

## Musterlösung Serie 5

### PROPERTIES OF FORCING EXTENSIONS

12. We show a stronger statement, namely that if  $\mathbb{P}$  is a  $\kappa$ -closed partial ordering and  $f: \theta \rightarrow X$  is a function in the corresponding generic extension from some cardinal  $\theta \in \kappa$  to some set  $X \in \mathbf{V}$  already existing in the ground model, then  $f \in \mathbf{V}$  as well.

Let  $G$  be a generic filter on  $\mathbb{P} = (P, \leq)$  and let  $f: \theta \rightarrow X$  be a function with  $f \in \mathbf{V}[G]$ , together with a  $\mathbb{P}$ -name  $\underline{f}$  for  $f$ . By the properties of the Forcing Relation (Thm. 15.10 (2)) we can find a condition  $p \in G$  such that

$$p \Vdash \underline{f} \in {}^\theta \underline{X},$$

where  ${}^\theta \underline{X}$  is a name for the set of all functions from  $\theta$  to  $X$  in  $\mathbf{V}[G]$ . We will proceed by induction, with the base step given by the fact that, according to Lemma 15.11 (b), there is a condition  $p_0 \geq p$ ,  $p_0 \in G$ , which decides the image of 0 through  $f$ , that is, more formally, there is a condition  $p_0 \geq p$ ,  $p_0 \in G$ , and an element  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $p_0 \Vdash \underline{f}(0) = x_0$ . We now define an analogous condition  $p_\lambda$  for all  $\lambda \in \theta$ . If  $\lambda = \lambda' + 1$  is a successor ordinal, then repeat the base step in order to obtain a  $p_\lambda \geq p_{\lambda'}$ ,  $p_\lambda \in G$ , and some  $x_\lambda \in X$  with  $p_\lambda \Vdash \underline{f}(\lambda) = x_\lambda$ . Let now  $\lambda \in \theta$  be a limit ordinal. We now want to argue that we can find a condition  $\tilde{p}_\lambda \in G$  such that for all  $\mu \in \lambda$  we have that  $\tilde{p}_\lambda \geq p_\mu$ . Consider the set

$$D_\lambda = \{p \in P : \forall \mu \in \lambda (p \geq p_\mu) \text{ or } \exists \mu \in \lambda (p \perp p_\mu)\}.$$

$D_\lambda$  is clearly open. In order to show that it is also dense, let  $g \in P$  be an arbitrary condition not in  $D_\lambda$  such that for all conditions  $h \geq g$ , there is no  $\mu \in \lambda$  with  $h \perp p_\mu$ . We are then able to find a condition  $g_0 \in P$  with  $g \leq g_0 \geq p_0$ . For each successor ordinal  $\mu \in \lambda$ ,  $\mu = \mu' + 1$ , define inductively  $g_\mu$  with  $g_{\mu'} \leq g_\mu \geq p_\mu$ . For limit ordinals  $\mu \in \lambda$ , first find by  $\kappa$ -closedness some condition  $\tilde{g}_\mu$  with  $\tilde{g}_\mu \geq g_\alpha$  for all  $\alpha \in \mu$ , and then, since  $\tilde{g}_\mu \geq g$  and  $\mu \in \lambda$ , we are by assumption able to find a  $g_\mu$  with  $\tilde{g}_\mu \leq g_\mu \geq p_\mu$ . Finally, an element of  $D_\lambda$  above  $g$  is given by any upper bound of the sequence  $\langle g_\mu : \mu \in \lambda \rangle$ , whose existence is again guaranteed by  $\mathbb{P}$  being  $\kappa$ -closed, concluding the proof that  $D_\lambda$  is an open dense subset of  $P$ . Consider now an element in the non-empty intersection  $x \in G \cap D_\lambda$ . Since  $G$  is directed we necessarily have that  $x$  is compatible with  $p_\mu$  for every  $\mu \in \lambda$ , which, by definition of  $D_\lambda$ , implies that  $x \geq p_\mu$  for all  $\mu \in \lambda$ , which is what we wanted for our condition  $\tilde{p}_\lambda$ . Now apply again Lemma 15.11 (b) and get a  $p_\lambda \in G$  with  $p_\lambda \Vdash \underline{f}(\lambda) = x_\lambda$ . Let now  $q \in P$  be any upper bound for the sequence  $\langle p_\mu : \mu \in \theta \rangle$ .

We get that  $q$  decides the image through  $f$  of every element of  $\theta$ , and hence, by definability of forcing, we get that we are able to define  $f$  in the ground model, which shows that  $f \in \mathbf{V}$ .

13. Let  $\mathbb{P} = (P, \leq)$  be a partially ordered set satisfying the  $\kappa$ -chain-condition (in short  $\kappa$ -cc) for some regular cardinal  $\kappa$ , and let  $G$  be a generic filter on  $\mathbb{P}$ . Let  $\lambda$  and  $\theta$  be cardinals in  $V$  satisfying  $\lambda < \theta$  and  $\kappa \leq \theta$ . Let now  $f: \lambda \rightarrow \theta$  be a function belonging to the generic extension  $\mathbf{V}[G]$ . The claim will follow by showing that  $f$  can not be surjective. Let  $\check{f}$  be a name for  $f$  and  $p \in P$  a condition such that

$$p \Vdash \check{f} \in {}^\theta \check{\lambda},$$

where  ${}^\theta \check{\lambda}$  is a name for the set of all functions from  $\theta$  to  $\lambda$  in  $\mathbf{V}[G]$ . Consider now for each  $\alpha \in \lambda$  the set of conditions above  $p$  which decide the image of  $\alpha$  through  $f$ , formally

$$D_\alpha = \{q \geq p : \exists \gamma \in \theta (q \Vdash \check{f}(\check{\alpha}) = \gamma)\}.$$

We'd like to show that every  $D_\alpha$  is dense above  $p$ . In order to do that, fix  $\alpha \in \lambda$  and consider, for each  $\gamma \in \theta$ , the set  $\Delta_{\alpha, \gamma}$  of conditions which decide the forcing sentence  $\check{f}(\check{\alpha}) = \gamma$ . By Fact 15.9, each  $\Delta_{\alpha, \gamma}$  is open dense in  $P$ . Assume now towards a contradiction that there is some  $q \geq p$  such that for all  $q' \geq q$  we have that  $q' \notin D_\alpha$ . By Definition 15.8 (c) of the forcing relation, we get that for all  $\gamma \in \theta$ ,  $q$  is such that

$$q \Vdash \check{f}(\check{\alpha}) \neq \gamma. \quad (1)$$

On the other hand, since  $q \geq p$ , we also have  $q \Vdash \check{f} \in {}^\theta \check{\lambda}$ , which implies  $p \Vdash \check{f}(\check{\alpha}) \in \check{\theta}$ , contradicting (1), since we can assume that there is a generic filter  $\tilde{G}$  on  $\mathbb{P}$  containing  $q$  (see Chapter 16). Let us now define for each  $\alpha \in \lambda$  the set

$$Y_\alpha = \{\gamma \in \theta : \exists q \in D_\alpha (q \Vdash \check{f}(\check{\alpha}) = \gamma)\},$$

which we remark belonging to the ground model  $\mathbf{V}$ . Notice now that  $|Y_\alpha| < \kappa$  by the fact that  $\mathbb{P}$  satisfies the  $\kappa$ -cc. Indeed, let  $\mu$  and  $\delta$  be distinct elements of  $Y_\alpha$ , and let  $q_\mu$  and  $q_\delta$  be some respectively corresponding conditions. Clearly  $q_\mu \perp q_\delta$ , which proves the upper bound on  $|Y_\alpha|$ . Define now the union

$$Y = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \lambda} Y_\alpha.$$

If  $\kappa < \theta$  then clearly  $|Y| < \theta$ , as  $|Y| \leq \lambda \cdot \kappa = \max(\lambda, \kappa) < \theta$ . If  $\kappa = \theta$ , we get again  $|Y| < \theta$ , for regularity of  $\kappa$ , together with  $\lambda < \kappa$ , implies that there is some cardinal  $\mu < \theta$  such that for all  $\alpha \in \lambda$  we have  $|Y_\alpha| \leq \mu$ , from which we deduce  $|Y| \leq \lambda \cdot \mu = \max(\lambda, \mu) < \theta$ . We conclude by noticing that  $Y \subsetneq \theta$  together with

$$\forall \alpha \in \lambda (p \Vdash \check{f}(\check{\alpha}) \in Y)$$

implies that  $f$  can not be surjective, which means that  $\theta$  is not collapsed in the generic extension.