COHOMOLOGICAL CROSS PRODUCT Fix a commutative ring R ( with a Unity). Let X, Y be spaces. Let YE SP(X;R), LESQ(Y;R) be cochains, We'll define  $Y \times Y \in S^{(X \times Y; R)}$ where n=p+g. Write  $\varphi: S_p(x) \rightarrow R, \Upsilon: S_q(\Upsilon) \rightarrow R$ Recall  $\Theta: S(x \times Y) \to S_{o}(x) \otimes S_{o}(Y)$ Fix one such map Consider now  $m \circ \Upsilon \otimes \Upsilon : S_{p}(X) \otimes_{\pi} S_{q}(\Upsilon) \rightarrow R \otimes_{\pi} R \xrightarrow{m} R$ Where the map m is induced by the bilinear map  $R \times R \rightarrow R$ ,  $(r_1, r_2) \mapsto r_1 \cdot r_2$ . =) we get a Cochain  $\mathsf{m} \circ \mathsf{Y} \otimes \mathsf{Y} : (\mathsf{S} \cdot (\mathsf{X}) \otimes \mathsf{S} \cdot (\mathsf{Y}))_{\mathsf{prg}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ 

 $\left(\begin{array}{c} \bigoplus_{p'+q'=p+q} & Sp'(x) \otimes S_{q'}(y) \\ p'+q'=p+q \end{array}\right)$ by defining mology to be  $U \forall p', g'$ s.t. p' + g' = p + g but  $(p', g') \neq (pg)$ . Define  $\Psi \times \Psi := M \circ (\Psi \otimes \Psi) \circ \Theta, \Psi \times \Psi \in S^{P+Q}(X \times Y; R)$  $(\Theta: S.(X \times Y) \rightarrow S.(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} S.(Y))$ Note: x is natural wir.t. map X-X' Y→Y' because O has this property. 0 is not unique. A more explicit formula. Let ce Sn (XXY), where n=p+g.  $\Theta(c) = Z Z \alpha_i \partial b_j^s$  with  $t+s=n v_i j^s$  $a_i^r \in S_r(x), b_j^s \in S_s(Y).$ 

$$(\varphi \times \Psi)(c) = (-1)^{p \cdot q} \sum_{ij} \Psi(a_i^{p}) \cdot \Psi(b_j^{q})$$
Proof  

$$(\varphi \times \Psi)(c) = M_0(\varphi \otimes \Psi) \circ \Theta(c) =$$

$$= M \circ (\Psi \otimes \Psi) (\sum_{i+s=n}^{s} \alpha_i^{r} \otimes b_j^{s}) =$$

$$= \sum_{i+s=n}^{s} \sum_{i,j} M \circ \Psi \otimes \Psi (a_i^{r} \otimes b_j^{s}) =$$

$$= \sum_{i,j} M \circ \Psi \otimes \Psi (a_i^{p} \otimes b_j^{q}) =$$

$$= \sum_{i,j} M ((-1)^{i \Psi i p} \Psi(a_i^{p}) \otimes \Psi(b_j^{q}))$$

$$= \sum_{i,j} (-1)^{pq} \Psi(a_i^{p}) \cdot \Psi(b_j^{q})$$

CLAIM  $5(4 \times 4) = 54 \times 4 + (-1)^{141} + \times 54$ . In other words, the map  $S^{P}(X;R) \otimes S^{2}(Y;R) \rightarrow S^{P+2}(X;Y)$ induced by  $(\varphi, \Psi) \mapsto \varphi \times \Psi$  is a chain map. (W.r.t. 5,oid Tid & Sy and Sxxy). WARNING: In homological algebra, 5 is often defined as: 5f=(-1)^{degf+1} f=d. (Sign Covention, Bredon, page 321). This change does not affect cohomology groups. Proof of claim  $p := |\varphi|$  $g:=|\Psi|$ 

Exercise.

REMARK The map  $(4, 4) \rightarrow 4 \times 4$  is bilinear over R, so it induces a map of R-mod  $S^{P}(x, R) \otimes_{R} S^{a}(4; R) \rightarrow S^{P+a}(x \times 4; R)$ .

 $5(\varphi \times \Psi) = (-1)^{p+g+1}(\varphi \times \Psi) \circ \partial$  $= (-1)^{p+q+1} M_{\circ}(\varphi \otimes \Upsilon) \circ \Theta \circ \partial$ special convention  $= (-1)^{p + 2 + 1} \operatorname{M}_{\circ} (\varphi \otimes \downarrow)_{\circ} \partial_{\varphi} \circ \Theta$ ∂xØld+id⊗∂y  $= (-1)^{p+q+1} \operatorname{m}_{\circ} (\varphi \otimes (\underline{1} \circ \partial_{y}) + (-1)^{q} (\varphi \circ \partial_{x}) \otimes \underline{1}) \circ \Theta =$  $= (-1)^{p+g+i} \otimes ((-1)^{g+i} \psi \otimes S\Psi + (-1)^{g+p+i} S\psi \otimes \Psi) \otimes \Theta$ =  $m \circ (S = \Psi + (-1)^{P} \varphi \otimes S \times ) \circ \Theta$  $= S \Psi \times \Psi + (-i)^{P} \Psi \times S \Psi$ Ø

## COROLLARY

Cross product on chains induces a product  $H^{P}(x,R) \otimes_{R} H^{2}(Y;R) \rightarrow H^{P+2}(xxY;R)$ which is independent on the choice of  $\Theta$ . Proof We have the following maps  $H^{p}(S^{\circ}(X,R)) \otimes H^{g}(S^{\circ}(Y,R)) \xrightarrow{h} H^{p+g}(S^{\circ}(X,R)) \otimes S^{\circ}(Y,R)$ algebraic
man (a) of (1) = (a) (1)  $map (a) \otimes b] \rightarrow [a \otimes b]$  $H^{p}(x;R) \otimes_{R} H^{2}(Y;R)$  $\rightarrow H^{p+2}(S(X\times 1; R))$ HP+2 (XxY:R) also denoted X the composition X. h gives the desired map. The independence of the specific choice of I follows from the fact that I is unique

up to chain homotopy.



## COMMUTATIVITL OF THE CROSS PRODUCT

- Let de H<sup>p</sup>(x), BeH<sup>2</sup>(Y).
- Fix a ring R for coefficients & omit from notation.

Question: What is the relation between d×B ∈ HP+& (x×I) and B×d ∈ HP+& (Y×X)? We'll identify X×Y ≈ Y×X using the

obvious map

$$T: X \times Y \to Y \times X$$
$$(X, y) \mapsto (y, X)$$

Consider the following diagram: S.  $(x \times Y) \xrightarrow{\theta_{x,Y}} S.(x) \otimes S.(Y)$   $\int T_c \qquad \int T$ S.  $(Y \times X) \xrightarrow{\Theta_{Y,X}} S.(Y) \otimes S(X)$ 

 $\mathcal{T}(b\otimes a) = (-1)^{|b| \cdot |a|} a \otimes b$ . Exercise.  $\mathcal{T}$  is a chain map. Consider the composition. To Got. this is a chain map S.  $(xxy) \rightarrow S.(x) \otimes S.(y)$ . It is natural wrt maps  $X \rightarrow x', Y \rightarrow Y'$ , and in degree 0 this map does  $(x,y) \mapsto x \otimes y$ . Conclusion: By a previous theorem there exists a chain homotopy  $T \circ \Theta_{1,x} \circ T_C \simeq \Theta_{x,y}$ , i.e. there is an operator D;  $S.(x \times Y) \rightarrow (S.(x) \otimes S.(Y))[5]$ st. τοθrxοτc - Θx, = Dod+ 200D. We now pass to cohomology. Let  $f \in SP(x)$ ,  $g \in S^2(Y)$  be cocycles.

Let's calculate  $= + * \left( \left[ m_{\circ}(q_{\mathcal{O}}f) \circ \Theta_{Y,X} \right] \right) = \left[ m_{\circ}(q_{\mathcal{O}}f) \circ \Theta_{Y,X} \circ T_{\mathcal{C}} \right]$  $= (-1)^{p.2} [m_{\circ}(f \otimes q) \circ T \circ \Theta_{1,x} \circ T_{c}]^{z}$  $= (-1)^{p.g[mo(f \oplus g)o \oplus_{X,Y} + mo(f \otimes g)o(D3 + 20)]}$ the 2nd term in the [...]: it is a coboundary because f & g are corycles. So  $(f \otimes g) \cdot \partial \otimes = 0$ , and  $m_0(f \otimes g) \circ D = \pm 5(m_0(f \otimes g) \circ D).$  $\Rightarrow \pm *([g] \times [f]) = (-1)^{pa} [f] \times [g].$ Skew/super commutativity We proved

PROPOSITION

 $\forall \alpha \in HP(X, R), \beta \in HQ(Y, R)$  we have  $d \times \beta = (-1)PQ + (\beta \times d)$ .

the operation that we will use most in the remaining weeks is the cup product.