

Solutions Sheet 4

1. a. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-x^2-y^2} dx dy &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-x^2} dx \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-y^2} dy \\ &= \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-x^2} dx \right)^2 \\ &= \left(2 \int_0^{\infty} e^{-x^2} dx \right)^2 \\ &= \left(\int_0^{\infty} e^{-t} t^{-\frac{1}{2}} dt \right)^2 \\ &= \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, using polar coordinates we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-x^2-y^2} dx dy &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-(x^2+y^2)} dx dy \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-r^2} r dr d\theta \\ &= 2\pi \frac{-e^{-r^2}}{2} \Big|_{r=0}^{r=\infty} \\ &= \pi. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that $\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 = \pi$. Since $\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) > 0$ we conclude $\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \sqrt{\pi}$.

b. For $s_1, s_2 \in H$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(s_1)\Gamma(s_2) &= \int_0^{\infty} e^{-x} x^{s_1-1} dx \int_0^{\infty} e^{-y} y^{s_2-1} dy \\ &= \int_{]0, \infty[^2} e^{-x-y} x^{s_1-1} y^{s_2-1} dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

Using the change of variables $]0, \infty[\times]0, 1[\rightarrow]0, \infty[^2, (u, v) \mapsto (uv, u(1-v))$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(s_1)\Gamma(s_2) &= \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^1 u e^{-u} u^{s_1+s_2-2} v^{s_1-1} (1-v)^{s_2-1} du dv \\ &= \Gamma(s_1 + s_2) \int_0^1 v^{s_1-1} (1-v)^{s_2-1} dv. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude

$$B(s_1, s_2) = \int_0^1 v^{s_1-1} (1-v)^{s_2-1} dv.$$

c. Let $s \in H$. Using the change of variables $v = \frac{1+x}{2}$ and $t = x^2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
B(s, s) &= \int_0^1 v^{s-1}(1-v)^{s-1} dv \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 \left(\frac{1+x}{2}\right)^{s-1} \left(\frac{1-x}{2}\right)^{s-1} dx \\
&= 2^{1-2s} \int_{-1}^1 (1-x^2)^{s-1} dx \\
&= 2^{2-2s} \int_0^1 (1-x^2)^{s-1} dx \\
&= 2^{1-2s} \int_0^1 t^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1-t)^{s-1} dt \\
&= 2^{1-2s} B\left(\frac{1}{2}, s\right).
\end{aligned}$$

We conclude

$$\frac{\Gamma(s)\Gamma(s)}{\Gamma(2s)} = 2^{1-2s} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\Gamma(s)}{\Gamma\left(s + \frac{1}{2}\right)}.$$

Using $\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \sqrt{\pi}$ we get

$$\Gamma(s)\Gamma\left(s + \frac{1}{2}\right) = 2^{1-2s} \sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(2s). \quad (1)$$

2. a. By the duplication formula (1) with s replaced by $\frac{s}{2}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda_k(s) &= (2\pi)^{-s} \Gamma(s) \zeta(s) \zeta(s-k+1) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \pi^{-s-\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{s+1}{2}\right) \zeta(s) \zeta(s-k+1).
\end{aligned}$$

Put $\Lambda(s) := \pi^{-\frac{s}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \zeta(s)$. Then

$$\Lambda_k(s) = \frac{1}{2\pi^{\frac{k}{2}}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{s+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{s-k+1}{2}\right)} \Lambda(s) \Lambda(s-k+1).$$

Since k is even, by repeated use of the identity $\Gamma(s+1) = s\Gamma(s)$ we get

$$\Gamma\left(\frac{s+1}{2}\right) = \Gamma\left(\frac{s-k+1}{2} + \frac{k}{2}\right) = \Gamma\left(\frac{s-k+1}{2}\right) \prod_{n=0}^{\frac{k}{2}-1} \left(\frac{s-k+1}{2} + n\right).$$

Thus

$$\Lambda_k(s) = \frac{1}{2\pi^{\frac{k}{2}}} \Lambda(s) \Lambda(s-k+1) \prod_{n=0}^{\frac{k}{2}-1} \left(\frac{s-k+1}{2} + n\right).$$

In Lecture 10 we learned that $\Lambda(s)$ has meromorphic continuation to \mathbb{C} satisfying the functional equation $\Lambda(1-s) = \Lambda(s)$. It follows that $\Lambda_k(s)$ has meromorphic continuation

to \mathbb{C} and

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda_k(k-s) &= \frac{1}{2\pi^{\frac{k}{2}}} \Lambda(k-s) \Lambda(1-s) \prod_{n=0}^{\frac{k}{2}-1} \left(\frac{1-s}{2} + n \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi^{\frac{k}{2}}} \Lambda(1-(k-s)) \Lambda(1-(1-s)) \prod_{n=0}^{\frac{k}{2}-1} \left(\frac{1-s}{2} + n \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi^{\frac{k}{2}}} \Lambda(s-k+1) \Lambda(s) \prod_{n=0}^{\frac{k}{2}-1} \left(\frac{1-s}{2} + \left(\frac{k}{2} - 1 - n \right) \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi^{\frac{k}{2}}} \Lambda(s-k+1) \Lambda(s) \prod_{n=0}^{\frac{k}{2}-1} \left(\frac{k-1-s}{2} - n \right) \\
&= (-1)^{\frac{k}{2}} \frac{1}{2\pi^{\frac{k}{2}}} \Lambda(s-k+1) \Lambda(s) \prod_{n=0}^{\frac{k}{2}-1} \left(\frac{s-k+1}{2} + n \right) \\
&= (-1)^{\frac{k}{2}} \Lambda_k(s).
\end{aligned}$$

- b. We know that $\Lambda(s)$ has simple poles at $s = 0$ and $s = 1$, and it is holomorphic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$. It follows that $\Lambda_k(s)$ is holomorphic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1, k-1, k\}$. Around $s = 1$ the product $\Lambda(s)\Lambda(s-k+1)$ has a simple pole but the factor $\left(\frac{s-k+1}{2} + n\right)$ with $n = \frac{k}{2} - 1$ vanishes at $s = 1$, hence $\Lambda_k(s)$ is holomorphic at $s = 1$. Similarly, around $s = k-1$ the product $\Lambda(s)\Lambda(s-k+1)$ has a simple pole but the factor $\left(\frac{s-k+1}{2} + n\right)$ with $n = 0$ vanishes at $s = k-1$, hence $\Lambda_k(s)$ is holomorphic at $s = k-1$. This proves that $\Lambda_k(s)$ is holomorphic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, k\}$ with simple poles at $s = 0$ and $s = k$.
- c. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
L_k(s) &= \zeta(s)\zeta(s-k+1) \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^{s-k+1}} \\
&= \sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty} \frac{m^{k-1}}{(nm)^s} \\
&= \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_{k-1}(N)}{N^s}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $k \geq 4$, we can consider the modular form

$$\left(-\frac{B_k}{2k}\right) E_k(\tau) = -\frac{B_k}{2k} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{k-1}(n) q^n,$$

where $q = e^{2\pi i\tau}$, $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$. Then, $\Lambda_k(s)$ is the L -function associated to this modular form. In the case $k = 2$ the function $\Lambda_k(s)$ is not the L -function of a modular form of weight 2, since $M_2 = \{0\}$. Note that $\Lambda_2(s) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \Lambda(s)\Lambda(s-1) \left(\frac{s-1}{2}\right)$ has simple poles at $s = 0, 1, 2$, hence it does not satisfy the analytic properties of a modular L -function.

3. a. Note that by multiplicativity we have $a_1 = a_1 a_1$, hence $a_1 = 1$. Now, given a positive integer M define

$$P_M := \{p \in \mathbb{Z}^+ : p \text{ prime}, p \leq M\},$$

and

$$I_M := \{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ : \text{all prime divisors of } n \text{ are in } P_M\}.$$

Since the series $\sum a_n$ converges absolutely, the series

$$S_p := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{p^k} = 1 + a_p + a_{p^2} + \dots$$

also converges absolutely. Now, since $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is multiplicative, for any $M \geq 2$ we have

$$\prod_{p \in P_M} S_p = \sum_{n \in I_M} a_n.$$

If we define q_M as the first prime number with $q_M > M$ (which is also the first positive integer larger than M that has at least one prime divisor not in P_M), then

$$\left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n - \sum_{n \in I_M} a_n \right| \leq \sum_{n \geq q_M} |a_n| \xrightarrow{M \rightarrow \infty} 0, \text{ since } q_M \rightarrow \infty.$$

This implies

$$\prod_p (1 + a_p + a_{p^2} + \dots) = \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{p \in P_M} S_p = \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n \in I_M} a_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n,$$

as desired. Finally, we note that the infinite product

$$\prod_p (1 + a_p + a_{p^2} + \dots)$$

converges absolutely since

$$\sum_p |a_p + a_{p^2} + \dots| \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n| < \infty$$

(see *Complex Analysis* of S. Lang (Springer 1999), chapter XIII, Lemma 1.1).

- b. For $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$ put $a_n(s) := n^{-s}$. Clearly, $(a_n(s))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is multiplicative with $a_1(s) = 1$. Since the series $\zeta(s) = \sum a_n(s)$ converges absolutely, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(s) &= \prod_p (1 + a_p(s) + a_{p^2}(s) + \dots) \\ &= \prod_p (1 + p^{-s} + p^{-2s} + \dots) \\ &= \prod_p (1 - p^{-s})^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last equality one uses $1 + r + r^2 + \dots = (1 - r)^{-1}$ for $r \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|r| < 1$, choosing $r = p^{-s}$.

For $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re}(s) > k$ we get

$$\zeta(s - k + 1) = \prod_p (1 - p^{k-1-s})^{-1}$$

hence

$$L_k(s) = \prod_p \left((1 - p^{-s})(1 - p^{k-1-s}) \right)^{-1}.$$

4. a. By the dimension formulas from Lecture 6 we know that S_{24} has dimension 2, so it is enough to prove that f_1 and f_2 are linearly independent. But $\frac{f_1}{f_2} = \frac{\Delta}{E_6^2}$ is not constant since Δ is cuspidal and E_6^2 is not cuspidal. Hence, f_1, f_2 are linearly independent.
- b. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\tau) &= q - 24q^2 + 252q^3 - 1472q^4 + \dots, \\ E_6(\tau) &= 1 - 504q - 16632q^2 - 122976q^3 + \dots, \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(\tau) &= q^2 - 48q^3 + 1080q^4 + \dots, \\ f_2(\tau) &= q - 1032q^2 + 245196q^3 + 10965568q^4 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

If we write $f_1 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n q^n$, $f_2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n q^n$, $T_2(f_1) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n q^n$ and $T_2(f_2) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n q^n$ then

$$\begin{aligned} c_n &= \sum_{t|(2,n)} t^{23} a \left(\frac{2n}{t^2} \right), \\ d_n &= \sum_{t|(2,n)} t^{23} b \left(\frac{2n}{t^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

In particular

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 &= a_2 = 1, \\ c_2 &= a_4 + 2^{23}a_1 = 1080, \\ d_1 &= b_2 = -1032, \\ d_2 &= b_4 + 2^{23}b_1 = 10965568 + 2^{23} = 19354176. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} T_2(f_1) &= q + 1080q^2 + \dots = f_2 + 2112f_1, \\ T_2(f_2) &= -1032q + 19354176q^2 + \dots = -1032f_2 + 18289152f_1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the matrix of T_2 in the basis $\{f_1, f_2\}$ is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2112 & 18289152 \\ 1 & -1032 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- c. The eigenvector of the above matrix are $(12(131 + \sqrt{144169}), 1)$ and $(12(131 - \sqrt{144169}), 1)$, with eigenvalues $\lambda_1 = 12(45 + \sqrt{144169})$ and $\lambda_2 = 12(45 - \sqrt{144169})$, respectively. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} F_1 &= 12(131 + \sqrt{144169})f_1 + f_2 = q + 12(45 + \sqrt{144169})q^2 + \dots, \\ F_2 &= 12(131 - \sqrt{144169})f_1 + f_2 = q + 12(45 - \sqrt{144169})q^2 + \dots, \end{aligned}$$

form a basis for S_{24} consisting of normalized eigenforms for T_2 . Since all the other Hecke operators commute with T_2 and F_1, F_2 are in eigenspaces for T_2 of dimension one, it follows that they are also eigenforms for all the other Hecke operators.