

Solution Exercise Sheet 4

Exercise 1 (Theorem II.27 - Decomposition of OSLA). Let (\mathfrak{g}, θ) be an effective orthogonal symmetric Lie-algebra. We have the Cartan decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{u} \oplus \mathfrak{i}$. We decomposed $\mathfrak{i} = \mathfrak{i}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{i}_+ \oplus \mathfrak{i}_-$ and defined $\mathfrak{u}_+ = [\mathfrak{i}_+, \mathfrak{i}_+]$ and $\mathfrak{u}_- = [\mathfrak{i}_-, \mathfrak{i}_-]$. \mathfrak{u}_0 is defined to be the orthogonal complement of $\mathfrak{u}_+ \oplus \mathfrak{u}_-$ in \mathfrak{u} .

- (a) Find an OSLA (\mathfrak{g}, θ) , such that $\mathfrak{i}_0 = 0$, but $\mathfrak{u}_0 \neq 0$.

Solution. The idea is to have a large \mathfrak{u} and a small \mathfrak{i} . This means that θ should fix lots of points. For example one can take $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathfrak{so}(3)$ and define $\theta = \theta_{\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})} \times \text{Id}_{\mathfrak{so}(3)}$, where $\theta_{\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})} = D_e \sigma$ (for $\sigma(g) = {}^t g^{-1}$) is the usual Cartan-involution on $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$. Then $\mathfrak{u} = E_1 \theta = \mathfrak{k} \times \mathfrak{so}(3)$ and $\mathfrak{i} = E_{-1} \theta = \mathfrak{p} \times 0$, where $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ is the Cartan-decomposition of $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$.

We need to check that (\mathfrak{g}, θ) is an orthogonal symmetric Lie-algebra (OSLA): θ is an involutive automorphism since $\theta_{\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})}$ and $\text{Id}_{\mathfrak{so}(3)}$ are and we also have $\theta \neq \text{Id}_{\mathfrak{g}}$. The definition of OSLA requires \mathfrak{u} to be compactly-embedded in \mathfrak{g} , i.e. $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{u})$ is the Lie-Algebra of a compact subgroup of $\text{GL}(\mathfrak{g})$. This is true since $\mathfrak{k} \times \mathfrak{so}(3)$ is the lie algebra of the compact group $\text{SO}(2) \times \text{SO}(3) < \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \text{SO}(3) < \text{GL}(\mathfrak{g})$. Note that we were forced to take the Lie-algebra of a compact group as the second factor ($\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ would not have worked, but $\mathfrak{so}(3) \times \mathfrak{so}(3)$ would have).

Now one can calculate the Killing form

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} -8 & 0 & 0 & & & \\ 0 & 8 & 0 & & & \\ 0 & 0 & 8 & & & \\ & & & -2 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & 0 & -2 & 0 \\ & & & 0 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$$

in the basis

$$e_1 = \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, 0 \right), e_2 = \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, 0 \right), e_3 = \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, 0 \right)$$

$$e_4 = \left(0, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right), e_5 = \left(0, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right), e_6 = \left(0, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \times 0 \oplus \mathfrak{p} \times 0 \oplus 0 \times \mathfrak{so}(3) = \langle e_1 \rangle \oplus \langle e_2, e_3 \rangle \oplus \langle e_4, e_5, e_6 \rangle$. The first factor (= Killing form of $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$) can be quite quickly computed by hand. As for the second factor (= Killing form of $\mathfrak{so}(3)$) one can notice that $\mathfrak{so}(3)$ is the Lie algebra of a compact semisimple group and hence its Killing form is negative definite.

Since $\mathfrak{i} = \mathfrak{p} \times 0 = \langle e_2, e_3 \rangle \times 0$, we see that (cfr. definition of $\mathfrak{i}_0, \mathfrak{i}_+, \mathfrak{i}_-$ in the proof of Theorem II.27)

$$\mathfrak{i}_0 = 0 \times 0, \quad \mathfrak{i}_- = \mathfrak{p} \times 0, \quad \mathfrak{i}_+ = 0 \times 0.$$

Therefore

$$\mathfrak{u}_- = [\mathfrak{i}_-, \mathfrak{i}_-] = \mathfrak{k} \times 0, \quad \mathfrak{u}_+ = [\mathfrak{i}_+, \mathfrak{i}_+] = 0.$$

Now $\mathfrak{u}_+ := [\mathfrak{i}_+, \mathfrak{i}_+] = 0$ and $\mathfrak{u}_- := [\mathfrak{i}_-, \mathfrak{i}_-] = \mathfrak{k} \times 0$. The remaining orthogonal complement in \mathfrak{u} is $\mathfrak{u}_0 = 0 \times \mathfrak{so}(3) \neq 0$. So we have found a OSLA with $\mathfrak{i}_0 = 0$ and $\mathfrak{u}_0 \neq 0$.

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{u}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{u}_- \oplus \mathfrak{i}_-$ is of non-compact type.

- (b) Let $\mathfrak{n} \triangleleft \mathfrak{g}$ be an ideal of a Lie-algebra \mathfrak{g} . Prove that $B_{\mathfrak{n}} = B_{\mathfrak{g}}|_{\mathfrak{n} \times \mathfrak{n}}$.

Solution. Let us write a basis $e_1, \dots, e_n, e_{n+1}, \dots, e_m$ of \mathfrak{g} , where e_1, \dots, e_n is a basis of \mathfrak{n} . Since \mathfrak{n} is an ideal, for $X \in \mathfrak{n}, Z \in \mathfrak{g}$, we have $[X, Z] \in \mathfrak{n}$. Therefore $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}(X)$ is of the form

$$\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}(X) = \begin{pmatrix} \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{n}}(X) & * \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and so for $X, Y \in \mathfrak{n}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} B_{\mathfrak{g}}(X, Y) &= \text{tr}(\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}(X) \circ \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}(Y)) \\ &= \text{tr} \begin{pmatrix} \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{n}}(X) \circ \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{n}}(Y) & * \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \text{tr}(\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{n}}(X) \circ \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{n}}(Y)) \\ &= B_{\mathfrak{n}}(X, Y). \end{aligned}$$

- (c) Find an example of a subalgebra $\mathfrak{n} \subset \mathfrak{g}$, such that $B_{\mathfrak{n}} \neq B_{\mathfrak{g}}|_{\mathfrak{n} \times \mathfrak{n}}$.

Solution. We consider the Cartan-decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ and set $\mathfrak{n} := \mathfrak{k}$. We know that \mathfrak{k} is a subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} . Taking the basis

$$e_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, e_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, e_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

we get

$$\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}(e_1) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

(for a derivation see the third exercise class). Let $X = \lambda_1 \cdot e_1, Y = \lambda_2 \cdot e_1 \in \mathfrak{n} = \langle e_1 \rangle$. Then

$$B_{\mathfrak{g}}(X, Y) = \text{tr}(\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}(X) \circ \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}(X)) = \lambda_1 \cdot \lambda_2 \cdot \text{tr} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -4 \end{pmatrix} = -8 \cdot \lambda_1 \cdot \lambda_2,$$

but

$$B_{\mathfrak{n}}(X, Y) = \text{tr}(\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{n}}(X) \circ \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{n}}(X)) = \text{tr}(0 \cdot 0) = 0$$

since $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{n}}(X) = 0$ because $[e_1, e_1] = 0$.

- (d) Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2$ a direct sum of two ideals \mathfrak{g}_1 and \mathfrak{g}_2 . Further let \mathfrak{k}_1 and \mathfrak{k}_2 be subalgebras of \mathfrak{g}_1 and \mathfrak{g}_2 . Show that $\mathfrak{k}_1 + \mathfrak{k}_2$ is compactly embedded in \mathfrak{g} if and only if \mathfrak{k}_1 and \mathfrak{k}_2 is compactly embedded in \mathfrak{g}_1 and \mathfrak{g}_2 .

This implies that $\mathfrak{u}_0, \mathfrak{u}_-, \mathfrak{u}_+$ are compactly embedded in $\mathfrak{g}_0, \mathfrak{g}_-$ and \mathfrak{g}_+ .

Hint: For connected G and $K < G$, there is an isomorphism

$$K/(K \cap Z(G)) \cong \text{Ad}_G(K)$$

(compare Ex Sheet 2, exercise 5(b)). Use $\text{Lie}(\text{Ad}_G(K)) = \text{ad}_{\text{Lie}(G)}(\text{Lie}(K))$.

Solution. By Lie's third theorem, there exist connected, (and simply connected) Lie groups G_1 and G_2 with $\text{Lie}(G_1) = \mathfrak{g}_1$ and $\text{Lie}(G_2) = \mathfrak{g}_2$. The Lie group $G := G_1 \times G_2$ satisfies $\text{Lie}(G) = \mathfrak{g}_1 \times \mathfrak{g}_2$. Since \mathfrak{k}_1 and \mathfrak{k}_2 are Lie-subalgebras, there exist K_1 and K_2 Lie-subgroups of G_1 and G_2 with $\text{Lie}(K_1) = \mathfrak{k}_1$ and $\text{Lie}(K_2) = \mathfrak{k}_2$. We also have $K := K_1 \times K_2$ with $\text{Lie}(K) = \mathfrak{k}_1 \times \mathfrak{k}_2$.

Now we have the center $Z(G) = Z(G_1) \times Z(G_2)$ and

$$Z(G) \cap K = (Z(G_1) \times Z(G_2)) \cap (K_1 \times K_2) = (Z(G_1) \cap K_1) \times (Z(G_2) \cap K_2),$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ad}_G(K) &= K/(Z(G) \cap K) \\ &= (K_1 \times K_2)/(Z(G_1) \cap K_1 \times Z(G_2) \cap K_2) \\ &= K_1/(Z(G_1) \cap K_1) \times K_2/(Z(G_2) \cap K_2) \\ &= \text{Ad}_{G_1}(K_1) \times \text{Ad}_{G_2}(K_2). \end{aligned}$$

Now $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{k}_1 + \mathfrak{k}_2)$, $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}_1}(\mathfrak{k}_1)$ and $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}_2}(\mathfrak{k}_2)$ are the Lie-algebras of the groups $\text{Ad}_G(K)$, $\text{Ad}_{G_1}(K_1)$ and $\text{Ad}_{G_2}(K_2)$.

So $\mathfrak{k}_1 + \mathfrak{k}_2$ is compactly embedded in \mathfrak{g} by definition if and only if $\text{Ad}(K)$ is compact which is equivalent to saying $\text{Ad}_{G_1}(K_1)$ and $\text{Ad}_{G_2}(K_2)$ are compact, i.e. both \mathfrak{k}_1 and \mathfrak{k}_2 are compactly embedded in \mathfrak{g}_1 resp. \mathfrak{g}_2 .

Exercise 2 (Theorem II.33 - Decomposition of simply connected RSS). (a) Let $H, N \triangleleft G$ be two normal subgroups. Show that $[N, H] \subset N \cap H$.

Solution. Let $nhn^{-1}h^{-1} \in [N, H]$, then $(nhn^{-1})h^{-1} \in Hh^{-1} \subset H$ and $n(hn^{-1}h^{-1}) \in nN \subset N$. So $nhn^{-1}h^{-1} \in H \cap N$.

- (b) Let $H, N < G$ be connected subgroups. Show that $[N, H]$ is a connected subgroup of G .

Solution. The map $[\cdot, \cdot]: N \times H \rightarrow G$ is continuous, since it is a composition of multiplications. The image of connected sets under a continuous map is connected.

- (c) Let M be a simply connected Riemannian symmetric space. Then $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(\text{Iso}(M)^\circ) = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_+ \oplus \mathfrak{g}_-$. We get corresponding Lie-subgroups G_0, G_+, G_- and their universal covers $\tilde{G}_0, \tilde{G}_+, \tilde{G}_-$. Let K_0, K_+, K_- be the Lie-subgroups associated to $\mathfrak{k}_0, \mathfrak{k}_+, \mathfrak{k}_-$, which come from the Cartan-decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}_0, \mathfrak{g}_+, \mathfrak{g}_-$.

Show that (\tilde{G}_0, K_0) , (\tilde{G}_+, K_+) and (\tilde{G}_-, K_-) are Riemannian symmetric pairs.

Solution. Let $\mu \in \{0, +, -\}$. The \tilde{G}_μ can be assumed to be connected. In the proof of theorem II.33, we have that $\tilde{\psi}|_{K_0 \times K_- \times K_+} : K_0 \times K_- \times K_+ \rightarrow p^{-1}(K)$ is a homeomorphism. The product of sets is closed if and only if all the factors are closed, so K_μ are closed subgroups of \tilde{G} and therefore also of \tilde{G}_μ . Since \mathfrak{k}_μ are compactly embedded, we get that $\text{Ad}_{\tilde{G}_\mu}(K_\mu)$ are compact.

By the Lie-group-correspondence, since \tilde{G} is simply connected we get $\sigma: \tilde{G} \rightarrow \tilde{G}$ a unique Lie-group automorphism, such that $D_e \sigma = \theta$. Now (using the pullback of the isomorphism ψ), we can restrict σ to $\sigma_\mu: \tilde{G}_\mu \rightarrow \tilde{G}_\mu$. Since $\theta_\mu: \mathfrak{g}_\mu \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_\mu$ is an involution, so is σ_μ (they are not the identity).

It remains to show that $(\tilde{G}_\mu^{\sigma_\mu})^\circ \subset K_\mu \subset \tilde{G}_\mu^{\sigma_\mu}$. Let $X \in \mathfrak{k}_\mu$. Then $\exp(X) \in \tilde{G}_\mu$. We have that $\sigma_\mu(\exp(X)) = \exp(\theta_\mu X) = \exp(X)$. So for all $g \in K_\mu$ in a small neighborhood of e , we have $\sigma_\mu(g) = g$. Since a neighborhood generates the connected group K_μ , we can write elements $g \in K_\mu$ as a product $g = g_1 \cdot \dots \cdot g_n$ and we get $\sigma_\mu(g) = \sigma_\mu(g_1) \cdot \dots \cdot \sigma_\mu(g_n) = g$. So $K_\mu \subset \tilde{G}_\mu^{\sigma_\mu}$.

Now we consider a neighborhood $V \subset \exp(\mathfrak{g}_\mu)$ of e of \tilde{G}_μ . Let $\exp(tX) \in V \cap (\tilde{G}_\mu^{\sigma_\mu})^\circ$ for $t \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$. Then $\exp(tX) = \sigma_\mu(\exp(tX)) = \exp(t\theta_\mu(X))$, so (taking the derivative) we get $X = \theta_\mu(X)$, i.e. $X \in \mathfrak{k}_\mu$ and thus $V \cap (\tilde{G}_\mu^{\sigma_\mu})^\circ \subset K_\mu$. Now since $(\tilde{G}_\mu^{\sigma_\mu})^\circ$ is connected, the elements are generated by elements in K_μ , i.e. $(\tilde{G}_\mu^{\sigma_\mu})^\circ \subset K_\mu$.

We conclude that (\tilde{G}_μ, K_μ) are Riemannian symmetric pairs for $\{0, -, +\}$.

Exercise 3 (Complexification and Killing form). Let \mathfrak{l}_0 be a Lie algebra over \mathbb{R} and let \mathfrak{l} be the complexification of \mathfrak{l}_0 . Let K_0, K and $K^\mathbb{R}$ denote the Killing forms of the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{l}_0$ and $\mathfrak{l}^\mathbb{R}$, respectively. Show that:

- (a) $K_0(X, Y) = K(X, Y)$ for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{l}_0$;
- (b) $K^\mathbb{R}(X, Y) = 2 \cdot \Re(K(X, Y))$ for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{l}^\mathbb{R}$.

Solution. The first relation is obvious. For the second let $\mathcal{B} := \{X_i : i = 1, \dots, n\}$ be a basis of \mathfrak{l} . Let $X, Y \in \mathfrak{l}$. Then we may write

$$\text{ad}(X) \text{ad}(Y)(X_i) = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_{ij} \cdot X_j, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad (1)$$

for some complex numbers $\alpha_{ij} = \beta_{ij} + i \cdot \gamma_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}$. Denote by A, B, C the $n \times n$ -matrices with entries $\alpha_{ij}, \beta_{ij}, \gamma_{ij}$, respectively. Then A is the matrix representation of $\text{ad}(X) \text{ad}(Y)$ with respect to the basis \mathcal{B}

$$M_{\mathcal{B}}(\text{ad}(X) \text{ad}(Y)) = A = B + iC$$

and B, C are the real, imaginary parts of A . Now, consider the basis $\mathcal{C} = \{X_1, \dots, X_n, iX_1, \dots, iX_n\}$ of $\mathfrak{l}^\mathbb{R}$. Then

$$\text{ad}(X) \text{ad}(Y)(iX_i) = \sum_{j=1}^n -\gamma_{ij} \cdot X_j + \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_{ij} \cdot (iX_j), \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad (2)$$

and with (??) we obtain that the matrix representation of $\text{ad}(X)\text{ad}(Y)$ with respect to the basis \mathcal{C} is given by

$$A' := M_{\mathcal{C}}(\text{ad}(X)\text{ad}(Y)) = \begin{pmatrix} B & -C \\ C & B \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus

$$2\Re K(X, Y) = 2\Re(\text{tr}A) = 2B = \text{tr}A' = K^{\Re}(X, Y).$$