

# The Jacobi inverse problem

Let  $X$  be a compact RS of genus  $g \geq 1$  and  $w_1, \dots, w_g$  a basis of  $\Omega(X)$ . Set  $\Gamma = \text{Per}(w_1, \dots, w_g)$ .

Basis exists since by Serre Duality:  $g = \dim H^1(X, \mathcal{O}) = \dim H^0(X, \Omega) = \dim \Omega(X)$   
on p 138, Remark 17.10. We saw that  $\Gamma$  is a lattice in  $\mathbb{C}^g$ .

D Jacobi variety:  $\text{Jac}(X) := \mathbb{C}^g / \Gamma$ .

Since  $\Gamma$  is a lattice in  $\mathbb{C}^g$ , we know that  $\text{Jac}(X)$  is a complex  $g$ -torus. However we are now only interested in  $\text{Jac}(X)$  as an abelian group.

Further, the definition depends on the choice of  $w_1, \dots, w_g$ , but for another choice  $w'_1, \dots, w'_g$  we can easily get an isomorphism of groups  $\mathbb{C} / \Gamma \cong \mathbb{C} / \text{Per}(w'_1, \dots, w'_g)$ .

D Picard group:  $\text{Pic}(X) := \text{Div}(X) / \text{Div}_p(X)$  and  $\text{Pic}_0(X) := \text{Div}_0(X) / \text{Div}_p(X)$

$\text{Div}_0(X)$  are the divisors of degree 0 and  $\text{Div}_p(X)$  are the principal divisors, so divisors of the form  $(f)$  for  $f \in \mathcal{K}(X) \setminus \{0\}$ .

Note that  $\deg(f) = 0$  since non-const. zero. func. on a compact RS have as many zeros as poles, counting multiplicity (p 80, Cor. 10.22).

Our goal will be to get a group isomorphism between  $\text{Jac}(X)$  and  $\text{Pic}_0(X)$ .

R Recall  $\delta: \overset{\text{chains}}{C_1(X)} \rightarrow \text{Div}(X)$ ,  $c \mapsto \partial c$ , then  $\ker \delta = \overset{\text{cycles}}{Z_1(X)}$  and we also get  $\text{Im } \delta = \text{Div}_0(X)$ . Also  $H_1(X) = Z_1(X) / \sim$

$$\delta\left(\underbrace{\sum_j n_j (a_j \rightarrow b_j)}_c\right) = \sum_j n_j (D_{b_j} - D_{a_j}) \quad \text{and} \quad \deg(\partial c) = \sum_j n_j (1-1) = 0.$$

Conversely, if  $\deg D = 0 \Rightarrow D = \sum_j D_{b_j} - D_{a_j}$  and hence  $\delta\left(\sum_j (a_j \rightarrow b_j)\right) = D$ .

D  $\Phi: \text{Div}_0(X) \rightarrow \text{Jac}(X), D = \partial c \mapsto (\int_c \omega_1, \dots, \int_c \omega_g) + \Gamma$

This is well-defined since:  $\partial c = D = \partial c' \Rightarrow \partial(c-c') = 0 \Rightarrow c-c' \in Z_1(X)$

and hence  $(\int_c \omega_1, \dots, \int_c \omega_g) - (\int_{c'} \omega_1, \dots, \int_{c'} \omega_g) = (\int_{c-c'} \omega_1, \dots, \int_{c-c'} \omega_g) \in \Gamma$ .

P  $\ker \Phi = \text{Div}_P(X)$ .

$\supseteq$ : Let  $f \in \mathcal{M}(X) \setminus \{0\}$  and  $(f) \in \text{Div}_P(X) \Rightarrow$  Abel's thm:  $\exists c \in C_1(X) : \partial c = (f)$   
and  $\int_c \omega = 0 \forall \omega \in \Omega(X) \Rightarrow \Phi((f)) = (\int_c \omega_1, \dots, \int_c \omega_g) + \Gamma = (0, \dots, 0) + \Gamma$ .

$\subseteq$ : Let  $D \in \ker \Phi$  and  $c \in C_1(X) : \partial c = D$ , then  $\Phi(D) = (\int_c \omega_1, \dots, \int_c \omega_g) \in \Gamma$ ,  
so there is an  $e \in Z_1(X) : (\int_c \omega_1, \dots, \int_c \omega_g) = (\int_{c-e} \omega_1, \dots, \int_{c-e} \omega_g) \Rightarrow \int_{c-e} \omega_i = 0 \forall i$   
and hence by Abel's thm we get an  $f \in \mathcal{M}(X)$  such that  
 $(f) = \partial(c-e) = \partial c - \partial e = \partial c$ . Hence  $(f) = \partial c = D$  and thus  $D \in \text{Div}_P(X)$ .  $\square$

R Hence  $j: \text{Pic}_0(X) \rightarrow \text{Jac}(X), D + \ker \Phi \mapsto \Phi(D)$  is inj. and the inverse Jacobi problem is to find out if  $j$  is surjective.

T  $\text{Im } \Phi = \text{Jac}(X)$ .

Recall the map  $F: U_1 \times \dots \times U_g \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^g, (x_1, \dots, x_g) \mapsto (\int_c \omega_1, \dots, \int_c \omega_g)$  where  
 $c = \sum_j (a_j \rightarrow x_j)$  and  $\text{Im } F$  is an open nh. of  $0 \in \mathbb{C}^g$ .

so let  $v + \Gamma \in \text{Jac}(X)$  arbitrary, then for sufficiently large  $N$  we get  
 $\frac{1}{N}v \in \text{Im } F$ , so there is  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_g) \in U_1 \times \dots \times U_g : \frac{1}{N}v = F(x) = (\int_c \omega_1, \dots, \int_c \omega_g)$   
for  $c = \sum_j (a_j \rightarrow x_j)$  and hence  $(\int_{Nc} \omega_1, \dots, \int_{Nc} \omega_g) = N \cdot \frac{1}{N}v = v$ . Thus

Note that  $\deg(\partial Nc) = 0$ , so  $\partial Nc \in \text{Div}_0(X)$  and  $\Phi(\partial Nc) = v + \Gamma$ .  $\square$

R This gives us the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} \xrightarrow{g} \mathcal{M}(X) \setminus \{0\} \xrightarrow{h} \text{Div}_0(X) \xrightarrow{\Phi} \text{Jac}(X) \rightarrow 0$$

- $g: z \mapsto \text{const. func. } z \Rightarrow \ker g = ? \quad \text{Im } g = \text{Const. func. on } X$
- $h: f \mapsto (f) \Rightarrow \ker h = \text{all mere. func. on } X \text{ that have no zeros or poles}$   
= constant by Cor 2.8 p 11:  $X \text{ c.s., } f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ holo. } \Rightarrow f \text{ const.}$ , so  
 $\ker h = \text{Im } g. \quad \text{Im } h = \text{Div}_P(X)$ .

D Let  $a_1, \dots, a_g \in X$  be arbitrary:  $J: X^g \rightarrow \text{Jac}(X)$ ,  $x \mapsto (\int_c \omega_1, \dots, \int_c \omega_g) + \Gamma$   
for  $c = \sum_{j=1}^g (a_j \rightarrow x_j)$  and  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_g)$ .

This is w-d since if  $c = \sum_{j=1}^g (a_j \rightarrow x_j)$  and  $c' = \sum_{j=1}^g (a_j \rightarrow x'_j)$ , then clearly

$\deg(\delta(c-c')) = 0$  and hence  $(\int_{c-c'} \omega_1, \dots, \int_{c-c'} \omega_g) - (\int_{c'} \omega_1, \dots, \int_{c'} \omega_g) = (\int_{c-c'} \omega_1, \dots, \int_{c-c'} \omega_g) \in \Gamma$   
because  $c-c' \in Z_1(X)$ .

T  $J$  is surjective.

Let  $v + \Gamma \in \text{Jac}(X)$  arbitrary, then  $\exists D \in \text{Div}_0(X)$ :  $\Phi(D) = v + \Gamma$ .

Define  $D' := D + D_{a_1} + \dots + D_{a_g}$ , then  $\deg D' = g$  and hence by Riemann-Roch

thm 16.9 on p 129 we get  $\dim H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_{D'}) \geq \dim H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_{D'}) - \dim H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_{D'})$

$= 1 - g + \deg D' = 1$ , so there is an  $f \in \mathcal{K}(X)$ :  $(f) \geq -D'$ . Set

$D'' := D' + (f)$ , then  $D'' \geq 0$  and  $\deg D'' = g$ , so there are  $x_1, \dots, x_g \in X$  such

that  $D'' = D_{x_1} + \dots + D_{x_g}$ . Hence  $\sum_j D_{x_j} - D_{a_j} = D + (f)$ .

Since  $\ker \Phi = \text{Div}_p(X)$  we get  $\Phi(\sum_j D_{x_j} - D_{a_j}) = \Phi(D + (f)) = \Phi(D) = v + \Gamma$

and if  $c = \sum_j (a_j \rightarrow x_j)$  we get  $J(c) = \Phi(\sum_j D_{x_j} - D_{a_j}) = v + \Gamma$ .  $\square$

T If  $g=1$ , then  $J: X \rightarrow \text{Jac}(X)$  is an isomorphism.

If  $g=1$ , then  $J: x \mapsto \int_a^x \omega + \text{Per}(\omega)$  for some arbitrarily chosen  $a \in X$ .  
 $a \in X$ .

$J$  is holo.: let  $c = \sum_{j=1}^k c_j$  for  $c_j = (u_j \rightarrow u_{j+1})$  with  $c_j$  in  $(U_j, \tau_j)$  chart and

$u_1 = a$ ,  $u_{k+1} = x$ . Since  $\omega \in \Omega(X)$ , there is a primitive of  $\omega$  on  $U_j$ , say  $F_j \in \mathcal{O}(U_j)$

by Cor 10.7 p 72. Hence  $\int_c \omega = \sum_{j=1}^k \int_{c_j} \omega = \sum_{j=1}^k F_j(u_{j+1}) - F_j(u_j) = F_k(x) + t$

If we would have chosen another  $c$ , say  $c'$ , then we would have gotten

$\int_{c'} \omega = F_k(x) + t'$  and we knew  $\int_c \omega - \int_{c'} \omega \in \Gamma \Rightarrow t - t' \in \Gamma$ . Hence

$J(x) = F_k(x) + t + \Gamma$  is holo. since  $F_k$  is holo.

$\bar{J}$  surj.: By the prev thm.

$\bar{J}$  inj.: Suppose  $\bar{J}$  is not inj., then  $\bar{J}(x) = \bar{J}(y)$  for  $x \neq y$  in  $X$ . Hence

$$\int_a^x w - \int_a^y w = \int_y^x w \in \Gamma \text{ and set } c = (x \rightarrow y). \text{ Then there is an } e \in Z_1(X)$$

such that  $\int_c w = \int_e w \Rightarrow \int_{c-e} w = 0$ , so by Abels thm we get a  $f \in M(X)$

such that  $(f) = \partial(c-e) = \partial c - \partial e = \partial c$ . So  $f$  has a zero of order 1 at  $y$  and a pole of order 1 at  $x$ . This is impossible since:

$f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  holo., non-const. and  $X$  compact  $\Rightarrow f$  is surj. by Thm 2.7 on p 11.  $f$  is also inj. since:

There is a thm that says  $\sum_{x \in f^{-1}(a)} (f)(x)$  for  $a \in \mathbb{P}^1$  is indep. of the choice

of  $a$ . If we choose  $a=0$ , we see that the sum is equal to 1 since

$f$  only has a root of order 1 at  $y$ . Hence for  $a \neq 0$  we also get that

the sum is equal to 1 and since  $(f) \geq 0$ , this is only possible if

$|f^{-1}(a)| = 1$ . Hence  $f$  is injective.

Now  $f$  is an isomorphism between  $X$  and  $\mathbb{P}^1$ , but  $X$  has genus 1 and  $\mathbb{P}^1$  has genus 0 which is a contradiction.  $\square$

**R** Every compact RS of genus 1 is isomorphic to a 1-torus by the previous theorem and 1-tori have genus 1 by Cor 17.13 on p 139, so up to isomorphism the only compact RS of genus 1 are 1-tori.

Question: If  $X$  has genus  $g$  and  $Y$  genus  $k \neq g$ , then does that mean they are not isomorphic (as Riemann surfaces)? If so, where does it say it in the book?