

**Exercise 2.1.**

Given a measure  $\mu$  on a set  $X$ , we define the set of atoms of  $\mu$  as

$$A_\mu := \{x \in X : \{x\} \text{ is measurable and } \mu(\{x\}) > 0\}.$$

(a) Assuming that  $\mu(X) < +\infty$ , show that  $A_\mu$  is at most countable.

**Solution:** For each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  let  $A(n)$  be the set of  $x \in X$  such that  $\{x\}$  is measurable and  $\mu(\{x\}) \geq \frac{1}{n}$ . We will show that  $\#(A(n)) \leq n\mu(X) < +\infty$ . Indeed, if it were not the case we could find a finite set  $F \subset A(n)$  with more than  $n\mu(X)$  elements, to which we can apply the additivity of  $\mu$ :

$$\mu(X) < \frac{1}{n}\#(F) \leq \sum_{x \in F} \mu(\{x\}) = \mu\left(\bigcup_{x \in F} \{x\}\right) = \mu(F) \leq \mu(X) < +\infty,$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore  $A(n)$  is finite for each  $n$ , and  $A_\mu = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+} A(n)$  is a countable union of finite sets, thus is countable.  $\square$

(b) Is the same true if  $\mu$  is only assumed to be  $\sigma$ -finite? And in general? Show it or give a counterexample.

**Solution:** The statement still holds true  $X$  is  $\sigma$ -finite: one can write  $X = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^+} X_k$  with  $X_k \subset X$   $\mu$ -measurable and  $\mu(X_k) < +\infty$  and deduce as above that for each  $k$ , the set  $A_\mu \cap X_k$  is finite. Then

$$A_\mu = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^+} (A_\mu \cap X_k)$$

is a countable union of countable sets and therefore countable.

However without the  $\sigma$ -finiteness assumption the statement is false: consider any uncountable set  $X$  (for example  $X = \mathbb{R}$ ) together with the counting measure  $\#$ . Then every singleton is measurable and has positive measure.  $\square$

(c)  $\star$  Construct an example of measure  $\mu$  on an uncountable set  $X$  such that  $\mu(\{x\}) > 0$  for every  $x \in X$  but  $\mu(X) < \infty$ . This shows that the condition of the measurability of  $\{x\}$  in the definition of  $A_\mu$  cannot be removed.

**Solution:** Let  $X$  be any uncountable set (for example  $X = \mathbb{R}$ ) and consider the measure  $\mu$  defined by

$$\mu(E) = \begin{cases} 0, & E = \emptyset \\ 1, & E \neq \emptyset \end{cases}$$

(see Exercise 1.2.13, part 3, in the lecture notes.) Then  $\mu(X) = 1 < \infty$  but  $\mu(\{x\}) = 1 > 0$  for every  $x \in X$ . However only the sets  $\emptyset$  and  $X$  are measurable.  $\square$

**Exercise 2.2.** (Upper and lower semicontinuity of measures.)

Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a  $\sigma$ -algebra on a set  $X$  and  $\mu : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  a  $\sigma$ -additive function on  $\mathcal{E}$ . For a sequence  $\{A_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{E}$ ,

(a) show that

$$\mu\left(\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n\right) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n).$$

**Solution:** Recall that  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n$  is the increasing union  $\bigcup_{n \geq 1} \bigcap_{m \geq n} A_m$ . Therefore it holds that

$$\mu \left( \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n \right) = \mu \left( \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \bigcap_{m \geq n} A_m \right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu \left( \bigcap_{m \geq n} A_m \right).$$

On the other hand, since  $\bigcap_{m \geq n} A_m \subseteq A_n$ , it holds that  $\mu(\bigcap_{m \geq n} A_m) \leq \mu(A_n)$ , thus passing to the lim inf we get

$$\mu \left( \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n \right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu \left( \bigcap_{m \geq n} A_m \right) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n). \quad \square$$

(b) show that also

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n) \leq \mu \left( \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n \right)$$

holds provided that  $\mu(X) < \infty$ .

**Solution:** Similarly,  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n$  is the decreasing intersection  $\bigcap_{n \geq 1} \bigcup_{m \geq n} A_m$ . Assuming that  $\mu(X) < \infty$ , it holds that

$$\mu \left( \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n \right) = \mu \left( \bigcap_{n \geq 1} \bigcup_{m \geq n} A_m \right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu \left( \bigcup_{m \geq n} A_m \right).$$

Analogously, since  $\bigcup_{m \geq n} A_m \supseteq A_n$ , we see that  $\mu(\bigcup_{m \geq n} A_m) \geq \mu(A_n)$ , thus we can take the lim sup on both sides and conclude

$$\mu \left( \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n \right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu \left( \bigcup_{m \geq n} A_m \right) \geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n). \quad \square$$

### Exercise 2.3. ♣

Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a  $\sigma$ -algebra on a set  $X$  and  $\mu : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  a  $\sigma$ -additive function on  $\mathcal{E}$ . Which of the following are true for an arbitrary sequence  $\{A_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{E}$ ?

(a) Whenever  $B \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ ,

$$\mu(B) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_n). \quad \checkmark$$

(b)

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n^c = \left( \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n \right)^c. \quad \checkmark$$

(c)

$$\mu \left( \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n \right) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n). \quad \times$$

(d)

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n) \leq \mu \left( \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n \right). \quad \times$$

**Exercise 2.4. ★**

Let  $\mu : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  be a measure with the following property: there is a real number  $s > n$  such that for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $r > 0$ ,

$$\mu(B(x, r)) \leq r^s.$$

Show that  $\mu \equiv 0$ . Here  $B(x, r) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : |y - x| < r\}$  denotes the open ball with center  $x$  and radius  $r$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Solution:** The key idea is to use cubes instead of squares. Given a cube  $Q = [a_1, a_1 + \ell] \times \cdots \times [a_n, a_n + \ell] \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , it is easy to see that it is contained in the ball  $B(x, r)$  where  $x = (a_1 + \ell/2, \dots, a_n + \ell/2)$  and  $r$  is any number bigger than  $\sqrt{n}\ell/2$ . Therefore, by the monotonicity property of  $\mu$ , our assumption implies that

$$\mu(Q) \leq \mu(B(x, r)) \leq \left( \frac{\sqrt{n}\ell}{2} \right)^s = C\ell^s,$$

where  $C$  is just a constant that depends on  $n$  and  $s$ .

Now given any cube  $Q = [a_1, a_1 + \ell] \times \cdots \times [a_n, a_n + \ell]$  and any number  $k$ , decompose  $Q$  into  $k^n$  cubes of sidelength  $\ell/k$  in the obvious way. That is, the new cubes will be indexed by  $n$  integers  $i_1, \dots, i_n \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}$  and

$$Q_{i_1, \dots, i_n} = [a_1 + i_1\ell/k, a_1 + (i_1 + 1)\ell/k] \times \cdots \times [a_n + i_n\ell/k, a_n + (i_n + 1)\ell/k].$$

Hence by the subadditivity of the measure,

$$\mu(Q) \leq \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}^n} \mu(Q_{i_1, \dots, i_n}) \leq \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}^n} C\ell^s = k^n \left( \frac{\ell}{k} \right)^s = k^{n-s}\ell^s.$$

Letting  $k \rightarrow \infty$  the right hand side tends to zero because  $s > n$ . Hence any cube has measure zero, and since  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a union of cubes,  $\mu \equiv 0$ .  $\square$