

# AUGMENTATION

Let  $X$  path connected,  $x_0 \in X$ . Then the augmentation is the map

$$\varepsilon_{x_0}: S_*(X) \rightarrow S_*(x_0), \text{ defined by}$$

$$\varepsilon_{x_0} \equiv 0 \text{ on } S_i(x) \quad \forall i > 0 \text{ and}$$

$$\varepsilon_{x_0} \left( \sum_{x \in X} n_x x \right) = \left( \sum_{x \in X} n_x \right) x_0.$$

$\varepsilon_{x_0}$  is a chain map.

## LEMMA (CONE CONSTRUCTION)

Assume  $X$  is contractible. Fix  $x_0 \in X$ .

then  $\exists$  a chain homotopy

$$D = D_{X, x_0}: S_*(X) \rightarrow S_*(x_0)[1]$$

s.t.  $D\partial + \partial D = \text{id} - \varepsilon_{x_0}$  (in particular,

$$H_i(X) = 0 \quad \forall i > 0.)$$

## NOTATION

For a chain complex  $C$ , denote by  $C[d]$

the same complex, but with a shift in degree

$(c[d])_i := C_{i+d}$  and the same

boundary map,

If  $D^\bullet$  is cohomologically graded  $(D[d])^i = D^{i-d}$ .

## PROOF

$D$  is constructed using a cone-construction and a homotopy

$F: X \times [0,1] \rightarrow X$  with  $F(x,0) = x$ ,

$F(x,1) = x_0 \quad \forall x \in X$ .



Recall that one canonical construction of the  $n$ -simplex  $\Delta_n$  is as the set of points

$$\Delta_n = \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^n t_i e_i \mid \sum_i t_i = 1, t_i \geq 0 \forall i \right\} \\ \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$$

where  $\{e_0, \dots, e_n\}$  is the standard basis in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . With this description we can

regard  $(t_0, t_1, \dots, t_n)$  as 'coordinates'  $\Delta_n$ . In particular, the faces of  $\Delta_n$  are given by  $\{t_i = 0\} : i = 0, \dots, n$  and the vertices are given by  $\{t_i = 1\}$ .

Given a singular  $n$ -simplex  $\zeta : \Delta_n \rightarrow X$ , we define

$$D(\zeta)(t_0, \dots, t_{n+1}) = F\left(\zeta\left(\frac{(t_1, \dots, t_{n+1})}{1-t_0}\right), t_0\right) : \Delta_{n+1} \rightarrow X$$

Observe that the face  $\Delta_n \cong \{t_0 = 0\} \subset \Delta_{n+1}$  is mapped onto  $\zeta(\Delta_n)$  and the vertex  $\{t_0 = 1\}$  is mapped onto the contraction point  $x_0$ . If  $\zeta$  has degree  $\geq 1$  one can check that

$$\partial D(\zeta) = \zeta - D(\partial \zeta).$$

and if  $\zeta$  has degree 0, then

$$\partial D(\zeta) = \zeta - x_0,$$

where we identify  $x_0$  and the 0-simplex with image  $x_0 \in X$  thus

$$\partial D + D \partial = \text{Id} - \varepsilon$$

where  $\varepsilon$  is 0 in nonzero degrees and  $\varepsilon(\sum_i n_i \sigma_i) = \sum_i n_i x_0$  can be identified with the augmentation map in degree 0.

## THEOREM ▣

∃ chain map  $\Theta: S_*(X \times Y) \rightarrow S_*(X) \otimes S_*(Y)$ ,  
 defined  $\forall$  spaces  $X, Y$ , which is natural  
 in  $X$  &  $Y$  and s.t. in degree 0 we have:

$$\forall x \in X, y \in Y, \quad \Theta((x, y)) = x \otimes y.$$

Naturality means:  $\forall$  maps  $X \xrightarrow{f} X'$ ,  
 $Y \xrightarrow{g} Y'$  we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S_*(X \times Y) & \xrightarrow{\Theta} & S_*(X) \otimes S_*(Y) \\ (f \times g)_c \downarrow & & \downarrow f_c \otimes g_c \\ S_*(X' \times Y') & \xrightarrow{\Theta} & S_*(X') \otimes S_*(Y') \end{array}$$

Additionally,  $\partial \otimes \Theta = \Theta \partial$ .

## LEMMA

Let  $X, Y$  be contractible spaces,  $x_0 \in X, y_0 \in Y$ .  
then  $\exists$  a chain homotopy

$$E: S_*(X) \otimes S_*(Y) \rightarrow (S_*(X) \otimes S_*(Y))[-1]$$

between  $E_{x_0} \otimes E_{y_0}$  and  $\text{id} \otimes \text{id}$ . In particular,

$$H_n(S_*(X) \otimes S_*(Y)) = 0 \quad \forall n \geq 1$$

and  $\forall$  0-chain  $\sum n_{x,y} x \otimes y$  we have

$$\sum n_{xy} [x \otimes y] = (\sum n_{xy}) [x_0 \otimes y_0].$$

## EXERCISE

Let  $A_0 \xrightarrow{f'} A'_1, A'_1 \xrightarrow{f''} A''_2, B_0 \xrightarrow{g'} B'_1, B'_1 \xrightarrow{g''} B''_2$

be graded homo. Consider homomorphisms

$$(f'' \circ f') \otimes (g'' \circ g') \quad \& \quad (f'' \otimes g'') \circ (f' \otimes g') : A \otimes B \rightarrow A'' \otimes B''$$

Show that

$$(f'' \circ f') \otimes (g'' \circ g') = (-1)^{\text{rk}' \cdot \text{rk}''} (f'' \otimes g'') \circ (f' \otimes g')$$

# PROOF

We'll use the chain homotopies  $D_x$  &  $D_y$  between  $\text{id}_{S(x)}$  &  $\mathcal{E}_{x_0}$  and  $\text{id}_{S(y)}$  &  $\mathcal{E}_{y_0}$  coming from the fact that  $X$  &  $Y$  are contractible.

$E := D_x \otimes \text{id} + \mathcal{E}_{x_0} \otimes D_y$ . Recall the diff.

$d$  on  $S(x) \otimes S(y)$

$$d = \partial_x \otimes \text{id} + \text{id} \otimes \partial_y \quad (\text{we use the Koszul sign convention})$$

$$Ed + dE = (D_x \otimes \text{id} + \mathcal{E}_{x_0} \otimes D_y) \circ (\partial_x \otimes \text{id} + \text{id} \otimes \partial_y)$$

$$+ (\partial_x \otimes \text{id} + \text{id} \otimes \partial_y) \circ (D_x \otimes \text{id} + \mathcal{E}_{x_0} \otimes D_y)$$

$$= (D_x \circ \partial_x) \otimes \text{id} + D_x \otimes \partial_y - (\mathcal{E}_{x_0} \circ \partial_x) \otimes D_y$$

$$+ \mathcal{E}_{x_0} \otimes (D_y \circ \partial_y) + (\partial_x \circ D_x) \otimes \text{id} + (\partial_x \circ \mathcal{E}_{x_0}) \otimes D_y$$

$$- D_x \otimes \partial_y + \mathcal{E}_{x_0} \otimes (\partial_y \circ D_y) = (\text{id} - \mathcal{E}_{x_0}) \otimes \text{id}$$

$$+ \mathcal{E}_{x_0} \otimes (\text{id} - \mathcal{E}_{y_0}) = \text{id} \otimes \text{id} - \mathcal{E}_{x_0} \otimes \text{id}$$

$$+ \mathcal{E}_{x_0} \otimes \text{id} - \mathcal{E}_{x_0} \otimes \mathcal{E}_{y_0} =$$

$$= \text{id} \otimes \text{id} - \mathcal{E}_{x_0} \otimes \mathcal{E}_{y_0}$$

It follows that  $\text{id} \otimes \text{id}$  and  $\mathcal{E}_{x_0} \otimes \mathcal{E}_{y_0}$  induce the same maps on homology groups. Since  $\mathcal{E}_{x_0}$  is 0 in all degrees but 0,  $H_i(S.(x) \otimes S.(y)) = 0$   $\forall i > 0$ . In degree 0 we get  $(\mathcal{E}_{x_0} \otimes \mathcal{E}_{y_0})_* = (\text{id})_*$ , so

$$\sum n_{xy} [x \otimes y] = (\sum n_{xy}) [x_0 \otimes y_0]. \quad \blacksquare$$