<u>Analysis 3 - PVK</u> Contents

I	Foreword and Disclaimer
I	Map of Analysis 3
Л	Introduction
1.1	ODE
л.2	PDE
2	1 <sup>st</sup> Order Quasilinear PDEs
2.1	Method of Churacturistics
1.1	Conscrution Laws
3	2 <sup>nd</sup> Order Linear PDEs
3,1	Classificatio-
3.2	Wave equation
3.3	Heat equation
3.4	Laplace and Poisson equation
4	Separation of voriables
4.1	Homogeneous heat and wave equations
4.1	Inhomogeneous heat and wown equations
4.3	Laplace equation on rectangular domains
4.4	Laplace equation on circular domains
5	Maximum principles

**v** 2 05.01.2022

January 2022

### I: Forcword & Disclaimer

This manuscript is based on the 2021 and 2019 Analysis III lectures of Prof. Iacobelli.

It will some as the base of the 2022 AMIV PVK.

It was put together by Jean Mégret (megret;) and Anthony Salib (asalib) along with an exercise script. All the material including a notability vosion of this script) will be made available on the AMIV website and on https://n.ethz.ch/~megretj

If you find mistakes or think we should change stuff, please contact us by email.

This manuscript is nowhere near complete with all the lecture content and only targets the (to our eyes) most relevant pieces of theory in order to perform well at the exam.

We do not take any responsability in providing completeness nor correctness in this script.



### 1: Introduction

#### 1.1 Ordinary Differential Equations (ODEs):

ODEs are equations with finations and dorivatives of <u>one</u> independent variable, they are the base to solve PDEs, so you should really be familiar on how to solve them. Just like PDEs, many methods can be used to solve ODEs depending on their form. So it's important to be able to Edistinguish? the different types of equations in order to Solve them later on. costant for PDEs! We won't review ODE solving methods here. However, I encourage you to look back at your challysis course for a refresher. For this course, you will (at the very least) need:

ODEs you shall know  $\lambda_{x(t)=x'(t)} = x(t) = 0$   $x(t)= Ce^{t}$   $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ by heart!  $\lambda_{x(t)=-x''(t)} = 0$   $x(t)= \alpha \sin(\sqrt{\lambda}t) + \beta \cos(\sqrt{\lambda}t)$   $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$  $\lambda_{x(t)=-x''(t)} = x(t) = \alpha \sinh(\sqrt{\lambda}t) + \beta \cosh(\sqrt{\lambda}t)$   $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$ 

w.r.t one a.k.a derivative variable 1.2 Partial Differential Equations (PDEs): Ordur Highest order portial derivative of the function w.r.t. any variable.  $U_{\star}$  $A \text{ linear PDE is of the form} \qquad a^{(0)}U_{+} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a^{(1)}_{i} U_{x_{i}} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a^{(2)}_{i,j} U_{x_{i},x_{j}} + \dots = f(x_{n_{i}}, \dots, x_{n_{i}})$ Linearity be aware that the function is and the coefficients a both depend on the variables x,...,Xn Quasi-lineerity Linear w.r.t the highest order durivative. Find the highest order derivative and replace it with  $\alpha$  (a dummy variable) Then: is the equation linear with  $\alpha$ ? If  $\exists$  multiple highest deg. terms(eg.  $u_{yy}, u_{xx}$ ) replace all of them with the same  $\alpha$ . (=>  $0 = u_{xx} u_{yy}$  is not quasilinear) Homogeneity A linear PDE is homogenious if the right side, i.e. every term that doesn't depend on U, is equal to O. Schwartz Basically: if u is smooth  $u_{xy} = u_{yx}$ Theorem Govern use, but not always! Vector space Let Ltu] = foc) be a linear inhomogeneous PDE with solution up. of solution Let Ltu] = 0 - " - homogeneous - " - solutions up and up? theorem: (aka superposition L> Then Va, BER: aun+ Bunz is a solution of L[4]= O principle) aun Bunder II - I III-PL  $\alpha u_{h} + \beta u_{h} + u_{p} - u_{m} - \lambda (u) = f(x)$ During this lecture, we restrict ourselves to functions of two voriables:  $u:\mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ 

2: 
$$\Lambda^{st}$$
 Order Quesilinear PDEs  
2.1 Method of characteristics (M.o.C.) (initial condition + PDE)  
The method of characteristics will helps as solve some Cauchy problems  
of  $\Lambda^{st}$  Order quesilinear PDEs. However, in this lecture, we only look at either  
construction laws (porticular type of quesilinear, will see this right ofted or linear equations  
of the form:  
 $\Lambda$  Order linear, 2 variables  
 $a(x,y) U_x + b(x,y) U_y = co(x,y) U_x + c_n(x,y) = c(x,y,w)$   
with  $U(x,y) = d(x,y)$  for  $x,y$  constrained to a domain DCR<sup>2</sup>  
initial condition  
Only well-posed problems can be solved using the M.o.C.  
During the semester we lecked of planty of different initial conditions.  
 $U(x, 0) = x^2$   
 $U(x$ 

Now for PDEs, this is slightly more complex, we have an infinite set of solutions in space (IR<sup>3</sup>) and our initial condition is a path in space (insead of a point in the plane). The co-set of solutions will be parametrised

by our characteristics. Along with  
the initial condition they will knit  
the unique solution surface 
$$u(x, y)$$
.  
However is order to be able to separate  
the initial white from the derectoristics,  
an most go through a sull procedure.  
We can "prove" this producture wis a graphical interpretation of the problem.  
First, let's rewrite the PDE chopping out the versibles to simplify notation, i.e.  $u(x,y)$ ?  
Does the second form remind you of  $onythig?=$  its a scalar product between  
the normal of the surface spanned by  $u$ , and another vector?  
Does the second form remind you of  $onythig?=$  its a scalar product between  
the normal of the surface spanned by  $u$ , and another vector?  
But just, what is their other vector if its scalar product with the normal  
of the plane  $u(x,y)$  is goind to zero?  
 $=$  It's orthogonal to the normal is  $n = \binom{u}{u} \binom{u}{u} = \binom{2x}{d}$   
 $\sum_{u \in u} \frac{u}{u} \binom{u}{u} = \binom{2x}{d}$  is somehow related to the tangent vector of a  $(x,y)$ ?  
So  $\binom{a}{b}$  is somehow related to the tangent of  $u(x,y)$  and thus to the  
 $u(x,y) = b(x(t), y(t))$  with initial condition  $g(a, s) = y_0(s)$   
 $\frac{dt}{dt} = a(x(t), y(t))$  with initial condition  $g(a, s) = y_0(s)$   
 $\frac{dt}{dt} = c(x(t), y(t))$ 

#### Which is a set of ODEs, and ODEs we can solve! So, in a nutshell:

- · 1st Order linear PDEs can be seen as a scalar product between 2 vectors.
- This leads us to the intuition that  $\binom{a}{b}$  has something to do with the first order derivative of x, y and u.
- . This means, we can transform the initial problem into a set of ODEs parametrised by sand t that we can solve for x, y and U.

That's enough intuition for now, what you should really be able to do is to solve problems. For this you can follow this procedure:

Possible method for M.o.C.
(ab,c,d,D)

Unfortunately depending on the problem, a step of the method might not work and there might not even be a solution! Obstacles towards global solution (i) Solution might blow up in finite time (ii) Characteristics interset initial curve more than once. (iii) Characteristics intersect with each other. (iv) If vector field (a, b) vanishes at some point. there exists a unique Hopefully, however there's a way to check whether I! solution, before storting to solve everything. Existence and Uniqueness Theorem Assume 3 soek s.t. the transversality condition holds, then 3! solution is of the Cauchy problem defined in a neighborhood of  $(x(0,s_{0}), y(0,s_{0}))$ . Note: This means, for a least a little time, there will be a strong solution where the initial condition is transverse to the characteristics. So existance & uniqueness might not hold Vt (maybe only up until a critical time yc!)  $J = det \begin{pmatrix} \alpha (x_0(s), y_0(s), u_0(s)) \\ \frac{d}{ds} x_0(s) \end{pmatrix}$  $b(x_{o}(s), y_{o}(s), u_{o}(s)))$ Transversality Condition  $\frac{d}{ds}$  yo (s)  $= \begin{vmatrix} \alpha(0,s) & b(0,s) \\ \frac{d}{ds}\chi(0,s) & \frac{d}{ds}\chi(0,s) \end{vmatrix}$ Recall: det(M) = |M|a b = ad-bc for some S = D no solution exists for that s  $= \begin{cases} 0\\ \neq 0 \end{cases}$ for some  $s \Rightarrow$  solution exists for that s!



are they transvers? (= not tangetint) if so, the characteristics can propagate information away from the initial curve. Remember both should "knit" the solution surface



**2.2 Conservation Laws (C.L.)**  
Fancy name for PDEs describing the exolution of conserved quantities.  
We use x as a spatial variable and y a kinport variable so 
$$y \ge 0$$
  
General  
We look for  $u(x,y): \mathbb{R} \times [0,\infty) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  
Formulation  
both either:  $u_y + \frac{d}{dx} F(u) = 0$   
 $gyninelest ! \text{ or }: u_y + C(u) u_x = 0$   
 $\mathbb{C}$ . Often come with an initial fcondition  $u(x, 0) = h(x)$   
 $t = 0$   
 $\mathbb{E}$  comple:  $u_y + Cu_x = 0$  with  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  is the transport equation.  $\mathbb{E}$  for  $= cu$   
 $u_y + cu_x = 0$  is the Burger equation  $\mathbb{E}$  for  $= 2u^2$   
Torns out, these type of problems (i.e. incl. withed data) can be solved thanks to  
our beloved method of characteristics (since the gar Ast Order Quasiliner PDEs).!  
To help the stedy of such equations are of the form:  $\begin{cases} x_e = C(u) & with x_e(s) = 5 \\ y_e = A & with y_e(s) = 0 \\ T_e = 0 & with The form : \\ The characteristic equations are of the form :  $\{x_e = C(u) = w, t_{x_e(s)} = 0, t_{x_e(s$$ 

The characteristics are straight lines: y (s,t) = t
 (so fixed s, variable t)
 \$\mathcal{x}(s,t)\$ = linear fundrin of y

• u(x,y) = h(x - C(u(x,y))y)

• If we look at the transversality condition, we see that:  

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} x_{k} & y_{k} \\ x_{N} & y_{N} \end{pmatrix} = det \begin{pmatrix} c(u) & A \\ A & o \end{pmatrix} \equiv A \neq O$$
By the existence theorem, these equations always have a local solution!  
But, and this is when it gets spicy, this solution might only hold up until the critical time ye.  
Critical time It is defined by:  
The idea of this ye = inf  $(-1) = (-1)$ 

If  $y_c > 0$ , the strong solution only holds up to  $t = y_c$  (compression wave) If  $y_c \leq 0$ , the strong solution holds for all y > 0 (expansion wave)

-Hugoniot  
Condition  
$$Y_{y}(y) = \frac{F(u^{+}) - F(u^{-})}{u^{+} - u^{-}}$$

Solutions & that satisfy the RH-Condition are called shock-waves.

If we then integrate 
$$\chi_y w.r.t y$$
 we get a border  $\chi(y)$ . Then:  
 $u(x,y) = \begin{cases} u^- & \chi < \chi(y) \\ u^+ & \chi > \chi(y) \\ below/right \end{cases}$ 

To make sure a border really is a good one, it must satisfy the entropy condition.

$$C(u^{+}) < \gamma_{y} < C(u^{-})$$



Entropy condition

# 3: 2<sup>nd</sup> Order Linear PDEs 3.1 Classification

In this lecture you only looked at PDEs of max 2 variables. The general form of 2<sup>nd</sup> Order Linear PDEs is:

Given a point  $(x_0, y_0)$  the discriminant is  $\delta(L)(x_0, y_0) = b^2(x_0, y_0) - a(x_0, y_0)c(x_0, y_0)$ The discriminant helps us to define the types of 2nd order PDEs as: hyperbolic if  $\delta(L)(x_0, y_0) > 0$  (e.g.  $U_{yy} - U_{xx} = 0$ , wave eqn) parabolic if  $\delta(L)(x_0, y_0) = 0$  (e.g.  $U_{yy} - U_{xx} = 0$ , heat eqn) elliptic if  $\delta(L)(x_0, y_0) < 0$  (e.g.  $U_{xx} + U_{yy} = 0$ , heat eqn) Since this definition depends on the point we choose  $(x_0, y_0)$ , the PDE is classified <u>only boally</u>, it may vary on different parts of the plane (x, y).



### 3.2 The work equation (hyperbolic)

The homogeneous cauchy problem looks like:  $\begin{cases}
\mathcal{U}_{tt} - C^2 \mathcal{U}_{xx} = 0 \\
\mathcal{U}(x, 0) = f(x) \\
\mathcal{U}_t(x, 0) = g(x)
\end{cases}$ 

In opposition to the M.o.C., finding a solution to such the Cauchy problem is quite straight forward:

D'Alembert's  
Formula for  
homogeneous  
wave equation
$$u(x,t) = \frac{f(x+ct) + f(x-ct)}{2} + \frac{1}{2c} \int_{x-ct}^{x+ct} g(s) ds$$

It's possible to extend this formula to nonhomogeneous problems, however, let's first look at a few properties of the solution of the wave equation.

The solution to the wave equation can be decomposed in a forward and a backword travelling were, i.e. U(x,t) = F(x-ct) + G(x+ct)Forward Backword (towards nytinx) A solution is a Generalized Solution of the wave equation if f(x) and g(x) are piecewise continuous functions. This way, u is also piecewise continuous.

We call the characteristics the lines parametrized by x+ct = & and x-ct = & with x, & E.R. On these lines, · u(x, F) is constant on these lines. · singularities propagate along the characteristics.

This can be seen on the following surface plot of a solution.



Note: The same graph can be visualized as a 20 gmph with t representing time. It is more intrition when we think of time as the second dimension.



animition available on the website.



This property can help us solve wave equations with boundary conditions. See exercise 6.3 from this years exercise sheets.

-> Example 4

Differences is not solver to a given by:  
When the product of the inhomogeneous user equation:  
The solution to the inhomogeneous care equation:  
inhomogeneous  
wan equation
$$\begin{cases}
U_{4t} - c^2 u_{xx} = F(x,t), x \in \mathbb{R}, t \in (0, + \infty) \\
U(x,0) = f(x), x \in \mathbb{R}, t \in (0, + \infty) \\
U(x,0) = g(x), x \in \mathbb{R}, t \in (0, + \infty) \\
U(x,0) = g(x), x \in \mathbb{R}, t \in (0, + \infty) \\
U(x,0) = g(x), x \in \mathbb{R}, t \in (0, + \infty) \\
U(x,0) = g(x), x \in \mathbb{R}, t \in (0, + \infty) \\
U(x,0) = g(x), x \in \mathbb{R}, t \in (0, + \infty) \\
U(x,0) = g(x), x \in \mathbb{R}, t \in (0, + \infty) \\
U(x,0) = f(x,0) + f(x-ct) + \frac{d}{2t} \int_{2t}^{x+ct} \int_{2t}^{x} \int_{3}^{x} F(\xi, x) d\xi dx \\
U(x,0) = g(x), x \in \mathbb{R}, t \in (0, + \infty) \\
U(x,0) = g(x), x \in \mathbb{R}, t \in (0, + \infty) \\
U(x,0) = g(x), x \in \mathbb{R}, t \in (0, + \infty) \\
U(x,0) = g(x), x \in \mathbb{R}, t \in (0, + \infty) \\
U(x,0) = g(x), x \in \mathbb{R}, t \in (0, + \infty) \\
U(x,0) = f(x) + f(x-ct) + \frac{d}{2t} \int_{2t}^{x} \int_{3}^{x} F(\xi, x) d\xi dx \\
= x + \frac{d}{2t} \int_{2t}^{x} \int_{2t}^{x} \int_{3}^{x} F(\xi, x) d\xi dx \\
= x + \frac{d}{2t} \int_{2t}^{x} \int_{3}^{x} F(\xi, x) d\xi dx \\
= x + \frac{d}{2t} \int_{2t}^{x} \int_{3}^{x} F(\xi, x) d\xi dx \\
= x + \frac{d}{2t} \int_{2t}^{x} \int_{3}^{x} F(\xi, x) d\xi dx \\
= x + \frac{d}{2t} \int_{2t}^{x} \int_{3}^{x} F(\xi, x) d\xi dx \\
= x + \frac{d}{2t} \int_{2t}^{x} \int_{3}^{x} F(\xi, x) d\xi dx \\
= x + \frac{d}{2t} \int_{2t}^{x} \int_{3}^{x} F(\xi, x) d\xi dx \\
= x + \frac{d}{2t} \int_{3}^{x} F(\xi, x) d\xi dx \\
= x + \frac{d}{2t} \int_{2t}^{x} \int_{3}^{x} F(\xi, x) d\xi dx \\
= x + \frac{d}{2t} \int_{2t}^{x} \int_{3}^{x} F(\xi, x) d\xi dx \\
= x + \frac{d}{2t} \int_{2t}^{x} \int_{3}^{x} F(\xi, x) d\xi dx \\
= x + \frac{d}{2t} \int_{3}^{x} F(\xi, x) d\xi dx \\
= x + \frac{d}{2t} \int_{3}^{x} F(\xi, x) d\xi dx \\
= x + \frac{d}{2t} \int_{3}^{x} F(\xi, x) d\xi dx \\
= x + \frac{d}{2t} \int_{3}^{x} F(\xi, x) d\xi dx \\
= x + \frac{d}{2t} \int_{3}^{x} F(\xi, x) d\xi dx \\
= x + \frac{d}{2t} \int_{3}^{x} F(\xi, x) d\xi dx \\
= x + \frac{d}{2t} \int_{3}^{x} F(\xi, x) d\xi dx \\
= x + \frac{d}{2t} \int_{3}^{x} F(\xi, x) d\xi dx \\
= x + \frac{d}{2t} \int_{3}^{x} F(\xi, x) d\xi dx \\
= x + \frac{d}{2t} \int_{3}^{x} F(\xi, x) d\xi dx \\
= x + \frac{d}{2t} \int_{3}^{x} F(\xi, x) d\xi dx \\
= x + \frac{d}{2t} \int_{3}^{x} F(\xi, x) d\xi dx \\
= x + \frac{d}{2t} \int_{3}^{x} F(\xi, x) d\xi dx \\
= x + \frac{d}{2t} \int_{3}^{x} F(\xi, x) d\xi dx \\
= x + \frac{d}{2t} \int_{3}^{x} F($$

Uniqueness of The solution to  $\{U_{tt} - c^2 u_{xx} = F(x,t), x \in \mathbb{R}, t \in (0, +\infty)\}$ the solution of the wave equation. theorem. U(x, 0) = f(x),  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  $(u_t(x, 0) = g(x))$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ 

(The proof is quite neat and not too difficult so have a look at it in the ) lecture notes if you have a bit of time!

#### -> Example 5

Sometimes, however, using d'Alembert, cannot help us to solve the wave equation with boundary conditions. But we're in luck, introduce: the separation of variables. Here's the type of problem we will solve (but before that we will quickly introduce the heat equation)

Wave equation  
with boundary  
conditions
$$\begin{pmatrix}
\mathcal{U}_{tt} - C^{2}\mathcal{U}_{xx} = 0 \\
\mathcal{U}(x, 0) = f(x) \\
\mathcal{U}_{t}(x, 0) = g(x) \\
\mathcal{U}_{t}(x, 0) = g(x) \\
\mathcal{U}_{t}(x, 0) = g(x) \\
\mathcal{U}_{t}(x, 0) = u(L, t) = 0 \\
\mathcal{U}_{t}(x, 0)$$

## **3.3 Heat equation** (parabolic) The general formulation of this homogeneous 2nd order linear PDE is $u_t - k u_{xx} = 0$

It is often accompanied by a set of boundary conditions:

We will see later on how to solve the heat equation. (Spoiler: Separation of variables)



Uniqueness of  
Dirichlet problem for 
$$\begin{cases} U_{t}-kc\Delta u=f & in Q_{T} \\ U(0,x)=g & on Q & has a unique solution. \\ U(t,x)=h & on (0,T)=0 \end{cases}$$

# 3.4 Laplace and Poisson Equation (elliptic) Both Laplace and Poisson equations use the Laplace operator $\Delta u = \nabla^2 u = \nabla \cdot \nabla u = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i^n} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{x_i x_i}$

As we limit our selves to 2 variables in this course, we define the Laplace equation as:

$$\Delta u(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = u_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}} + u_{\mathbf{y}\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{0}$$

Any function that solves the Laplace equation is a Harmonic function.

The Poisson Equation on the other hand is just the inhomogeneous generalization of the Laplace equation:

$$\Delta u(x,y) = f(x,y)$$

As for hyperbolic (new) and perabolic (heat) equations, we can define a Problem. Only now, we've traded our time variable t for another spacial variable y. This means there will be no initial condition but the boundaries will now be in 2D instead of on one axis x. This boundary is noted as DD.

D

∆u=g

D = D . O D

This means, inside a domain DER<sup>2</sup>, the Poisson/Laplace equation is true:

$$\Delta u = \rho(x,y) \qquad (x,y) \in \mathbb{D}$$

and on its border, a must match some boundary condition, either: Dirichlet u(x,y) = g(x,y)  $(x,y) \in \partial D$ Von Neumann  $\partial_n u(x,y) = \vec{n} \cdot \nabla u = g(x,y)$   $(x,y) \in \partial D$ Third kind  $u(x,y) + \alpha(x,y) = \eta u(x,y) = g(x,y)$   $(x,y) \in \partial D$ Separation of voriables is the weapon of choice when solving the Laplace equation.

# 4. Separation of variables

It is a method to solve 2nd Order linear PDEs (heat-, wave-, Laplace-equation,) and it accomposates for boundary conditions (spatial-restriction, e.g. u(x=0,t)=0)

Contrary to previous solving methods (e.g. d'Alembert formula) it is <u>not</u> a <u>plug & solve</u> method, but requires a good understanding of the whole mathematical derivation.

We will seek non-trivial solutions (i.e. solution  $u(x_i,t) \neq 0$ ). Indeed U=0 is always solution of homogeneous equations, but honestly it's a not very interesting solution

## 4.1 For the homogeneous heat and wave equation

The formal derivation is found in the lecture script chap. 5.1. We will simply go over multiple examples to familiarize aurselves with this solving method.

(D) Identify the Problem: (D) PDE: (D) Boundary Condition: (D) Initial Data: (D) Apply separation of variables to PDE U=X00)T(t) and extract ODEs (2) ODE for X: (2) ODE for T:

3) Find general solution for X 2D. Make a case distinction for 2! (1) Find general solution for T (2.2), using 2 from above.

Formulate general solution for u(x, t) = XT
(a) Use the initial condition to determine the coefficients
(a) And finally, enjoy and write the full solution down



If the problem has inhomogeneous boundary conditions (e.g. u(0, t) = 1), find a W that solves this inhomogeneity (w=1) u(1, t) = 1) and subtract it from the the PDE: v=u-w. Then solve for v and finally, u= v+w.

> u(x,o) = f(x) $u_{t}(x_{p0}) = g(x)$

#### -> Example 6

Although you should really understand the step taken above to come to the solution, there are some "shortcuts" you can take if you recognize the type of Cauchy problem. For the homogeneous wave and heat equations. Heat:  $u_t - c^2 u_{xx} = 0$ boundary conditions u(x, 0) = f(x) $u_{tt} = c^2 u_{xx} = 0$ boundary conditions

$$T'=-c^{2}\Lambda T$$

$$T''=-c^{2}\Lambda T$$

Then, the form of the general solution for X depends on the boundary Condition: u(0,t)=u(L,t)=0Dirichlet  $u_{x}(0,t) = u_{x}(L,t) = 0$ von Neumann  $u_{x}(0,t) = u(L,t) = 0$ or  $u(0,t) = u_{x}(L,t)$ **D.B.C.** :  $X_n = \sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{L}\right)$  $n = \lambda_{1,2,3,\ldots} \\ n = 0, \lambda_{1,2,3,\ldots} \\ \beta_{n} = \left(\frac{n \pi}{L}\right)^{2}$  $v.N.B.C.: X_n = cos(\frac{MT}{L} \times)$ Combining both we get :

Heat equation		Wave Equation
D.B.C.	$u(x_{1}t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n} \sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{L} \times\right) e^{-\kappa \left(\frac{n\pi}{L}\right)^{2} t}$	$U(x,t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{L}x\right) \left[A_n \cos\left(\frac{n\pi}{L}ct\right) + B_n \sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{L}ct\right)\right]$
v.N.B.L.	$U(x,t) = \frac{1}{2}B_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n \cos\left(\frac{n\pi}{L}x\right) e^{-\kappa \left(\frac{n\pi}{L}\right)^2 t}$	$u(x_{1}t) = \frac{A_{0} + B_{0}t}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \cos\left(\frac{n\pi}{L} \times\right) \left[A_{n}\cos\left(\frac{cn\pi}{L}t\right) + B_{n}\sin\left(\frac{cn\pi}{L}t\right)\right]$

The last thing needed are the coefficients An and Bn. We find them either by extracting them directly from the initial condition or by computing them thanks to Fourier decomposition.

Fourier expansion	$A_n = \frac{2}{L} \int_{0}^{L} f(x) \sin\left(\frac{h\pi}{L}x\right) dx$	$A_{n} = \frac{2}{L} \int_{0}^{L} f(x) \sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{L}x\right) dx$ $B_{n} = \frac{2}{C n\pi} \int_{0}^{L} g(x) \sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{L}x\right) dx$
	$B_{n} = \frac{2}{L} \int_{0}^{L} f(x) \cos\left(\frac{n\pi}{L}x\right) dx$	$A_{n} = \frac{2}{L} \int_{0}^{L} f(x) \cos\left(\frac{n\pi}{L} \times\right) dx$ $B_{0} = \frac{2}{L} \int_{0}^{L} g(x) dx, B_{n} = \frac{2}{Cn\pi} \int_{0}^{L} g(x) \cos\left(\frac{n\pi}{L} \times\right) dx$

Please use these shortculs with caution and convince yourself they make sense by computing them from the beginning at least once before you start using them. There's a high probability that I've written has some mistakes somewher, so be aware (don't worry, I checked it but shill, stay on your guard.)

4.2 Inhomogeneous heat & wave equation The nuthod for inhomogeneous equations is a little different: 1) Identify the Problem: (1) PDE: (1) Boundary Condition: (3) Initial Data: 2) Apply separation of variables to homogrous PDE U=Xm) T(t) and extract ODER 2. ODE for X: 220DE for T: 3) Find general solution for X (2.D. Make a case distinction for 7! @Find general solution for T (2.), using I from above. @Formulate general solution for u(x,t) = XT with the basis found in 3 5-DInsert in inhomogeneous PDE and use the initial condition to determine the coefficients (7) And finally, enjoy and write the full solution down -> Example 7

# 4.3 Laplace quation on rectangular domains

Solving a problem where two opposite side of the bandary condition is zero is double. So we use linearity of the Laplan equation to split a problem into two subproblems:



Solve problems for  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  (or  $\tilde{u}_1$  and  $\tilde{u}_2$ ), with separation of variables: u = XY• In the homogeneous direction (x for  $u_2$ , y of  $u_1$ ): DBC:  $X = A_n \sin(\sqrt{\lambda_n}(x-a))$   $Y = A_n \sin(\sqrt{\lambda_n}(y-a))$  $\lambda = \left(\frac{\pi n}{b-a}\right)^2$ 

$$Y = A_n \sin(\sqrt[3]{n} (y-c))$$
  
NBC:  $X = A_n \cos(\sqrt[3]{n} (x-a))$   
 $Y = A_n \cos(\sqrt[3]{n} (y-c))$ 

• In the other direction  
DBC: 
$$Y = Cn \sinh(\sqrt{2n}(y-c)) + Dn \sinh(\sqrt{2n}(y-d))$$
  
 $X = Cn \sinh(\sqrt{2n}(x-a)) + Dn \sinh(\sqrt{2n}(x-b))$   
NBC:  $Y = Cn \cosh(\sqrt{2n}(y-c)) + Dn \cosh(\sqrt{2n}(y-d))$   
 $X = Cn \cosh(\sqrt{2n}(x-a)) + Dn \cosh(\sqrt{2n}(x-b))$ 

-> Example 9

Existence of  
Solution to  
the Neumann  
problem 
$$\int \partial_n u$$
  $\int g(x(s), y(s)) ds = \int g(x, y) dx dy$   
 $\int \partial_n u$   $\int \int g(x(s), y(s)) ds = \int g(x, y) dx dy$   
 $\int \partial_n u$   $\partial_n u$ 

If, in addition, the problem is on a rectangular damain, these equations simplify to:  
Neumann  

$$\oint \partial_{n} u(s) ds = \int_{c}^{d} g dy + \int_{a}^{b} k dx - \int_{c}^{d} f dy - \int_{a}^{b} h dx \stackrel{!}{=} 0$$

$$\int_{\partial D}^{d} u(s) ds = \int_{c}^{d} (U_{x}(x,c)) \cdot (\stackrel{\circ}{_{-a}}) dx + \int_{a}^{b} (U_{x}(x,d)) (\stackrel{\circ}{_{a}}) dx + \int_{a}^{c} (U_{x}(a,g)) \cdot (\stackrel{-a}{_{a}}) dy + \int_{c}^{d} (U_{x}(a,g)) \cdot (\stackrel{-a}{_{a}}) dy + \int_{c}^{d} (U_{x}(a,g)) (\stackrel{a}{_{a}}) dx + \int_{c}^{d} (U_{x}(a,g)) \cdot (\stackrel{-a}{_{a}}) dy + \int_{c}^{d} (U_{x}(a,g)) \cdot (\stackrel{-a}{_{a}}) dy + \int_{c}^{d} (U_{x}(a,g)) \cdot (\stackrel{a}{_{a}}) dx$$

$$= -\int_{c}^{b} (U_{y}(x,c)) dx + \int_{c}^{b} (U_{x}(a,g)) dy + \int_{c}^{d} (u_{x}(b,g)) dy = -\int_{c}^{b} h dx + \int_{c}^{b} (dy - \int_{c}^{d} f dy + \int_{c}^{d} g dy$$



Use linearity and introduce a hormonic polynomial  $P_n(x,y) = a_0 + a_1 x + a_2 y + a_3 x y + a_4 (x^2 - y^2)$ to  $u: \tilde{u} = u + p_H$ . Then find the coefficients  $a_i$  so that the condition is met. Then solve the problem for  $\tilde{u}$ . Finally find  $u = \tilde{u} - p_h$  $\longrightarrow$  see Serie II 2017, exercise 3

### 4.4 Laplace equation on circular domain

Instead of being a rectangle, the domain is now a circle (a.k.a circular domain, Ball) of radius a and centured in zero

$$D = B_a = \{ O \in \Gamma \leq a \ | \ \Theta \in [0, 2\pi] \}$$



In that case, it's really not that much more complicated. The only extra step is to change the coordinates. Instead of working with 2 and 4, we work with O and r. The Laplace equation in polar coordinates is:

$$\Delta u = W_{rr} + \frac{1}{r} W_{r} + \frac{1}{r^{2}} W_{ee} \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \qquad (u: B_{a} \rightarrow \mathbb{R})$$

where

$$W(r, 0) = \mathcal{U}(r \cos 0, r \sin 0) = \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L}(r, 0), \mathcal{L}(r, 0)) \qquad W: \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{x}} \to \mathbb{R}$$

Then, we can use the separation of veriables ogain!

 $W(\mathbf{r}, \boldsymbol{\Theta}) = \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{r}) \boldsymbol{\Theta}(\boldsymbol{\Theta})$ 

Inserting in the Laplace equation, and with the help of Periodicity  $\begin{cases} \Theta(0) = \Theta(2\pi) \\ \Theta'(0) = \Theta'(2\pi) \end{cases}$ get a general solution:  $w(r, \Theta) = C_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r^n [A_n \cos(n\Theta) + B_n \sin(n\Theta)]$ And as per usual, you can simply insert the boundary conditions to find the coefficients  $A_n$  and  $B_n$ .



There are other types of Boundaries, the proof is similar but it's quite unlikely that the other types (2-4) will come to the exam, and if it comes, you can simply insert the boundary condition in the general solution to find the coefficients and solve the problem exactly.

Type 1 Ball

$$\overline{D} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} O \leq \Gamma \leq R \\ O \leq \Theta < 2\pi \right\} \\ Boundary conditions: \\ \begin{array}{l} \Theta(0) = \Theta(2\pi) \\ \Theta'(0) = \Theta''(2\pi) \end{array} \right\} \\ Periodicity \\ \begin{array}{l} \omega(R, 0) = F(\Theta) \\ \omega(R, 0) = f(\Theta) \\ -> given \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l} \omega(r, 0) = C_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Gamma^n \left(A_n \sin(n\Theta) + B_n(\cos(n\Theta))\right) \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l} \text{In soft } B.C. \text{ and find coefficients.} \end{array} \right\}$$

Type 2 Ring

$$\overline{D} = \begin{cases} R_{1} \leq \Gamma \leq R_{2}, & O \leq O < 2\pi \end{cases}$$
Boundary conditions:  $\widehat{\Theta}(0) = \widehat{\Theta}(2\pi)$   
 $\widehat{\Theta}'(0) = \widehat{\Theta}''(2\pi)$ 
periodicity
$$\omega(R_{1}, \Theta) = \widehat{F}(\Theta)$$
 $\omega(R_{2}, \Theta) = g(\Theta)$ 
given
$$\Delta u = 0$$

Solution :

$$\omega(r, \Theta) = E + F \log(r) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \Gamma^n \left[ A_n \sin(n\Theta) + B_n \cos(n\Theta) \right] + \Gamma^n \left[ C_n \sin(n\Theta) + D_n \cos(n\Theta) \right] \right\}$$

$$\overline{D} = \begin{cases} 0 \leq r \leq R, \ 0 \leq \theta \leq \gamma \end{cases}$$
Boundary conditions:  $\omega(R_1\theta) = h(\theta)$ 
In this case we only look at N.B.( & D.B.( so:  
 $D.B.(. \oplus 0) = 0$  N.B.(.  $\Theta'(\theta) = 0$   
 $\Theta'(\gamma) = 0$   
 $\omega(r_1\theta) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \sin(\frac{n\pi}{\gamma}\theta) r^{\frac{n\pi}{\gamma}}$   
 $\omega(r_1\theta) = A_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \cos(\frac{n\pi}{\gamma}\theta) r^{\frac{n\pi}{\gamma}}$ 

Type 4  
Ring  
Section
$$\overline{D} = \{ R_1 \leq r \leq R_2, 0 \leq 0 \leq \gamma \}$$
  
Boundary conditions:  $\omega(R_1, 0) = k(0)$   
 $\omega(R_2, 0) = h(0)$ In this case we look at N.BL. and DBL:D.B.C.  $(D) = 0$   
 $(P) = 0$ N.B.L.  $(D'(0) = 0)$   
 $(P) = 0$  $(P(y) = 0)$  $(P(y) = 0)$  $(Cr, 0) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \sin(\frac{n\pi}{r} 0) \Gamma_r^n$  $(u(r, 0) = A_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \cos(\frac{n\pi}{r} 0) \Gamma_r^n$ 

5: Maximum principles

Minimum

Principh

Before talking about min/max values of a function you should remember, in 2D:  $(x_0, y_0)$  is an extremum if  $\nabla u(x_0, y_0) = \begin{pmatrix} u_x \\ u_y \end{pmatrix} \Big|_{(x_0, y_0)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = 0$  $(x_0, y_0)$  is a maximum if it is an extremum and  $\int \Delta u(x_0, y_0) \leq 0$ or  $u_{xx}, u_{yy} | \leq 0$ or  $D^2_u(x_0, y_0) \leq 0$  $(x_{0}, y_{0})$  is a minimum if it is an extremum and  $\begin{cases} \Delta u (x_{0}, y_{0}) \ge 0 \\ OR & U_{XX}, U_{YY} \ge 0 \\ OR & D^{2}u(x_{0}, y_{0}) \ge 0 \end{cases}$ This also means, in order for these principles to be valid, they must be C? (2 times continuously differentiable) Weak Let D be a bounded domain and  $u(x,y) \in C^{2}(D) \cap C(\overline{D})$  a Maximm harmonic Function.  $=)u(x_{i}y) \text{ will take its maximum on }\partial D.$   $\max u \leq \max u = \max u$   $D \quad \overline{D} \qquad \partial D$ /Minimum Principle The same can be said for the minimum! D= DUD Strong. Let u(x,y) be harmonic in D and u reaches its maximum inside D, then u is constant on all D. Maximum

The same can be said for the minimum!

Mean Let 
$$u(x,y)$$
 be harmonic in D and let  $B_{R}(x_{0},y_{0}) \in D$   
Value be a Ball of radius R centred in  $(x_{0},y_{0})$ . Then:  
Theorem  
 $u(x_{0},y_{0}) = \frac{1}{2\pi R} \int u(x_{0}(s),y(s)) ds = \frac{1}{2\pi R} \int u(x_{0} + R\cos \theta, y_{0} + R\sin \theta) d\theta$   
Surprisingly, the inverse also holds, so if (x) is satisfied in some domain D then

u is homonic in that domain!



Let u solve  $U_t = k \Delta U$  in  $Q_T$  for some k > 0. Assume that D is bounded Then u achives its maximum (and minimum) on  $\partial p Q_T$ 

-> Example 10