

In this lecture we cover chapters 5 & 6 of the book "The geometry of the group of symplectic diffeomorphisms" by L. Polterovich.

## Chapter 5: linearisation of Hofer's geometry

GOAL: Interpret Hofer's metric as distance between a point and a linear subspace.

### S.1. Space of periodic Hamiltonians

$$\mathcal{F} := \{ F: M \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ smooth normalized Hamiltonians with } F(x, t) = F(x, t+1) \}$$

Lemma 1: A flow  $\{f_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  generated by some  $F \in \mathcal{F} \Leftrightarrow f_{t+1} = f_t f_1 \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$

Proof of Lemma 1:  $\det \frac{d}{dt} f_t = X_f f_t$

" $\Rightarrow$ ": If  $F_t = F_{t+1}$ , consider the initial value problem  $\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt} Y_t = X_f Y_t \\ Y_0 = f_1 \end{cases}$

$$\text{Then } \frac{d}{dt} (f_{t+1}) = X_{t+1}(f_{t+1}) = X_t(f_{t+1}) \quad , \quad f_{t+1} = f_1$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} (f_t f_1) = X_t(f_t) f_1 = X_t(f_t f_1) \quad , \quad f_t f_1 = f_1 f_1 = f_1$$

But since the solution to the ODE is unique we get  $f_{t+1} = f_t f_1$

$$\text{" $\Leftarrow$ ": } \frac{d}{dt} (f_{t+1}) = X_{t+1}(f_{t+1})$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} (f_t f_1) = X_t(f_t) f_1 = X_t(f_t f_1) \Rightarrow X_{t+1} = X_t \text{, hence } F_t = F_{t+1}$$

Remark: Take any flow  $\{g_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  with  $g_1 = \phi$   
 Let  $\alpha: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  with  $\alpha=0$  near 0,  $\alpha=1$  near 1  
 Consider new flow  $f_t = g_{\alpha(t)}$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ , extend by  $f_{t+1} = f_t f_1$   
 By Lemma 1,  $\{f_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  is generated by some function in  $\mathcal{F}$

We define a norm on  $\mathcal{F}$  by  $\|F\| = \max_t \|F_t\| = \max_t (\max_x F(x, t) - \min_x F(x, t))$

Notation:  $F \in \mathcal{F} \rightsquigarrow$  Hamiltonian flow  $\{f_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \rightsquigarrow \phi_F := f_1$

$\det \mathcal{H} = \{ F \in \mathcal{F} : \phi_F = 1 \} \subset \mathcal{F}$ .  $\mathcal{H}$  generates Hamiltonian loops.

Theorem 1:  $\forall F \in \mathcal{F}: \rho(1, \phi_F) = \inf_{H \in \mathcal{H}} \|F - H\| = \text{dist}(F, \mathcal{H})$

For the proof of this theorem we need the following lemma:

Lemma 2:  $\forall \phi \in \text{Ham}(M)$  we have  $\rho(1, \phi) = \inf \{ \|F\| : F \in \mathcal{F} \text{ s.t. } \phi = \phi_F \} =: \varepsilon(1, \phi)$

Proof of Thm 1 using lemma 2:

For  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  let  $\{f_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  its Hamiltonian flow

Let  $\{g_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  be another flow generated by  $G \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $g_1 = \phi_F$

(1) Decompose  $g_t = h_t f_t$  and  $H$  the normalized Hamiltonian of  $\{h_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$

By lemma 1:  $H \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $\{h_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  is a loop

$$g_0 = f_0 = 1, g_1 = f_1 = \phi_F \Rightarrow h_0 = h_1 = 1$$

(2) Also  $H$  loop  $\{h_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ , then  $\{h_t f_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  is generated by some function in  $\mathcal{F}$  using lemma 1.

$$g_t := h_t f_t, \text{ then } g_{t+1} = h_{t+1} f_{t+1} = h_t \underbrace{h_1^{-1}}_{=1} f_t f_1 = (h_t f_t)(h_1 f_1) = g_t g_1$$

Now in any case:  $G(x, t) = H(x, t) + F(h_t^{-1}x, t)$

Then  $H'(x, t) = -H(h_t x, t)$  generates the loop  $\{h_t^{-1}\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ , hence  $H' \in \mathcal{F}$

Therefore  $\|G\| = \|F - H'\|$ .

By (1), (2) every  $G$  corresponds to a unique  $H'$  and vice versa, hence we conclude by lemma 2. □

Proof of lemma 2:

Clearly  $\varrho(1, \phi) \geq g(1, \phi)$ .

For  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let  $\{f_t\}_{t \in [0, 1]}$  Hamiltonian flow with  $f_0 = 1, f_1 = \phi$  &  $\int_0^1 \|F_s\| dt \leq g(1, \phi) + \varepsilon$

W.l.o.g let  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  (by the first remark above) and  $(*) \|F_t\| > 0 \forall t \in [0, 1]$

Let  $\mathcal{C} = \{C^1\text{-smooth, orientation preserving diffeo of } S^1 = \frac{\mathbb{R}}{2\pi} \text{ fixing } 0\}$

For  $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}$ , the path  $\{f_{\alpha(t)}\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  generated by  $F^\alpha(x, t) = \alpha^t F(x, \alpha(t))$

Consider  $b(t) = \frac{\int_0^t \|F_s\| ds}{\int_0^1 \|F_s\| ds}$ , let  $\alpha := b^{-1}$

$$\|F^\alpha\| = \max_t \alpha^t \|F_{\alpha(t)}\| = \max_t \left( \frac{\|F_t\|}{b^t(t)} \right) = \int_0^1 \|F_s\| ds \leq g(1, \phi) + \varepsilon$$

Approximating  $\alpha$  by a smooth diffeo from  $\mathcal{C}$ , we get  $\tilde{F} \in \mathcal{F}$  generating  $\phi \pm 1$ .

$$\|\tilde{F}\| \leq g(1, \phi) + 2\varepsilon$$

□

Justification for (\*):

Prop 1:  $\{f_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  for flows generated by  $F$ , then  $\exists$  arbitrary small (in  $C^\infty$ -sense) loop  $\{h_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  s.t.  $A$ .  
 $\{h_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  is regular, i.e.  $\exists x \in M$  s.t.  $H(h_j x, A) \neq 0$

Proof: Let  $y \in M$ ,  $E := T_y^*M$ . Take  $2n$ -smooth closed curves  $d_1, \dots, d_{2n}$  s.t.

(i)  $\{d_1(A), \dots, d_{2n}(A)\}$  is a basis  $\forall A$  and (ii)  $\int_0^1 d_j(A) dt = 0 \quad \forall j$

Let  $u_1, v_1, \dots, u_n, v_n$  be a basis of  $E$  take  
 $d_{2j+1}(A) = u_j \cos(2\pi A) + v_j \sin(2\pi A)$   
 $d_{2j+2}(A) = -v_j \sin(2\pi A) + u_j \cos(2\pi A)$

Claim: For functions  $G^{(1)}, \dots, G^{(2n)} \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $\int_0^1 G^{(j)}(x, A) dt = 0$  &  $d_y G^{(j)} = d_j(A)$  there is a corresponding  $2n$ -dim variation  $\{h_j(E)\}$  for  $E$  in a small neighborhood of  $0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  of the constant loops  $h_j(0) = 1$  s.t.  $\frac{\partial}{\partial E_j}|_{E=0} H(x, A) = G^{(j)}(x, A)$  for  $H(x, A, E)$  the Hamiltonian of the variation.

Consider  $\Phi: S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow E, (t, E) \mapsto d_y(F_t - H_t(E))$

Since  $\frac{\partial}{\partial E_j}|_{E=0} \Phi(t, E) = d_j(t)$ ,  $\Phi$  is a submersion in some neighborhood  $U$  of  $E=0$

def  $\Psi = \Phi|_{S^1 \times U}$ ,  $\Psi$  is a submersion,  $\Psi^{-1}\{0\}$  is a 1-dim submanifold of  $S^1 \times U$ , hence its projection to  $U$  is nowhere dense

$\Rightarrow \exists$  arbitrary small  $E \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$  s.t.  $d_y(F_t - H_t(E)) \neq 0$  which proves the Proposition  $\blacksquare$

Construction in the claim:

First take the 1-dimensional case

Take  $G \in \mathcal{F}$  s.t.  $\int_0^1 G(x, A) dt = 0 \quad \forall x \in M$

Then let  $h_A(E) \in \text{Ham}(M, \Omega)$  be the time  $E$  map of the Hamiltonian flow generated by the time-independent Hamiltonian  $\int G(x, s) ds$

Then for the corresponding Hamiltonian  $H(x, A, E)$  we have  $\frac{\partial}{\partial E}|_{E=0} H(x, A, E) = G(x, A)$

Then for function  $G^{(1)}, \dots, G^{(2n)} \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $\int_0^1 G^{(j)}(x, A) dt = 0$ , one can construct for every  $j$  a 1-dim variation  $h_j^{(j)}(E)$  as above.

Then  $h_A(E_1, \dots, E_{2n}) = h_1^{(1)}(E_1) \circ h_2^{(2)}(E_2) \circ \dots \circ h_{2n}^{(2n)}(E_{2n})$  is a  $2n$ -dim variation of the constant loop with

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial E_j}|_{E=0} H(x, A, E) = G^{(j)}(x, A)$$

## Paths in Homotopy class

For  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $\{f_t\}_{t \in [0,1]}$  the corresponding Hamiltonian. Consider

$$\ell(F) = \inf \left\{ \int_0^1 \text{length}(g_s) ds : \{g_s\}_{s \in [0,1]} \text{ Ham. path with } g_0 = 1, g_1 = \phi_F, \{g_s\} \subseteq \{f_t\} \right\}$$

$$\text{where } \text{length}(g_s) = \int_0^1 \|G_s\| ds$$

Remark:  $\ell(F)$  can be identified with  $\tilde{\delta}(\tilde{\pi}, \tilde{\phi}_F)$  the distance on the universal cover of  $\text{Ham}(M, \Omega)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{H}_c \subset \mathcal{H}$  be the set of Hamiltonians that generate contractible loops or  $\mathcal{H}_c$  is the path connected component of  $\mathcal{H}$  containing 0.

Theorem 2:  $\forall F \in \mathcal{F}: \ell(F) = \inf_{H \in \mathcal{H}_c} \|F - H\|$

The proof of this theorem proceeds in the same way, just note that:

- Time reparametrizations & Regularisation doesn't change the homotopy class of a path with fixed end points. Hence it suffices to prove that

$$\ell(F) = \inf \left\{ \|G\| : G \in \mathcal{F} \text{ with } \phi_G = \phi_F \text{ with Ham. flow of } G \text{ homotopic to } \{f_t\} \right\}$$

- $g_t = h_t \circ f_t$  &  $g_t$  is homotopic to  $f_t \Rightarrow h_t$  is contractible

## Chapter 6: Lagrangian intersections

### 6.1 Exact Lagrangian isotopies

$(V^{2n}, \omega)$  symplectic manifold,  $N^n$  closed manifold.

Def:  $\Phi: N \times [0,1] \rightarrow V$  be a Lagrangian isotopy, i.e.  $\{\Phi_t = \Phi(\cdot, t)\}_{t \in [0,1]}$  is a smooth family of Lagrangian embeddings.

$\Phi^* \omega = d_s \wedge ds$  for  $\{d_s\}_s$  a family of 1-forms on  $N$  since  $\Phi^* \omega|_{N \times \{t_0\}} = 0$

Also  $d \Phi^* \omega = dd_s \wedge ds = 0 \Rightarrow d_s$  is closed  $\forall s$

Def:  $\Phi$  is exact if  $d_s$  is exact  $\forall s$

Def  $\{h_{t,s}\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}, s \in [0,1]}$  be a smooth family of Hamiltonian loops of  $(M, \Omega)$  generated by  $H(x, t, s)$ .  
 $L \subset M$  a closed Lagrangian submanifold. Then

$\bar{\Phi}: L \times S^1 \times (0,1) \rightarrow M \times T^* S^1$  is the corresponding family of Lagrangian suspensions  
 $(x, t, s) \mapsto (h_{t,s}x, -H(h_{t,s}x, t, s))$

Theorem 3:  $\bar{\Phi}$  is exact.

Proof: Write  $\bar{\Phi}^*(\Omega + dr \wedge dt) = d_s \wedge ds$   
Hence we need to check that  $d_s$  is exact

As in Chapter 3.1.E one can find that for  $x \in L, g \in T_x L$ :

$$d_s(g) = \Omega((h_{t,s})_* g, \frac{\partial h_{t,s}}{\partial s} x); d_s(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}) = \frac{\partial H}{\partial s}(h_{t,s}x, t, s)$$

Elements in  $H_1(L \times S^1, \mathbb{Z})$  are generated by elements of the form  $C = \beta \times \{0\}, D = \gamma \times S^1$   
(Algebraic Künneth Formula) for  $\beta$  a cycle on  $L, \gamma \in L$

Hence we need to prove that for all 1-cycles their integral vanishes

For cycles like  $C$  above we have that since  $h_{0,s} = 1$  that  $d_s$  vanishes on all tangent vectors to  $L \times \{r=0\}$

$$\text{Also } \int_D d_s = \int_0^1 \frac{\partial H}{\partial s}(h_{t,s}y, t, s) dt \stackrel{(*)}{=} 0$$

For  $(*)$  one proves that  $\frac{\partial}{\partial s} H(h_{t,s}x, t, s)$  is the derivative of a periodic function w.r.t.  $t$ .

[ see Prop 6.1C in the book for the proof of  $(*)$  ]

## 6.2 Lagrangian Intersections

Def: A Lagrangian submanifold  $N \subset V$  has the Lagrangian intersection property (LIP) if  
 $N \cap \Phi(N) \neq \emptyset \quad \forall \Phi \in \text{Ham}(M, \omega) \iff e(N) = +\infty$

Example: Gromov's Theorem

- If  $T_2(V, N) = 0$  &  $V$  has "nice" behaviour at infinity, then  $N$  has this property.
- If  $T_2(V, N) \neq 0$ , take e.g.  $V = S^2$ ,  $N$  a small enough circle, then  $N$  can be displaced

Def: A closed Lagrangian submanifold  $L$  of  $(M, \Omega)$  has the stable Lagrangian intersection property if  $L \times \{x=0\}$  has the LIP in  $(M \times T^* S^1, \Omega + dt \wedge dt)$

Examples:

- Lagrangian torus in the cotangent bundle  $T^* \mathbb{T}^n$  with standard symplectic structure (by Gromov's theorem)
- equator of  $S^2$

## 6.3 Application to Hamiltonian loops

$(M, \Omega)$ ,  $L \subset M$  closed Lagrangian submanifold that has the LIP  
 $\{g_t\}_{t \in S^1}$  loop of Hamiltonian diffeos generated by  $G \in \mathcal{H}$   
s.t.  $g_t(L) = L \forall t \in S^1$ ,  $G(x, t) = 0 \quad \forall x \in L, t \in S^1$

Theorem 4:  $h \circ \{g_t\}_{t \in S^1}$  loop of ham. diffeomorphisms homotopic to  $\{g_t\}_{t \in S^1}$  with Hamiltonian  $H \in \mathcal{H}$ .  
Then  $\exists (x, t) \in L \times S^1$  s.t.  $H(x, t) = 0$

Proof: By applying twice the Lagrangian suspension construction, we obtain two Lagrangian submanifolds  $N_G$  &  $N_H$  of  $M \times T^* S^1$

Then  $N_G = L \times \{x=0\}$  and  $N_H$  is isotopic to  $N_G$  by Thm 3 & the isotopy is exact

The stable LIP yields  $N_H \cap N_G \neq \emptyset$ , let  $(x, 0, t) \in N_H \cap N_G$

Since it lies in  $N_H$ :  $x = h_t y$  &  $0 = -H(h_t y, t)$  for some  $y \in L$

□

Cor: If  $L \subset M$  has the stable LIP, then  $\forall H \in \mathcal{H}$ , there is a  $x \in L, t \in S^1$  s.t.  $H(x, t) = 0$

Remarks:

- Statement in the Corollary is not true if the (stable) LIP is not assumed
- Theorem 4 allows us to give non-trivial lower bounds for Hofer's distance.