In particular,  

$$\begin{split}
\overline{\Phi}_{*} : H_{m}(\mathbb{RP}^{n}; \mathbb{Z}_{2}) \to H_{m}(\mathbb{RP}^{m}; \mathbb{Z}_{2}) \\
\text{is an uso.} \\
\xrightarrow{\mu} \\
H_{m}(\mathbb{RP}^{n}; \mathbb{Z}_{2}) \xrightarrow{\tau_{*}} H_{m}(\mathbb{S}^{n}; \mathbb{Z}_{2}) \\
& \int \overline{\Phi}_{*} \stackrel{\simeq}{=} \\
H_{m}(\mathbb{RP}^{m}; \mathbb{Z}_{2}) \stackrel{\cong}{=} \\
H_{m}(\mathbb{RP}^{m}; \mathbb{Z}_{2}) \stackrel{\cong}{=} \\
H_{m}(\mathbb{S}^{m}; \mathbb{Z}_{2}) \\
& \downarrow \overline{\Phi}_{*} \\
& H_{m}(\mathbb{RP}^{m}; \mathbb{Z}_{2}) \xrightarrow{\mu} \\
& H_{m}(\mathbb{RP}^{m}; \mathbb{Z}_{2}) \\
& \downarrow \overline{\Phi}_{*} \\
& H_{m}(\mathbb{RP}^{m}; \mathbb{Z}_{2}) \stackrel{\cong}{=} \\
& H_{m}(\mathbb{RP}^{m}; \mathbb{Z}_{2}) \stackrel{\cong}{=} \\
& H_{m}(\mathbb{RP}^{m}; \mathbb{Z}_{2}) \stackrel{\cong}{=} \\
& H_{m}(\mathbb{RP}^{m}; \mathbb{Z}_{2}) \xrightarrow{\mu} \\
& H_{m}(\mathbb{RP}^{m}; \mathbb{Z}_{2}) \xrightarrow{\mu} \\
& H_{m}(\mathbb{RP}^{m}; \mathbb{Z}_{2}) \stackrel{\cong}{=} \\
& H_{m}(\mathbb{RP}^{$$

PROOF OF THE BORSUK-ULAM THEOREM Let  $f: S^n \rightarrow R^n$ . Assume by contradiction that  $f(x) \neq f(-x) \neq x \in S^n$ . Define  $\Psi: S^n \rightarrow S^{n-1}$ 

$$\phi(x) := \frac{f(x) - f(-x)}{|f(x) - f(-x)|} \in S^{n-1}$$

Clearly,  $\varphi(-x) = -\varphi(x)$ . By the previous theorem,  $n \le n - 1$ . Contradiction.

APPLICATION OF BORSUK-ULAM THEOREM: THEOREM (LUSTERNIK-SCHNIRELMANN) Let An., A, CSn be l closed subsets s.t.  $A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_e = S^n$ . If  $l \leq n+1$ , then Fi s.t. A: contains a pair of antipodal points. Proof WLOG l=n+1 (otherwise add empty subsets:  $A_{l+1}$ ,  $A_{n+1} = \phi$ ). Assume  $A_{i} \cap (-A_{i}) = \phi \quad \forall i \leq i \leq n$ 1 image under the

antipodal map and we'll show that  $A_{n+1} \cap (-A_{n+1}) \neq \emptyset$ Reminder: We will need: IF X Tz + compact, URYSOHN LEMMA (sn is normal) Let x be a normal space and CCX closed, U an open subst containing C. then Za continuous function  $f: X \rightarrow [0, 1] \text{ s.t. } f \models 0$ and  $f \int_{X \setminus T} = 1$ . By the Urysonn lemma 7 a continuous Junction  $f_i: X \to [0,1]$  s.t.  $f|_{A_i} \equiv 0$ and f = 1. Take the functions  $f_{\Lambda_1}f_{2_1\cdots_1}f_m$  and define  $f: S^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^h$ ,  $f(x) = (f_{\lambda}(x), ..., f_{n}(x))$ 

By Borsuk-Ulam 
$$\exists x_0 \in S^n$$
 s.t.  
 $\exists (x_0) = \exists (-x_0)$ .

Clearly,  $x_0 \notin A_i$   $\forall 1 \leq i \leq n$ . Similarly - $x_0 \notin A_i$   $\forall 1 \leq i \leq n$ . Since  $A_i$  are a covering,  $x_0, \neg x_0 \in S^n \setminus (A_1 \cup \cup A_n) \subset A_{n+1}$ 

A ham sandwich consists of two pieces of bread & one piece of ham. The following theorem says thost one can always relie it with a straight cut of a knife so as to cut each slice of bread exactly in two and the same for the ham.

APPLICATION: THE HAM SANDWICH THEOREM Let A, Az, Am be Lebesgue measurable bounded subsets of RM. then there exists an affine (m-i)-plane HCR<sup>m</sup> which divides each A; into pieces of epual measure. Proof Regard R<sup>m</sup> as R<sup>m</sup> x E13C R<sup>m+1</sup>.



ie. the subset  $\{(x_1, ..., x_{m+1}) | x_{m+1} = 1\}$ . For a unit vector  $x \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ , let  $V_x = \mathbb{R}^m \times \{1\} \cap \{y \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1} | \langle x, y \rangle \ge 0\}$ 

and

 $H_{x} = \mathbb{R}^{m} \times \{1\} \cap \{y \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \mid \langle x, y \rangle = 0\}$ 

Let  $f_i$ =measure  $(\chi \cap A_i)$  which is continuous since  $A_i$  is bounded. Then put  $f=(f_1,..,f_m): S^m \rightarrow R^m$ . By the Borsuk-Viam theorem, there is such a vector  $X_0$  s.t.  $f(x_0) = f(-x)$ . Then  $H_{X_0}$  is the desired hyperplane.