

Exercise Class #4

28 April | 2023

- ① Prove the duplication formula

$$\Gamma(s) \Gamma\left(s + \frac{1}{2}\right) = 2^{1-2s} \sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(2s), \quad s \in \mathbb{C}$$

where $\Gamma(s) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^s \frac{dt}{t}$ for $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 0$,

which extends to $s \in \mathbb{C}$ via $\Gamma(s+1) = s\Gamma(s)$

Remark: $\Gamma(s+1) = s\Gamma(s)$ when $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 0$ follows from integration by parts. Indeed:

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(s+1) &= \int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^s dt = - \int_0^\infty (e^{-t})' t^s dt \\ &= - e^{-t} \cdot t^s \Big|_0^\infty + \int_0^\infty e^{-t} (t^s)' dt \\ &= 0 + \int_0^\infty e^{-t} s t^{s-1} dt \\ &= s \Gamma(s) \end{aligned}$$

- 1.a) First prove $\Gamma(1/2) = \sqrt{\pi}$. To do this compute

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-x^2-y^2} dx dy &= \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-t^2} dt \right)^2 = \left(2 \int_0^{\infty} e^{-t^2} dt \right)^2 \\ (u=t^2) &= \left(\int_0^{\infty} e^{-u} u^{-1/2} du \right)^2 = \Gamma(1/2)^2 \end{aligned}$$

And using polar coordinates this equals

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-r^2} r dr = 2\pi \left(\frac{-e^{-r^2}}{2} \right) \Big|_{r=0}^{r=\infty} = \pi. \text{ Hence } \Gamma(1/2) = \sqrt{\pi}$$

because $r(1/2) > 0$.

1.b Now prove

$$B(s_1, s_2) := \frac{\Gamma(s_1) \Gamma(s_2)}{\Gamma(s_1 + s_2)} = \int_0^1 u^{s_1-1} (1-u)^{s_2-1} du$$

where $\operatorname{Re}(s_1), \operatorname{Re}(s_2) > 0$.

We have

$$\Gamma(s_1) \Gamma(s_2) = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{-(x+y)} x^{s_1-1} y^{s_2-1} dx dy$$

Using $T: [0, \infty] \times [0, \infty] \rightarrow [0, \infty]^2$

$$\text{with } (u, v) \mapsto (x, y) = (uv, u(1-v))$$

$$|\det(J_T(u, v))| = \left| \begin{vmatrix} v & u \\ 1-v & -u \end{vmatrix} \right| = u$$

$$\text{gives } \Gamma(s_1) \Gamma(s_2) = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty u e^{-u} u^{s_1+s_2-2} v^{s_1-1} (1-v)^{s_2-1} du dv$$

$$= \Gamma(s_1 + s_2) \int_0^1 v^{s_1-1} (1-v)^{s_2-1} dv \quad \text{as desired.}$$

1.c Show $B(s, s) = 2^{2-2s} \int_0^1 (1-u^2)^{s-1} du = 2^{1-2s} B(\frac{1}{2}, s)$

and prove the duplication formula.

$$\text{We have } B(s, s) = \int_0^1 v^{s-1} (1-v)^{s-1} dv \quad v = \frac{1+x}{2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 (1-x^2)^{s-1} 2^{2-2s} dx$$

$$= 2^{2-2s} \int_0^1 (1-x^2)^{s-1} dx \quad t = x^2$$

$$= 2^{1-2s} \int_0^1 (1-t)^{s-1} t^{-1/2} dt$$

$$= 2^{1-2s} B(\frac{1}{2}, s)$$

$$\text{ence } \frac{\Gamma(s)}{\Gamma(2s)} = 2^{1-2s} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(s)}{\Gamma(s+1/2)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \Gamma(s) \Gamma(s+\frac{1}{2}) = 2^{1-2s} \sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(2s) //$$

- 2) Let $k \geq 4$ integer, $L_k(s) := \zeta(s) \zeta(s-k+1)$, $s \in \mathbb{C}$, $\Re(s)$
- 2.a) Show that $L_k(s)$ has mero. cont. to $s \in \mathbb{C}$
with $\Lambda_k(s) := (2\pi)^{-s} \Gamma(s) L_k(s) = (-1)^{k/2} \Lambda(s-k)$

Rmk: This is the functional eq. of the L-function of a holomorphic mod. form of weight k .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{We have } \Lambda_k(s) &= (2\pi)^{-s} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{s+1}{2}\right) 2^{s-1} \pi^{-1/2} \zeta(s) \zeta(s-k+1) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \underbrace{\pi^{-s/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \zeta(s)}_{\Lambda(s)} \underbrace{\pi^{-(s+1)/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{s+1}{2}\right) \zeta(s-k+1)}_{\Lambda(s-k+1)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi^{k/2}} \underbrace{\Lambda(s) \Lambda(s-k+1)}_{\Lambda(s-k)} \underbrace{\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{s+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{s+1}{2} - \frac{k}{2}\right)}}_{\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{s+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{s+1}{2} - \frac{k}{2}\right)}} \end{aligned}$$

Using $\Gamma(s+1) = s\Gamma(s)$ we get

$$\Lambda_k(s) = \frac{1}{2\pi^{k/2}} \underbrace{\Lambda(s) \Lambda(s-k+1)}_{\Lambda(s-k)} \underbrace{\left(\frac{s+1}{2} - 1\right)\left(\frac{s+1}{2} - 2\right) \cdots \left(\frac{s+1}{2} - \frac{k}{2}\right)}_{P_k(s)}$$

$$\text{and } P_k(k-s) = \left(\frac{k}{2} - \frac{s+1}{2}\right) \cdots \left(1 - \frac{s+1}{2}\right) = (-1)^{k/2} P_k(s).$$

Since $\Lambda(s)$ has mero. cont. to $s \in \mathbb{C}$ we have the same for $\Lambda_k(s)$. Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_k(k-s) &= \frac{1}{2\pi^{k/2}} \underbrace{\Lambda(k-s) \Lambda(1-s)}_{\Lambda(1-k+s)} \underbrace{(-1)^{k/2}}_{\Lambda(s)} P_k(s) \\ &= (-1)^{k/2} \Lambda_k(s), \end{aligned}$$

2.b) $\Lambda_k(s)$ is holom. in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1, k\}$ w/ simple poles at $s=0, k$.

Clearly $\Lambda_k(s)$ is holom. in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1, k-1, k\}$

At $s=1$: $\Lambda(s-k+1)$ is holomorphic

$\Lambda(s)$ has simple pole

$p_k(s)$ vanishes

hence $\Lambda_k(s)$ is holom. at $s=1$

At $s=k-1$: $\Lambda(s-k+1)$ has simple pole

$\Lambda(s)$ is holomorphic

$p_k(s)$ vanishes

hence $\Lambda_k(s)$ is holom. at $s=k$

Note that $\deg(p_k) = k/2 \geq 2$ and $p_k(s)$ vanishes at all odd integers $s \in \{1, 3, \dots, k-1\}$.

At $s=0$ $\Lambda_k(s)$ has simple pole since $p_k(0) \neq 0$ and similarly at $s=k$.

$$2.c) L_k(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_{k-1}(n)}{n^s}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Indeed, } \zeta(s) \zeta(s-k+1) &= \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n^s} \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{1}{m^{s-k+1}} \\ &= \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m|N} \frac{m^{k-1}}{N^s} \quad (N=nm) \\ &= \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_{k-1}(N)}{N^s}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Recall } E_k(s) = 1 + c_k \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{k-1}(n) q^n \quad \text{with } c_k = \frac{-2k}{B_k}$$

$$\text{hence } L_k(s) = L\left(\frac{1}{c_k} E_k, s\right).$$

For $k=2$ the function $\Lambda_k(s)$ has poles at $s=0, 1, 2$ since

$$p_k(s) = \frac{s-1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda(s) \Lambda(s-1) \text{ has a pole of order 2 at } s=1$$

Hence $\Lambda_k(s)$ does not correspond to a holomorphic modular form.

3.a) $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ sequence in \mathbb{Q} w/ $a_1 \neq 0$ such that

$\sum a_n$ conv. absolutely. Assume $(a_n)_n$ is multiplicative i.e. $a_{nm} = a_n a_m$ $\forall n, m$ coprime. Show

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^s} = \prod_p \left(1 + q_p + q_{p^2} + \dots\right)$$

We have $a_1 = a_1 \cdot a_1$ hence $a_1 = 1$. Now, given $M \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ put

$$P_M := \{p \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \text{ prime}, p \leq M\}$$

$$I_M := \{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ : \text{all prime divisors of } n \text{ are in } P_M\}$$

The series

$$S_p := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q_{pk} = 1 + q_p + q_{p^2} + \dots$$

conv. abs. Since $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is multiplicative, we have

$$\prod_{p \in P_M} S_p = \sum_{n \in I_M} a_n$$

If q_M is the first prime $> M$, then

$$\left| \sum_{n \in I_M} a_n - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \right| \leq \sum_{n > q_M} a_n \xrightarrow[M \rightarrow \infty]{} 0$$

hence $\prod_p S_p = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$

Moreover, the convergence of the infinite product is absolute.

Absolute convergence of infinite products (Lang "Complex Analysis Chapter XIII")

Let $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ a seq. of non-zero complex numbers

Defn: The infinite product $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n$ converges absolutely if

$$(i) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n = 1$$

$$(ii) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\log(u_n)| \text{ conv. absolutely (i.e. } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\log(u_n)| < \infty)$$

where for $\log(u_n)$ we choose the principal branch of \log

$$(\log(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n} (z-1)^n \text{ if } |z-1| < 1) \text{ provided } |u_n - 1| < 1$$

↑
this holds for n big enough
because of (i)

Rmk: The condition $u_n \neq 0 \forall n$ is made to avoid trivial infinite products, since otherwise $\prod_{n=1}^m u_n = 0 \forall m$ big enough.

Lemma 1: abs. convergence \Rightarrow usual convergence (*i.e.* $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{n=1}^m u_n$ exists)

Proof: $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \log(u_n)$ conv. abs $\Rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \log(u_n)$ converges

$\Rightarrow e^{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \log(u_n)}$ converges

$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{\log(u_n)}$ by continuity
of e^z

$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n$

Lemma 2: $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (u_n - 1)$ conv. abs $\Rightarrow \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n$ conv. absolutely.

Proof: If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (u_n - 1)$ conv. abs then $u_n - 1 \rightarrow 0$

so $u_n \rightarrow 1$. Hence (i) is satisfied.

7

Now, $\exists M \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|u_n - 1| < \frac{1}{2} \forall n \geq M$
 Hence for $n \geq M$

$$\log(u_n) = \log((\dots q_n + 1)) \quad , \quad a_n := u_n - 1, |a_n| < \frac{1}{2}$$

$$= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{m} a_n^m$$

$$\Rightarrow |\log(u_n)| \leq |a_n| \cdot \underbrace{\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} 2^{m-1}}_{C} \leq C |a_n|$$

$$C \leq \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^m < \infty$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{n \geq M} |\log(u_n)| \leq C \sum_{n \geq M} |a_n| = C \cdot \sum_{n \geq M} |u_n - 1| < \infty$$

by hypothesis

Hence (ii) is satisfied //

In our case $\prod_p (1 + q_p + q_{p^2} + \dots)$ converges absolutely

because $\sum_p (q_p + q_{p^2} + \dots)$ converges absolutely

$$\left(\sum_p |q_p + q_{p^2} + \dots| \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n| < \infty \right)$$

hence we can use lemma 2,

3.b) Show that $L_k(s) = \prod_p \left((1 - p^{-s}) (1 - p^{k-1-s}) \right)^{-1}$
 for $s \in \mathbb{C}$ w/ $\operatorname{Re}(s) > k$.

It is enough to prove $\zeta(s) = \prod_p (1 - p^{-s})^{-1}$ for $s \in \mathbb{C}$
 w/ $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$. This follows from 3.a) with $a_n = \frac{1}{n^s}$

$$\zeta(s) = \prod_p \left(1 + \frac{1}{p^s} + \frac{1}{p^{2s}} + \dots \right) = \prod_p \underbrace{\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} (p^{-s})^\ell}_{\frac{1}{1-p^{-s}}} = \prod_p (1 - p^{-s})^{-1}$$

④ $f_1 = \Delta^2, f_2 = \Delta \cdot E_6^2 \in S_{24}$, where

$$\Delta(q) = q - 24q^2 + 252q^3 - 1472q^4 + \dots$$

$$E_6(q) = 1 - 504q - 16632q^2 - 122976q^3 + \dots$$

4.a) Show $S_{24} = \mathbb{C}f_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}f_2$

$$\text{We know } \dim S_{24} = \left[\frac{24}{12} \right] = 2$$

Clearly $f_1(q) = q^2 + \dots, f_2(q) = q + \dots$ so they are lin. indep., hence $S_{24} = \mathbb{C}f_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}f_2$

4.b) Find the matrix of T_2 in the basis $\mathcal{B} = \{f_1, f_2\}$

$$\text{We have } T_2(f_1) = \sum_n c_n q^n, T_2(f_2) = \sum_n d_n q^n$$

$$\text{and } c_n = \sum_{t|(2,n)} t^{23} a_{\frac{2n}{t^2}} \quad \text{if } f_1 = \sum_n a_n q^n$$

$$d_n = \sum_{t|(2n)} t^{23} b_{\frac{2n}{t^2}} \quad \text{if } f_2 = \sum_n b_n q^n$$

$$\text{In particular } c_1 = a_2, c_2 = a_4 + 2^{23} a_1, \\ d_1 = b_2, d_2 = b_4 + 2^{23} b_1$$

One computes

$$f_1 = q^2 - 48q^3 + 1080q^4 + \dots$$

$$f_2 = q - 1032q^2 + 245196q^3 + 10965568q^4 + \dots$$

which gives a_2, a_4, b_2, b_4 . With this:

$$c_1 = 1, c_2 = 1080$$

$$d_1 = -1032, d_2 = 19354176$$

$$\text{Now: } T_2(f_1) = \alpha f_1 + \beta f_2 \Rightarrow \alpha = 2112, \beta = 1$$

$$T_2(f_2) = \gamma f_1 + \delta f_2 \Rightarrow \gamma = -18289152, \delta = -1032$$

$$\Rightarrow [T_2]_{\mathcal{B}} = \begin{pmatrix} 2112 & 18289152 \\ 1 & -1032 \end{pmatrix}$$

normalized

- 4.c) Find a basis of S_{24} of eigenforms for all T_n 's.

The eigenvalues of $[T_2]_{\mathbb{Q}}$ are (put $\omega := \sqrt{144169}$)

$$\lambda_1 = 12(45 + \omega) \text{ with vector } (12(131 + \omega), 1)$$

$$\lambda_2 = 12(45 - \omega) \text{ with vector } (12(131 - \omega), 1)$$

$$\text{hence } F_1 := 12(131 + \omega) f_1 + f_2 = q + 12(45 + \omega) q^2 + \dots$$

$$F_2 := 12(131 - \omega) f_1 + f_2 = q + 12(45 - \omega) q^2 + \dots$$

forms a basis $\{F_1, F_2\}$ of S_{24} of eigenforms for T_2 .

Since they correspond to different eigenvalues, it follows that they are also eigenforms for all T_n 's (since T_n preserves eigenspaces of T_2),