

### 11.1. Separation of variables for elliptic equations

(a) Find a solution to

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = 0, & (x, y) \in [0, \pi]^2, \\ u(x, 0) = u(x, \pi) = 0, & x \in [0, \pi], \\ u(0, y) = 0, & y \in [0, \pi], \\ u(\pi, y) = \sin(2y), & y \in [0, \pi]. \end{cases}$$

(b) Find a solution to

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = \sin(x) + \sin(3y), & (x, y) \in [\pi, 2\pi]^2, \\ u(x, \pi) = 0, & x \in [\pi, 2\pi], \\ u(x, 2\pi) = -\sin(x), & x \in [\pi, 2\pi], \\ u(\pi, y) = 0, & y \in [\pi, 2\pi], \\ u(2\pi, y) = -\sin(3y)/9, & y \in [\pi, 2\pi]. \end{cases}$$

*Hint: find a simple function  $f(x, y)$  such that  $v := u + f$  is harmonic. Then, solve for  $v$ .*

**SOL:**

(a) We are looking for an harmonic function  $u$  such that

$$u(0, y) = 0, u(\pi, y) = \sin(2y), u(x, 0) = u(x, \pi) = 0.$$

(Notice that since the solution at  $(x, 0)$  and  $(x, \pi)$  is already 0, we do not need to split it into two functions, and we can directly work with  $u$ . Compare with Example 7.21 in Pinchover's.)

We use separation of variables, and we assume that  $u$  can be expressed as sum of harmonic functions  $w(x, y) = X(x)Y(y)$ . Imposing that  $w$  is harmonic we reach that

$$Y''(y) + \lambda Y(y) = 0,$$

and  $Y(0) = Y(\pi) = 0$ . On the other hand, we also reach

$$X''(x) - \lambda X(x) = 0.$$

The problem for  $Y$  is already standard, and we have as eigenvalues  $\lambda_n = n^2$  and as eigenfunctions  $Y_n(y) = \sin(ny)$ , for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Thus, the equation for  $X$  becomes simply

$$X_n''(x) - n^2 X_n(x) = 0.$$

Solutions to the previous problem are of the form  $X_n(x) = \alpha_n \sinh(nx) + \beta_n \cosh(nx)$ . However, such basis (in terms of  $\sinh(nx)$  and  $\cosh(nx)$ ) is not very useful when dealing with boundary behaviour for this problem at  $x = 0, \pi$ . Thus, we choose instead the basis  $\sinh(nx)$  and  $\sinh(nx - n\pi)$ . Let us now show why we can express the solution in that basis. That is, we want to write

$$X_n(x) = \gamma_n \sinh(nx) + \delta_n \sinh(n(x - \pi)),$$

and find the coefficients  $\gamma_n$  and  $\delta_n$  in terms of  $\alpha_n$  and  $\beta_n$ . To do that, we use that  $\sinh(x + y) = \sinh(x) \cosh(y) + \cosh(x) \sinh(y)$ , that  $\sinh$  is odd and  $\cosh$  is even. Therefore,

$$\sinh(n(x - \pi)) = \sinh(nx) \cosh(n\pi) - \cosh(nx) \sinh(n\pi),$$

and

$$X_n(x) = (\gamma_n + \delta_n \cosh(n\pi)) \sinh(nx) - \delta_n \sinh(n\pi) \cosh(nx),$$

and we get that  $\beta_n = -\delta_n \sinh(n\pi)$  and  $\alpha_n = \gamma_n + \delta_n \cosh(n\pi)$ . That is,  $\delta_n = -\beta_n / \sinh(n\pi)$  and  $\gamma_n = \alpha_n - \delta_n \cosh(n\pi)$ ; and both bases are interchangeable.

Thus, let us express the solution  $u(x, y)$  as

$$u(x, y) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \sin(ny) (\delta_n \sinh(nx) + \gamma_n \sinh(n(x - \pi))).$$

Now, since  $u(0, y) = 0$ , we deduce that  $\gamma_n = 0$ . On the other hand, since  $u(\pi, y) = \sin(2y)$ ,

$$u(\pi, y) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \delta_n \sin(ny) \sinh(n\pi) = \sin(2y),$$

we deduce that  $\delta_2 = \frac{1}{\sinh(2\pi)}$ , and  $\delta_n = 0$  for  $n \neq 2$ . Thus, our solution is going to be

$$u(x, y) = \sin(2y) \frac{\sinh(x)}{\sinh(2\pi)}.$$

(b) Since  $\sin'' = -\sin$ , we can easily check that

$$0 = \Delta u - \sin(x) - \sin(3y) = \Delta \left( u + \sin(x) + \frac{\sin(3y)}{9} \right).$$

Hence, setting  $v(x, y) := u(x, y) + \sin(x) + \frac{\sin(3y)}{9}$  we obtain that  $v$  is an harmonic function solving

$$\begin{cases} \Delta v = 0, & \text{for } \pi < x < 2\pi, \pi < y < 2\pi, \\ v(x, \pi) = \sin(x), & \text{for } \pi \leq x \leq 2\pi, \\ v(x, 2\pi) = 0, & \text{for } \pi \leq x \leq 2\pi, \\ v(\pi, y) = \frac{\sin(3y)}{9}, & \text{for } \pi \leq y \leq 2\pi, \\ v(2\pi, y) = 0, & \text{for } \pi \leq y \leq 2\pi. \end{cases}$$

We factorize then  $v = v_1 + v_2$  where

$$\begin{cases} \Delta v_1 = 0, & \text{for } \pi < x < 2\pi, \pi < y < 2\pi, \\ v_1(x, \pi) = 0, & \text{for } \pi \leq x \leq 2\pi, \\ v_1(x, 2\pi) = 0, & \text{for } \pi \leq x \leq 2\pi, \\ v_1(\pi, y) = \frac{\sin(3y)}{9}, & \text{for } \pi \leq y \leq 2\pi, \\ v_1(2\pi, y) = 0, & \text{for } \pi \leq y \leq 2\pi. \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \Delta v_2 = 0, & \text{for } \pi < x < 2\pi, \pi < y < 2\pi, \\ v_2(x, \pi) = \sin(x), & \text{for } \pi \leq x \leq 2\pi, \\ v_2(x, 2\pi) = 0, & \text{for } \pi \leq x \leq 2\pi, \\ v_2(\pi, y) = 0, & \text{for } \pi \leq y \leq 2\pi, \\ v_2(2\pi, y) = 0, & \text{for } \pi \leq y \leq 2\pi. \end{cases}$$

This corresponds to the following splitting:

Figure 1: Splitting of the Laplace equation.

After operating the classical separation of variable, we have that

$$v_1(x, y) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \left( A_n \sinh(n(x - \pi)) + B_n \sinh(n(x - 2\pi)) \right) \sin(n(y - \pi)),$$

$$v_2(x, y) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \left( C_n \sinh(n(y - \pi)) + D_n \sinh(n(y - 2\pi)) \right) \sin(n(x - \pi)), .$$

To determinate the coefficients, we have to take advantage of the boundary data:

$$0 = v_1(2\pi, y) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} A_n \sinh(n\pi) \sin(n(y - \pi)),$$

which implies  $A_n \equiv 0$ . On the other side,

$$\frac{\sin(3y)}{9} = v_1(\pi, y) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} B_n \sinh(-n\pi) \sin(n(y - \pi)),$$

and since  $\sin(3y) = \sin(3(y-\pi))$  we obtain that  $B_2 = (9 \sinh(-3\pi))^{-1} = -(9 \sinh(3\pi))^{-1}$ , and  $B_n = 0$  otherwise. Similarly,  $C_n \equiv 0$  and combining

$$\sin(x) = v_2(x, \pi) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} D_n \sinh(-\pi n) \sin(n(x - \pi)),$$

with the identity  $\sin(x) = -\sin(x - \pi)$  we obtain that  $D_1 = (-\sinh(-\pi))^{-1} = \sinh(\pi)^{-1}$ , and  $D_n = 0$  otherwise. Combining everything we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, y) &= v(x, y) - \sin(x) - \frac{\sin(3y)}{9} = v_1(x, y) + v_2(x, y) - \sin(x) - \frac{\sin(3y)}{9} \\ &= -\frac{\sinh(3(x - 2\pi))}{9 \sinh(3\pi)} \sin(3(y - \pi)) + \frac{\sinh(y - 2\pi)}{\sinh(\pi)} \sin(x - \pi) - \sin(x) - \frac{\sin(3y)}{9} \\ &= -\left(\frac{\sinh(3(x - 2\pi))}{9 \sinh(3\pi)} + \frac{1}{9}\right) \sin(3y) - \left(\frac{\sinh(y - 2\pi)}{\sinh(\pi)} + 1\right) \sin(x). \end{aligned}$$

**11.2. Heat Equation** Let  $u : [0, 1] \times [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be solution of the heat equation

$$\begin{cases} u_y - u_{xx} = 0, & (x, t) \in (0, 1) \times (0, +\infty), \\ u(x, 0) = x(1 - x), & x \in [0, 1], \\ u(t, 0) = u(t, 1) = 0, & t \in [0, +\infty). \end{cases}$$

Show that  $0 \leq u(0.5, 100) \leq 0.00001$ .

*Hint: notice that  $w(x, t) = e^{-\pi^2 t} \sin(\pi x)$  solves the same PDE with different initial conditions.*

**SOL:** First, let us check that  $w := e^{-\pi^2 t} \sin(\pi x)$  solves the equation:

$$\partial_t w = -\pi^2 w = \partial_{xx} w.$$

One can check that  $\sin(\pi x) \geq x(1 - x)$  in the interval  $[0, 1]$  (for example, you can use wolfram-alpha for checking this!). Thus, we have  $w(x, 0) \geq u(x, 0)$ .

Similarly, 0 solves the equation and  $u(x, 0) \geq 0$ .

By the comparison principle for solutions of the heat equation (which is an easy consequence of the maximum principle for parabolic equations), we deduce  $0 \leq u(x, t) \leq w(x, t)$  for all  $x \in (0, 1)$  and  $t \geq 0$ . In particular we find

$$0 \leq u(0.5, 100) \leq w(0.5, 100) = e^{-100\pi^2} \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) = e^{-100\pi^2} \lll 0.00001.$$

**11.3. Uniqueness of solutions** Let  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be a planar domain and  $f : \partial D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  a continuous function defined on its boundary. Show that the following elliptic problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = u, & \text{in } D, \\ u = f, & \text{on } \partial D, \end{cases}$$

admits at most one smooth solution.

*If  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  solve the same PDE, what can we say about  $u_1 - u_2$ ?*

**SOL:** Let  $u_1, u_2 : \bar{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be two solutions. Let  $v := u_1 - u_2$  be the difference. Notice that  $v$  satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \Delta v = v, & \text{in } D, \\ v = 0, & \text{on } \partial D, \end{cases}$$

Assume that  $v > 0$  somewhere in  $D$ . Let  $(x, y) \in D$  be the maximum point of  $v$ . Then we have  $v(x, y) > 0$  and  $\Delta v \leq 0$ , which is a contradiction since  $v = \Delta v$ .

Hence, it must be  $v \leq 0$ . Similarly (just repeating the argument for  $-v$  instead of  $v$ ) we can show  $v \geq 0$ . Hence  $v = 0$  everywhere and thus  $u_1 = u_2$ .