

THEOREM (UNIVERSAL COEFFICIENT THEOREM) Hatcher

Let C_* be a chain complex of free abelian groups. Let G be an abelian group. Then

\exists a split SES:

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}(H_{n-1}(C), G) \rightarrow H^n(C; G) \xrightarrow{h} \text{hom}(H_n(C), G) \rightarrow 0$$

Remark In general \nexists canonical splitting.
 \uparrow 'preferred'

Short review:

① There exists a map

$$h: H^n(C; G) \rightarrow \text{hom}(H_n(C), G) \quad \forall n$$

which is surjective. \leftarrow construct a right inverse s

Put $Z_n := \ker \partial \subset C_n$, $B_n := \partial(C_{n+1})$.

A class $\alpha \in H^n(C; G)$ is represented

by $\varphi: C_n \rightarrow G$ s.t. $\varphi \circ \partial = 0$, i.e.

$\varphi|_{B_n} \equiv 0 \Rightarrow \varphi$ descends to

$$\overline{\varphi} : \underbrace{\mathbb{Z}_n / B_n}_{H_n(C)} \rightarrow G. \text{ Define } h(\alpha) := \overline{\varphi}.$$

② h fits into a SES

$$0 \rightarrow \ker h \rightarrow H^n(C; G) \xrightarrow{h} \text{hom}(H_n(C), G) \rightarrow 0$$

which is split.

$\ker h$ is not trivial: example $\mathbb{R}P^3$

$$h : H^2(C^*) \rightarrow \text{hom}(H_2(C), \mathbb{Z}) \text{ has}$$

a kernel. \rightsquigarrow we will try to understand this kernel

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \textcircled{3} & 0 & \rightarrow & \mathbb{Z}_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{j} & C_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{\partial} & B_n & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & & \downarrow 0 & & \downarrow \partial & & \downarrow 0 & & \\ & 0 & \rightarrow & \mathbb{Z}_n & \xrightarrow{j} & C_n & \xrightarrow{\partial} & B_{n-1} & \rightarrow & 0 \end{array} \quad \left. \vphantom{\begin{array}{c} \textcircled{3} \\ 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{n+1} \end{array}} \right\} \text{dualize}$$

$$0 \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_{n+1}^* \xleftarrow{j^*} C_{n+1}^* \xleftarrow{\delta} B_n^* \leftarrow 0$$

$$0 \uparrow \quad \quad \uparrow \delta \quad \quad \uparrow 0$$

$$0 \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_n^* \xleftarrow{j^*} C_n^* \xleftarrow{\delta} B_{n-1}^* \leftarrow 0$$

This is a SES of Cochain complexes
 \leadsto it induces a LES of cohomological groups.

connecting hom
 \downarrow is just a
restriction map

$$\dots B_n^* \xleftarrow{\tau} Z_n^* \xleftarrow{\tau} H^n(C; G) \xleftarrow{\tau} B_{n-1}^* \xleftarrow{\tau} Z_{n-1}^* \xleftarrow{\tau} \dots$$

$i_n^* : Z_n^* \rightarrow B_n^*$ is induced by

$$i_n : B_n \rightarrow Z_n.$$

We can split the above sequence to

$$0 \rightarrow \text{coker}(i_{n-1}^*) \rightarrow H^n(C; G) \xrightarrow{\tilde{d}} \ker(i_n^*) \rightarrow 0$$

$$\textcircled{4} \ker(i_n^*) \cong \text{hom}(H_n(C); G) \text{ and}$$

so we have a SES

$$0 \rightarrow \text{coker}(i_{n-1}^*) \rightarrow H^n(C; G) \rightarrow \text{hom}(H_n(C); G) \rightarrow 0$$

The task of finding $\ker h$ has been shifted to analyzing $\text{coker}(i_{n-1}^*)$.

It turns out that this mysterious term $\text{coker } d_{n-1}^*$ depends only on $H_{n-1}(C)$ and G . \rightsquigarrow notation

$$\text{coker}(d_{n-1}^*) = \text{Ext}(H_{n-1}(C), G)$$

⑤ UNIVERSAL COEFFICIENT THEOREM FOR COHOMOLOGY

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}(H_{n-1}(C), G) \rightarrow H^n(C; G) \xrightarrow{h} \text{hom}(H_n(C), G) \rightarrow 0$$

We still owe a more detailed explanation for Ext . To understand it in more depth, we look at free resolutions.

A FREE RESOLUTION of H is a

chain complex F_\bullet with degrees ≥ 0

$(\dots \rightarrow F_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} F_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} F_0 \rightarrow 0)$ together with a map

$$F_0 \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} H \quad \text{s.t.}$$

① F_i is free abelian $\forall i$

② The sequence $\dots \rightarrow F_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} F_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} F_0 \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} H \rightarrow 0$

is exact (i.e. the ch. complex has 0 homology)

We'll denote it by $F. \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} H.$

Apply $\text{hom}(-, G)$ to $F.$ & get

$$\dots \leftarrow F_2^* \xleftarrow{f_2^*} F_1^* \xleftarrow{f_1^*} F_0^* \leftarrow 0.$$

Cohomology groups are $H^n(F; G)$.

MAIN LEMMA: $H^n(F; G)$ depend only on H & G , but not on the choice of $F.$ (proof coming soon)

[every abelian group has a free resolution of the type $\dots 0 \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow H \rightarrow 0$

i.e. with $F_i = 0 \quad \forall i \geq 2$

\Rightarrow the only two interesting groups are $H^0(F; G)$ & $H^1(F; G)$.

$H^0(F; G)$ we can compute & see it is $\text{hom}(H, G)$.

$$\text{Ext}(H, G) := H^1(F; G).$$

RETURN TO $\text{coker } i_{n-1}^*$

$$0 \rightarrow B_{n-1} \xrightarrow{i_{n-1}} Z_{n-1} \rightarrow H_{n-1}(C) \rightarrow 0$$

is a free resolution of $H_{n-1}(C)$.

duale ↓

$$\dots \leftarrow 0 \leftarrow B_{n-1}^* \xleftarrow{i_{n-1}^*} Z_{n-1}^* \leftarrow \text{hom}(H_{n-1}(C), G) \leftarrow 0$$

$H_1(F, G) = \text{coker}(i_{n-1}^*)$, where

$$(F_0 = Z_{n-1}, F_1 = B_{n-1}, F_i = 0 \quad \forall i \geq 2)$$

↪ From the main lemma it

follows that $\text{coker}(i_{n-1}^*)$ depends only

on G & $H_{n-1}(C)$.

Exercises:

① Compute Ext groups

$$\text{Ext}(\mathbb{Z}_4, \mathbb{Z}_{12}), \text{Ext}(\mathbb{Z}_9, \mathbb{Z}_9),$$

$$\text{Ext}(\mathbb{Z}_3, \mathbb{R}), \text{Ext}(\mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$$

② Suppose that X has integral homology groups

$$H_0(X) = \mathbb{Z}, \quad H_1(X) = \mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2,$$

$$H_3(X) = \mathbb{Z}_{72} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$$

and all other groups are 0.

Determine cohomology groups with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Z}_8, \mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_3, \mathbb{Z}_5$.

③ Regarding \mathbb{Z}_2 as a module over the ring \mathbb{Z}_4 construct a resolution of \mathbb{Z}_2 by free modules over \mathbb{Z}_4 and use this to show that $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}_4}^n(\mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is nonzero for all n .

④ Let X be a topological space. Show that $H^1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ has no torsion.

⑤ Compute the singular homology groups with \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z}_2 of $\mathbb{R}P^2$ and check the UCT.