f-g), f-g \rangle)$$

$$\leq C \cdot \frac{1}{4} (\|f+g\|^2 + \|f-g\|^2)$$

$$\leq C \|f\|^2$$

Hence $\|P\|_2 \leq C$

Finally observe that $|\langle Pf, f \rangle| = \left| \sum_x (Pf)(x) f(x) \right|$

$$= \left| \sum_x E_x [f(x, \cdot)] f(x) \right|$$

$$\leq \sum_x E_x [f(x, \cdot)^2] |f(x)|$$

$$= \langle P|f|^2, |f|^2 \rangle$$

And therefore $C = \sup_{f \neq 0} \frac{\langle Pf, f \rangle}{\|f\|^2}$ ■

3 SPECTRAL RADIUS AND OPERATOR NORM

TR $\rho(G) = \|P\|$

Proof: Consider the finite-dimensional space (for $k \geq 0$)

$$\mathcal{E}_k = \{f \in \mathcal{E} : \text{Supp } f \subset D_k\}, \text{ equipped with } \langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{E}_k} = \langle f, g \rangle$$

Define $P_k: \mathcal{E}_k \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_k$ by setting

$$\forall f \in \mathcal{E}_k \quad (P_k f)(x) = P f(x) \quad \forall x \in D_k$$

P_k is a self-adjoint linear operator on the Euclidean space $(\mathcal{E}_k, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{E}_k})$. Indeed

$$\forall f, g \in \mathcal{E}_k \quad \langle P_k f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{E}_k} = \langle P f, g \rangle = \langle f, P g \rangle = \langle f, P_k g \rangle_{\mathcal{E}_k}$$

\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow
 \mathcal{E}_k P self-adj. $f \in \mathcal{E}_k$

Let $\lambda = \|P_k\|$ be the largest eigenvalue of P_k . Let φ be an eigenvector associated to λ .

$$\forall n \quad \lambda^n = |\langle P_k^n \varphi, \varphi \rangle| \leq \left| \sum_{x, y \in D_k} (P_k^n)_{x, y} \varphi_x \varphi_y \right|$$

$$\leq \sum_{x, y \in D_k} \underbrace{P_n(x, y)}_{\leq \rho(G)^n} |\varphi_x| |\varphi_y|$$

$$\leq |D_k| \|\varphi\|_\infty^2 = \rho(G)^n$$

Therefore, $\|P_k\| \leq \rho(G)$ and the inequality $\|P\| \leq \rho(G)$

follows from $\|P_k\| \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} \|P\|$.

The other inequality follows from Cauchy-Schwarz:

$$p_n(0)^{\frac{1}{n}} = \langle P^n \mathbb{1}_0, \mathbb{1}_0 \rangle^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq \|P\|,$$

which implies $\rho(G) \leq \|P\|$ ■

4 PROOF OF KESTEN THEOREM

Reminder $\phi = \text{oop. constant of } G$

Thm:

$$1 - \frac{\phi}{d} \leq \rho(G) \leq \sqrt{1 - \frac{\phi}{d}}$$

Proof. (Lower bound)

Let $K \subset V$ finite, $\beta := \mathbb{1}_K$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle P\beta, \beta \rangle &= \sum_{x \in K} P_x[X_1 \in K] \\ &= |K| - \sum_{x \in K} P_x[X_1 \notin K] \\ &= |K| - \frac{\phi}{d} |K| \end{aligned}$$

$$\rho(G) = \|P\| \geq \frac{\langle P\beta, \beta \rangle}{\langle \beta, \beta \rangle} = 1 - \frac{\phi}{d} \frac{|K|}{|K|}$$

Therefore by taking the supremum of the RHS over K finite

we get $\rho(G) \geq 1 - \frac{\phi}{d}$ ■

(upper bound)

$p_{2n}(0) \leq 2 q_{2n}(0)$ where q_n is the n -step transition prob. for the LRW.

Now by Chapter 3,

$$q_{2n}(0) \leq \left(1 - \frac{\phi^2}{16d^2}\right)^n$$

Therefore

$$\underbrace{p_{2n}(0)}_{\substack{\downarrow n \rightarrow \infty \\ p(G)}} \leq \sqrt{2} \left(\sqrt{1 - \frac{\phi^2}{16d^2}} \right)$$

□