

Solutions Sheet 5

1. By definition (see Lecture 4) we have  $E_k = \frac{1}{2\zeta(k)}G_k$  where

$$G_k(z) = \sum_{\substack{m,n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ (m,n) \neq (0,0)}} \frac{1}{(mz+n)^k}.$$

Writing  $(m,n) = t(c,d)$  with  $t := \text{g.c.d.}(m,n)$  and  $c,d \in \mathbb{Z}$  coprimes, we have

$$G_k(z) = \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t^k} \sum_{\substack{c,d \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \text{g.c.d.}(c,d)=1}} \frac{1}{(cz+d)^k} = \zeta(k) \sum_{\substack{c,d \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \text{g.c.d.}(c,d)=1}} \frac{1}{(cz+d)^k},$$

hence

$$E_k(z) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{c,d \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \text{g.c.d.}(c,d)=1}} \frac{1}{(cz+d)^k}. \tag{1}$$

Now, define  $P = \{(c,d) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : \text{g.c.d.}(c,d) = 1\}$  and consider the equivalence relation in  $P$  given by

$$(c,d) \sim (c',d') \Leftrightarrow \left( (c,d) = (c',d') \text{ or } (c,d) = -(c',d') \right).$$

Then the map  $\Gamma_\infty \backslash \Gamma \rightarrow P / \sim$  defined by

$$\Gamma_\infty \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \mapsto (c,d)$$

is a bijection. This implies

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty \backslash \Gamma} 1|_k \gamma &= \sum_{(c,d) \in P / \sim} 1|_k \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \sum_{(c,d) \in P / \sim} (cz+d)^{-k} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{(c,d) \in P} (cz+d)^{-k}. \end{aligned}$$

By (1) this equals  $E_k$  as claimed.

Now, let  $F$  be a fundamental domain for  $\Gamma$ . Given  $g \in S_k$  we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \langle E_k, g \rangle &= \int_F E_k(z) \overline{g(z)} y^k d\mu(z) \\ &= \int_F \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty \backslash \Gamma} 1|_k \overline{\gamma g(z)} y^k d\mu(z) \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty \backslash \Gamma} \int_F \overline{g(\gamma z)} \text{Im}(\gamma z)^k d\mu(z) \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty \backslash \Gamma} \int_{\gamma F} \overline{g(z)} \text{Im}(z)^k d\mu(z) \\ &= \int_{F_\infty} \overline{g(z)} \text{Im}(z)^k d\mu(z) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$F_\infty := \bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty \backslash \Gamma} \gamma F.$$

Note that  $F_\infty$  is a fundamental domain for  $\Gamma_\infty$  (see Exercise Class 1). Since the integral above is independent of the choice of  $F_\infty$  we can take  $F_\infty = [0, 1] \times ]0, \infty[$ . Writing

$$g(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e^{2\pi i n z}$$

we get

$$\langle E_k, g \rangle = \int_0^\infty \int_0^1 \overline{g(z)} y^{k-2} dx dy = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{a_n} \int_0^\infty y^{k-2} e^{-2\pi n y} \int_0^1 e^{-2\pi i n x} dx dy.$$

But

$$\int_0^1 e^{-2\pi i n x} dx = \frac{e^{-2\pi i n x}}{-2\pi i n} \Big|_{x=0}^{x=1} = 0 \text{ for all } n \neq 0.$$

This implies  $\langle E_k, g \rangle = 0$ .

2. On the one hand, since  $P_n \in S_k$  for every  $n \geq 1$ , we have  $\langle E_k, P_n \rangle = 0$  by 1. On the other hand, the  $n$ -th Fourier coefficient of  $E_k$  is

$$a_n = -\frac{2k}{B_k} \sigma_{k-1}(n).$$

Since  $\sigma_{k-1}(n) \geq 1$  for all  $n$ , we have  $a_n \neq 0$ . This implies that the formula is not valid.

**Added comment:** One can check that the proof of Theorem 5.4 in Lecture 11 is not valid when  $f = E_k$  due to convergence issues (see Exercise Class 5).

3. a. By definition of congruence subgroup we have  $\Gamma(N_1) \subseteq \Gamma'$  and  $\Gamma(N_2) \subseteq \Gamma''$  for some pair of positive integers  $N_1, N_2$ , where  $\Gamma(N)$  denotes the principal congruence subgroup of level  $N$  (see Lecture 4). Thus, we have

$$\Gamma' \cap \Gamma'' \supseteq \Gamma(N_1) \cap \Gamma(N_2) \supseteq \Gamma(N_1 N_2).$$

It follows that  $\Gamma' \cap \Gamma''$  is also a congruence subgroup. Hence, in order to prove that

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{\Gamma''} = \langle f, g \rangle_{\Gamma'} \text{ for all } f, g \in S_k(\Gamma') \cap S_k(\Gamma''),$$

we can assume  $\Gamma'' \subseteq \Gamma'$  (by replacing  $\Gamma''$  by  $\Gamma' \cap \Gamma''$  if necessary). Now, let  $F'$  be a fundamental domain for  $\Gamma'$  and denote by  $\gamma \mapsto \bar{\gamma}$  the natural projection  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ . If  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m$  are elements of  $\Gamma'$  such that

$$\bar{\Gamma}' = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^m \bar{\Gamma}'' \bar{\gamma}_i,$$

then

$$\mu(\gamma_i F' \cap \gamma_j F') = 0 \text{ for all } i, j \in \{1, \dots, m\} \text{ with } i \neq j,$$

and

$$F'' := \bigcup_{i=1}^m \gamma_i F'$$

is a fundamental domain for  $\Gamma''$ . Hence, for  $f, g \in S_k(\Gamma'')$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, g \rangle_{\Gamma''} &= \frac{1}{[\bar{\Gamma} : \bar{\Gamma}'']} \int_{\Gamma'' \backslash \mathbb{H}} f(z) \overline{g(z)} \text{Im}(z)^k d\mu(z) \\ &= \frac{1}{[\bar{\Gamma} : \bar{\Gamma}'] [\bar{\Gamma}' : \bar{\Gamma}'']} \int_{F''} f(z) \overline{g(z)} \text{Im}(z)^k d\mu(z) \\ &= \frac{1}{[\bar{\Gamma} : \bar{\Gamma}'] m} \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{\gamma_i F'} f(z) \overline{g(z)} \text{Im}(z)^k d\mu(z) \\ &= \frac{1}{[\bar{\Gamma} : \bar{\Gamma}'] m} \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{F'} f(z) \overline{g(z)} \text{Im}(z)^k d\mu(z), \end{aligned}$$

since the integrand is  $\Gamma'$  invariant. Thus

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{\Gamma''} = \frac{1}{[\bar{\Gamma} : \bar{\Gamma}']} \int_{F'} f(z) \overline{g(z)} \text{Im}(z)^k d\mu(z) = \langle f, g \rangle_{\Gamma'}.$$

- b. Let us write  $\alpha = \frac{1}{m}\alpha'$  where  $m \geq 1$  is an integer and  $\alpha' \in M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{Z})$ . Then  $\alpha^{-1}\Gamma\alpha = (\alpha')^{-1}\Gamma\alpha'$ , so we can assume that  $\alpha$  has integer coefficients. Now, let  $D$  be the determinant of  $\alpha$ . Then  $D$  is a positive integer and  $\alpha\Gamma(D)\alpha^{-1} \subseteq \Gamma$ . Indeed, a matrix in  $\Gamma(D)$  is of the form  $\gamma = I + DM$  where  $I = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $M \in M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{Z})$ , so

$$\alpha\gamma\alpha^{-1} = I + \alpha M(D\alpha^{-1}) \in M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{Z}).$$

Since  $\det(\alpha\gamma\alpha^{-1}) = \det(\gamma) = 1$ , we have  $\alpha\Gamma(D)\alpha^{-1} \subseteq \Gamma$ .

It follows that  $\Gamma' \supseteq \Gamma(D)$ , thus  $\Gamma'$  is a congruence subgroup of  $\Gamma$ .

- c. It is clear that  $f|_k\alpha, g|_k\alpha \in S_k(\Gamma')$ . Now, let  $F'$  be a fundamental domain for  $\Gamma'$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f|_k\alpha, g|_k\alpha \rangle_{\Gamma'} &= \frac{1}{[\overline{\Gamma} : \overline{\Gamma'}]} \int_{F'} f|_k\alpha(z) \overline{g|_k\alpha(z)} \operatorname{Im}(z)^k d\mu(z) \\ &= \frac{1}{[\overline{\Gamma} : \overline{\Gamma'}]} \int_{F'} f(\alpha z) \overline{g(\alpha z)} \operatorname{Im}(\alpha z)^k d\mu(z) \\ &= \frac{1}{[\overline{\Gamma} : \overline{\Gamma'}]} \int_{\alpha F'} f(z) \overline{g(z)} \operatorname{Im}(z)^k d\mu(z). \end{aligned}$$

The set  $\alpha F'$  is a fundamental domain for  $\Gamma'' := \alpha\Gamma'\alpha^{-1} = \alpha\Gamma\alpha^{-1} \cap \Gamma$ , hence by a. we have

$$\langle f|_k\alpha, g|_k\alpha \rangle_{\Gamma'} = \frac{[\overline{\Gamma} : \overline{\Gamma''}]}{[\overline{\Gamma} : \overline{\Gamma'}]} \langle f, g \rangle_{\Gamma''} = \frac{[\overline{\Gamma} : \overline{\Gamma''}]}{[\overline{\Gamma} : \overline{\Gamma'}]} \langle f, g \rangle_{\Gamma}.$$

Now, for fundamental domains  $F, F'$  and  $F''$  for  $\Gamma, \Gamma'$  and  $\Gamma''$ , respectively, we have

$$\mu(F'') = \mu(F)[\overline{\Gamma} : \overline{\Gamma''}], \quad \mu(F') = \mu(F)[\overline{\Gamma} : \overline{\Gamma'}].$$

Since we can take  $F'' = \alpha F'$ , and  $\mu(F') = \mu(\alpha F')$ , we get  $[\overline{\Gamma} : \overline{\Gamma'}] = [\overline{\Gamma} : \overline{\Gamma''}]$ . We conclude  $\langle f|_k\alpha, g|_k\alpha \rangle_{\Gamma'} = \langle f, g \rangle_{\Gamma}$ , as desired.

**Added comment:** We showed above, comparing measures of fundamental domains, that for any  $\alpha \in \operatorname{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{Q})$  we have  $[\overline{\Gamma} : \overline{\Gamma'}] = [\overline{\Gamma} : \overline{\Gamma''}]$  where  $\Gamma' = \Gamma \cap \alpha^{-1}\Gamma\alpha$  and  $\Gamma'' = \Gamma \cap \alpha\Gamma\alpha^{-1}$ . This is equivalent to the equality  $[\Gamma : \Gamma'] = [\Gamma : \Gamma'']$ , which in turn can be proved using properties of double cosets. Indeed, first note that the map  $\gamma \mapsto \gamma\alpha^{-1}$  induces a bijection  $\Gamma \backslash \Gamma\alpha\Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma \backslash (\Gamma\alpha\Gamma\alpha^{-1})$ , hence

$$\begin{aligned} \#\Gamma \backslash \Gamma\alpha\Gamma &= \#\Gamma \backslash (\Gamma\alpha\Gamma\alpha^{-1}) \\ &= \#\Gamma \backslash (\Gamma \cdot \alpha\Gamma\alpha^{-1}) \\ &= \#(\Gamma \cap \alpha\Gamma\alpha^{-1}) \backslash \alpha\Gamma\alpha^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the canonical bijection  $H \backslash HK \rightarrow (H \cap K) \backslash K$  valid for any pair of subgroups  $H, K$  in some group  $G$ . Conjugating by  $\alpha$  we get

$$\#(\Gamma \cap \alpha\Gamma\alpha^{-1}) \backslash \alpha\Gamma\alpha^{-1} = \#(\Gamma \cap \alpha^{-1}\Gamma\alpha) \backslash \Gamma,$$

hence

$$\#(\Gamma \backslash \Gamma\alpha\Gamma) = [\Gamma : \Gamma \cap \alpha^{-1}\Gamma\alpha].$$

Similarly

$$\#(\Gamma\alpha\Gamma/\Gamma) = [\Gamma : \Gamma \cap \alpha\Gamma\alpha^{-1}].$$

Now, by Proposition 1.4.3 in Bump's book *Automorphic Forms and Representations* we have  $\Gamma\alpha\Gamma = \Gamma\alpha^t\Gamma$ , hence the map  $\gamma \mapsto \gamma^t$  induces a bijection  $\Gamma \backslash \Gamma\alpha\Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma\alpha\Gamma/\Gamma$  and we get

$$[\Gamma : \Gamma \cap \alpha^{-1}\Gamma\alpha] = [\Gamma : \Gamma \cap \alpha\Gamma\alpha^{-1}]$$

as desired.

- d. Given  $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q})$  put  $\alpha' := \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix} = \operatorname{del}(\alpha)\alpha^{-1}$ . Then

$f|_k(\alpha^{-1}) = f|_k\alpha'$  for any function  $f : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . It follows from c., by replacing  $g$  by  $g|_k(\alpha^{-1})$ , that

$$\langle f|_k\alpha, g \rangle_G = \langle f, g|_k\alpha' \rangle_G, \quad (2)$$

where  $G$  is any congruence subgroup of  $\Gamma$  contained in  $\alpha^{-1}\Gamma\alpha \cap (\alpha')^{-1}\Gamma\alpha'$ . Now, by definition we have

$$T_n(f) = n^{\frac{k}{2}-1} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{R}(n)} f|_k\gamma$$

where  $\mathcal{R}(n)$  is any set of representatives for  $\Gamma \backslash M(n)$  with  $M(n)$  the set of all 2 by 2 matrices with integer coefficients and determinant  $n$ . We can take

$$\mathcal{R}(n) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \in M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{Z}) : ad = n, a > 0, b \in \mathbb{Z}_d \right\}$$

where  $\mathbb{Z}_d$  is any set of representatives for  $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ . Now, by (2) we have, for every  $f, g \in S_k(\Gamma)$  the equalities

$$\langle T_n(f), g \rangle_\Gamma = \langle T_n(f), g \rangle_G = \left\langle f, n^{\frac{k}{2}-1} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{R}(n)} g|_k \gamma' \right\rangle_G$$

where  $G$  is any congruence subgroup of  $\Gamma$  contained in the intersection of all the groups  $\gamma^{-1}\Gamma\gamma \cap (\gamma')^{-1}\Gamma\gamma'$  with  $\gamma$  in a set of representatives  $\mathcal{R}(n)$ . But the set  $\{\gamma' : \gamma \in \mathcal{R}(n)\}$  is

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \in M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{Z}) : ad = n, a > 0, b \in \mathbb{Z}_d \right\},$$

which is just another set of representatives for  $\Gamma \backslash M(n)$ , thus

$$\langle T_n(f), g \rangle_\Gamma = \langle f, T_n(g) \rangle_G = \langle f, T_n(g) \rangle_\Gamma.$$

This proves that  $T_n$  is self-adjoint.

4. a. Since  $1 \leq j \leq d$  we have that  $f_j$  is holomorphic in  $\mathbb{H}$ . The weight of  $f_j$  is

$$12j + 6(2(d-j) + b) + 4a = 12d + 6b + 4a.$$

If  $k \not\equiv 2 \pmod{12}$ , then  $d = \lfloor \frac{k}{12} \rfloor$  and  $4a + 6b \in \{0, 4, 6, 8, 10\}$ , thus  $k = 12t + r$  with  $r := 4a + 6b$  and  $t \geq 0$  an integer. It follows that  $d = t$  and by the above computation the weight of  $f_j$  is  $k$ . If  $k \equiv 2 \pmod{12}$ , then  $d = \lfloor \frac{k}{12} \rfloor - 1$  and  $4a + 6b = 14$ , thus  $k = 12t + r$  with  $r := 2$  and  $t \geq 0$  some integer. Hence  $d = t - 1$ ,  $r = 4a + 6b - 12$  and by the above computation the weight of  $f_j$  is  $k$ . This proves that  $f_j \in M_k$ .

Since  $f_j \in \Delta M_{k-12}$  it follows that  $f_j$  is cuspidal. The statements on the Fourier coefficients  $a_n^{(j)}$  follow from the fact that  $\Delta, E_4$  and  $E_6$  have integer Fourier coefficients with first terms  $q, 1$  and  $1$ , respectively.

- b. The forms in  $\{f_1, \dots, f_d\}$  are clearly linearly independent. Since it contains  $d = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(S_k)$  forms, it is a basis of  $S_k$ .
- c. If  $g \in S_k$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear combination of  $\{f_1, \dots, f_d\}$ , then it has integral Fourier coefficients. Conversely, if  $g$  has Fourier coefficients  $c_n$  ( $n \geq 1$ ), then

$$g = \sum_{i=1}^d \alpha_i f_i,$$

where the coefficients  $\alpha_i$  satisfy the recurrence formula

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 &= c_1, \\ \alpha_i + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \alpha_j a_i^{(j)} &= c_i \text{ for } i \in \{2, \dots, d\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the coefficients  $c_n$  are integers, it follows by recursion that  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d \in \mathbb{Z}$  as desired.