D-MATH Prof. Dr. Looqui

Prof. Dr. Joaquim Serra

Solutions 4

1. Applications of Hopf-Rinow

(a) Let (M, g) be a homogeneous Riemannian manifold, i.e. the isometry group of M acts transitively on M. Prove that M is geodesically complete.

(b) Show that if (M, g) is a complete non-compact Riemannian manifold then there exist a ray emanating from any given $p \in M$, that is, a geodesic $c : [0, +\infty) \to M$ such that $c_v(0) = p$ and $\operatorname{dist}(p, c_v(t)) = t$ for all $t \ge 0$.

Solution. (a) Let $p \in M$. Pick r > 0 such that \exp_p is defined on $B(0,r) \subset TM_p$. Let $v \in T_pM$ be a tangent vector and let (α_v, ω_v) be the maximal interval, where the geodesic c_v satisfying $c_v(0) = p$ and $\dot{c}_v(0) = v$ is defined. We need to show that $(\alpha_v, \omega_v) = (-\infty, \infty)$. Suppose that $\omega_v < \infty$. Let $0 < \epsilon < r$. Consider $q = c_v(\omega_v - \epsilon) \in M$. By assumption, there exists an isometry Φ of M such that $\Phi(p) = q$. Put $w := D\Phi_q^{-1}(\dot{c}_v(\omega_v - \epsilon)) \in T_pM$ and let c_w be the associated geodesic. Then $\Phi \circ c_w$ is a geodesic starting at q that extends c_v to $(\alpha_v, \omega_v + r - \epsilon)$. This is a contradiction to the maximality of ω_v . Hence $\omega_v = \infty$. Similarly one shows $\alpha_v = -\infty$.

This shows that $\exp_p(tv)$ is defined on $(-\infty, \infty)$ and therefore M is geodesically complete.

(b) Let $p \in M$. Pick r > 0 such that \exp_p is a diffeomorphism in a neighborhol of on $\overline{B}(0,r) \subset TM_p$. Since M is complete and non-compact, there exist a sequence p_k such that $t_k := \operatorname{dist}(p, p_k) \to \infty$.

For all k let $v_k \in TM_p$ be a unit vector such that $c_{v_k} : [0, t_k] \to M$ is a minimizing geodesic joining p and p_k . (Such minimizing geodesic exists by Hopf-Rinow). In particular dist $(p, c_{v_k}(t)) = t$ for all $t \in [0, t_k]$. Take a partial subsequence $v_k \to v$. Then c_v satisfies, by continuity of $v \mapsto c_v(t)$, dist $(p, c_v(t)) = t$ for every t > 0.

Remark. By the Theorem of Hopf-Rinow this implies that M is complete.

2. Ricci curvature

Let (M, g) be a 3-dimensional Riemannian manifold. Show the following:

- a) The Ricci curvature ric uniquely determines the Riemannian curvature tensor R.
- b) If M is an Einstein manifold, that is, a Riemannian manifold (M, g) with ric = kg for some $k \in \mathbb{R}$, then the sectional curvature sec is

D-MATH Differential Geometry II Prof. Dr. Joaquim Serra

constant.

Solution. a) In the following, let e_1, e_2, e_3 be an orthonormal basis of TM_p . First, note that $R_{iijk} = R_{jkii} = 0$ by the symmetry properties of R.

We denote the components of ric by R_{ij} . Then, for $\{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\}$, we have

$$R_{ii} = R_{iiii} + R_{jiji} + R_{kiki} = R_{ijij} + R_{ikik},$$

$$R_{ij} = R_{iiij} + R_{jijj} + R_{kikj} = R_{ikjk}$$

and therefore, we get

$$2R_{ijij} = R_{ii} + R_{jj} - R_{kk},$$
$$R_{ikjk} = R_{ij}.$$

Observe now, that we can compute all other components of R by symmetry properties. Hence R is uniquely determined by ric.

b) Let e_1, e_2 be a orthonormal basis of $E \subset TM_p$ and choose e_3 such that e_1, e_2, e_3 is an orthonormal basis of TM_p . Then we have

$$2 \sec_p(E) = 2R_{1212} = R_{11} + R_{22} - R_{33} = k + k - k = k$$

and hence $\sec_p(E) = \frac{k}{2}$.

3. Constant sectional curvature

Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold with constant sectional curvature $sec(E) = \kappa \in \mathbb{R}$ for all $E \in G_2(TM)$. Show that

$$R(X, Y)W = \kappa \left(g(Y, W)X - g(X, W)Y\right).$$

Solution. As the sectional curvature is constant, we have

$$R(X, Y, X, Y) = \kappa \left(g(X, X)g(Y, Y) - g(X, Y)g(X, Y) \right)$$

for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$. Consider now the (0, 4)-tensor T given by

$$T(V, W, X, Y) \coloneqq \kappa \left(g(V, X)g(Y, W) - g(V, Y)g(X, W) \right)$$

Then the (0, 4)-tensor $S \coloneqq R - T$ has the following symmetry properties:

1. S(V, W, X, Y) = -S(V, W, Y, X),

D-MATH Differential Geometry II Prof. Dr. Joaquim Serra

- 2. S(V, W, X, Y) + S(V, Y, W, X) + S(V, X, Y, W) = 0,
- 3. S(V, W, X, Y) = S(X, Y, V, W),
- 4. S(X, Y, X, Y) = 0.

The first three properties hold for R and T, while the last one was already observed above. Our goal is now to show that $S \equiv 0$.

For all $A, B, C, D \in \Gamma(TM)$, we have by 3. and 4.

$$0 = S(A, B + D, A, B + D)$$

= S(A, B, A, B) + S(A, B, A, D) + S(A, D, A, B) + S(A, D, A, D)
= 2S(A, B, A, D)

and

$$0 = S(A + C, B, A + C, D)$$

= S(A, B, A, D) + S(A, B, C, D) + S(C, B, A, D) + S(C, B, C, D)
= S(A, B, C, D) + S(A, D, C, B).

Finally, we get

$$3S(V, W, X, Y) = S(V, W, X, Y) - S(V, Y, X, W) - S(V, W, Y, X)$$

= S(V, W, X, Y) + S(V, Y, W, X) + S(V, X, Y, W) = 0,

for all $V, W, X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$.

FS23