## Exercise 6.1.

Let $f:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an $\alpha$-Hölder continuous function, namely, there is a constant $L>0$ such that $|f(x)-f(y)| \leq L|x-y|^{\alpha}$ for every $x, y \in[0,1]$, where $0<\alpha \leq 1$ is a fixed number. Let $G=\{(x, f(x)): x \in[0,1]\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ denote its graph.
(a) Show that $\mathcal{L}^{2}(G)=0$.

Solution: We can show more generally that if $f:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function, then its graph has Lebesgue measure zero. Given $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $\delta>0$ such that if $x_{1}, x_{2} \in[0,1]$ satisfy $\left|x_{1}-x_{2}\right| \leq \delta$, then $\left|f\left(x_{1}\right)-f\left(x_{2}\right)\right| \leq \varepsilon$.
Choose a subdivision $0=a_{0}<a_{1}<\cdots<a_{N}=1$ such that $a_{i}-a_{i-1}<\delta$ and consider the rectangles $R_{i}:=\left[a_{i-1}, a_{i}\right] \times\left[f\left(a_{i}\right)-\varepsilon, f\left(a_{i}\right)+\varepsilon\right] \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}, i=1, \ldots, N$. We claim that these rectangles cover the graph of $f$ : given $x \in[0,1]$, say $x \in\left[a_{i-1}, a_{i}\right]$ for some $i$, since $\left|x-a_{i}\right| \leq\left|a_{i-1}-a_{i}\right| \leq \delta$, then $\left|f(x)-f\left(a_{i}\right)\right| \leq \varepsilon$ and thus $(x, f(x)) \in R_{i}$, which proves the claim.
Now, by the definition of the Lebesgue measure,

$$
\mathcal{L}^{2}(G) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \operatorname{vol}\left(R_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(a_{i}-a_{i-1}\right) \cdot 2 \varepsilon=2 \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_{i}-a_{i-1}=2 \varepsilon(1-0)=2 \varepsilon
$$

and letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ it follows that $\mathcal{L}^{2}(G)=0$.
(b) $\star$ Show moreover that $\mathcal{H}^{s}(G)=0$ for every $s>2-\alpha$.

Solution: Let $N>0$ be a natural number and consider the set of squares

$$
\mathcal{Q}_{N}:=\left\{Q_{i, j}: i=0, \ldots, N-1, j \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}
$$

where

$$
Q_{i, j}:=\left[\frac{i}{N}, \frac{i+1}{N}\right] \times\left[\frac{j}{N}, \frac{j+1}{N}\right] .
$$

We claim that, if $N$ is large enough, then for each $i$ there are at most $2 L N^{1-\alpha}$ squares $Q_{i, j}$ that intersect $G$. Indeed, fix one $j_{0}$ such that $Q_{i, j_{0}} \cap G \neq \varnothing$ and consider any other $j_{1}$ such that $Q_{i, j_{1}} \cap G \neq \varnothing$. Then there exist $x_{0}, x_{1} \in[i / N,(i+1) / N]$ such that $j_{0} / N \leq f\left(x_{0}\right) \leq\left(j_{0}+1\right) / N$ and $j_{1} / N \leq f\left(x_{1}\right) \leq\left(j_{1}+1\right) / N$. But since $\left|f\left(x_{0}\right)-f\left(x_{1}\right)\right| \leq L\left|x_{0}-x_{1}\right|^{\alpha} \leq L\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)^{\alpha}$, it must hold
$\left|j_{0}-j_{1}\right|=N \cdot\left|\frac{j_{0}}{N}-\frac{j_{1}}{N}\right| \leq N \cdot\left(\left|\frac{j_{0}}{N}-f\left(x_{0}\right)\right|+\left|f\left(x_{0}\right)-f\left(x_{1}\right)\right|+\left|f\left(x_{1}\right)-\frac{j_{1}}{N}\right|\right) \leq N \cdot\left(\frac{2}{N}+\frac{L}{N^{\alpha}}\right)$,
so that $\left|j_{0}-j_{1}\right| \leq 2+L N^{1-\alpha} \leq 2 L N^{1-\alpha}$ if $N$ is large enough. As a consequece, in total there are at most $N \cdot 2 L N^{1-\alpha}=2 L N^{2-\alpha}$ squares $Q \in \mathcal{Q}_{N}$ that intersect $G$.
To work with the definition of Hausdorff measure given in the lectures we need to work with balls instead of squares. Thus, for each pair of indices $(i, j)$ as before, we define $B_{i, j}$ to be the ball with the same center as $Q_{i, j}$ and with radius $1 / N$, in such a way that $Q_{i, j} \subset B_{i, j}$. Now consider the collection

$$
\mathcal{B}_{N}:=\left\{B_{i, j}: Q_{i, j} \cap G \neq \varnothing\right\},
$$

which is clearly a covering of $G$ with at most $2 L N^{2-\alpha}$ balls.
Now fix $s>2-\alpha$ and let $\delta>0$. It follows from the definition of $\mathcal{H}_{\delta}^{s}$ that, if $N>1 / \delta$, then

$$
\mathcal{H}_{\delta}^{s}(G) \leq\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)^{s} \cdot \#\left(\mathcal{B}_{N}\right) \leq 2 L N^{2-\alpha-s} .
$$

Letting $N \rightarrow \infty$ we find that $\mathcal{H}_{\delta}^{s}(G)=0$, and finally letting $\delta \rightarrow 0$ we obtain that $\mathcal{H}^{s}(G)=0$.

## Exercise 6.2.

Show that the graph of the function $g:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
g(x)= \begin{cases}\sin \frac{1}{x}, & \text { if } x \neq 0 \\ 0, & \text { if } x=0\end{cases}
$$

has Lebesgue measure zero in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$.
Solution: The function $g$ is not continuous, but for each $a>0,\left.g\right|_{[a, 1]}$ is clearly continuous (and even smooth, so $\alpha$-Hölder for every $\alpha$ ). Therefore, by Exercise 6.1(a), for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$, $\mathcal{L}^{2}\left(\right.$ graph $\left.\left.g\right|_{\left[j^{-1}, 1\right]}\right)=0$, so by the continuity property of a measure for increasing sequences of sets,

$$
\mathcal{L}^{2}(\operatorname{graph} g)=\mathcal{L}^{2}\left(\left.\bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}} \operatorname{graph} g\right|_{\left[j^{-1}, 1\right]}\right)=\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{L}^{2}\left(\left.\operatorname{graph} g\right|_{\left[j^{-1}, 1\right]}\right)=0 .
$$

## Exercise 6.3.

For $s \geq 0$ and $\emptyset \neq A \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$, we define

$$
\mathcal{H}_{\infty}^{s}(A):=\inf \left\{\sum_{k \in I} r_{k}^{s}: A \subset \bigcup_{k \in I} B\left(x_{k}, r_{k}\right), r_{k}>0\right\}
$$

where the set of indices $I$ is at most countable. One can check that $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}^{s}$ is a measure. Prove that $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}^{1 / 2}$ is not Borel on $\mathbb{R}$.
Remark. Note that the definition of $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}^{s}$ coincides with Definition 1.8.1 in the Lecture Notes for $\delta=\infty$.

Solution: We show that the interval $[0,1]$ is not $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}^{1 / 2}$-measurable, from which follows that $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}^{1 / 2}$ is not Borel on $\mathbb{R}$.
First let us prove that $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}^{1 / 2}([a, b])=\left(\frac{b-a}{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$ for all $a<b$. Note that the interval $B\left(\frac{a+b}{2}, \frac{b-a}{2}+\varepsilon\right)$ covers $[a, b]$ for all $\varepsilon>0$. Therefore we have that $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}^{1 / 2}([a, b]) \leq\left(\frac{b-a}{2}+\varepsilon\right)^{1 / 2}$, which implies that $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}^{1 / 2}([a, b]) \leq\left(\frac{b-a}{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$ for arbitrariness of $\varepsilon$. On the other hand, given any finite or countable cover $\left\{B\left(x_{k}, r_{k}\right)\right\}_{k \in I}$ of $[a, b]$, the total length of the intervals of the covering should be at least $b-a$, namely $\sum_{k \in I} 2 r_{k} \geq b-a$. Hence, using that $\left(\sum_{k \in I} r_{k}^{1 / 2}\right)^{2} \geq \sum_{k \in I} r_{k}$, we get

$$
\sum_{k \in I} r_{k}^{1 / 2} \geq\left(\sum_{k \in I} r_{k}\right)^{1 / 2} \geq\left(\frac{b-a}{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

Therefore we obtain that $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}^{1 / 2}([a, b])=\left(\frac{b-a}{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$ for all $a<b$. The same proof (with the same result) works for half-closed and open intervals.

As a result, we get

$$
\mathcal{H}_{\infty}^{1 / 2}([0,2])=1 \neq 2^{3 / 2}=\mathcal{H}_{\infty}^{1 / 2}([0,1])+\mathcal{H}_{\infty}^{1 / 2}((1,2]),
$$

which proves that $[0,1]$ is not $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}^{1 / 2}$-measurable.

## Exercise 6.4.

Recall that a measure is called Radon if it is Borel regular and finite on compact sets.
Which of the following statements are true?
(a) The Lebesgue measure $\mathcal{L}^{n}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a Radon measure.
(b) For any nondecreasing and left-continuous function $F: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the Lebesgue-Stieltjes measure $\Lambda_{F}$ is a Radon measure on $\mathbb{R}$.
(c) For any $s>0$, the Hausdorff measure $\mathcal{H}^{s}$ is a Radon measure on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.
(d) The Dirac measure $\delta_{0}$ is a Radon measure on $\mathbb{R}$.
(e) For every set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$, it holds $\mathcal{H}^{n+1}(A)=0$.

## Exercise 6.5.

Consider the continuous function $f:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$
f(x)= \begin{cases}x \sin \frac{1}{x}, & x>0 \\ 0, & x=0\end{cases}
$$

and its graph

$$
A:=\{(x, f(x)) \mid x \in[0,1]\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2} .
$$

(a) $\star$ Show that $\mathcal{H}^{1}(A)=\infty$.

Hint: use the $\sigma$-additivity of $\mathcal{H}^{1}$ on Borel sets to decompose the curve $A$ into pieces which "look like" straight lines and try to compare their $\mathcal{H}^{1}$-measure with their length.
Solution: Let $a_{n}=1 /(\pi n)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$. By the $\sigma$-additivity of $\mathcal{H}^{1}$ (and the fact that Borel sets are measurable), we can write

$$
\mathcal{H}^{1}(A) \geq \sum_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{H}^{1}\left(\operatorname{graph}\left(\left.f\right|_{\left[a_{n+1}, a_{n}\right]}\right)\right) .
$$

Observe that $\operatorname{dist}\left(\left(a_{n}, f\left(a_{n}\right)\right),\left(a_{n+1}, f\left(a_{n+1}\right)\right)\right) \geq\left|f\left(a_{n}\right)-f\left(a_{n+1}\right)\right|=\left|f\left(a_{n}\right)\right|+\left|f\left(a_{n+1}\right)\right|=\left|a_{n}\right|+$ $\left|a_{n+1}\right| \geq 1 /(\pi n)$. Thus, since the harmonic series diverges, it is enough to prove that for a smooth connected curve $\gamma$ joining two points $p$ and $q$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2}, \mathcal{H}^{1}(\gamma) \geq c \operatorname{dist}(p, q)$ for some constant $c>0$ (we will actually show this with $c=1 / 2$, which is sharp).

To see that, consider a covering $\mathscr{B}$ of $\gamma$ by balls and extract a finite subcovering $B\left(x_{1}, r_{1}\right), \ldots, B\left(x_{N}, r_{N}\right)$; moreover we may assume that all these balls intersect $\gamma$. Let $i_{1} \in\{1, \ldots, N\}$ be such that $p \in B\left(x_{i_{1}}, r_{i_{1}}\right)$; in particular $B\left(x_{i_{1}}, r_{i_{1}}\right) \subset B\left(p, 2 r_{i_{1}}\right)$. Since $\gamma$ is connected, the open sets $B\left(p, 2 r_{i_{1}}\right)$ and $\bigcup_{j \neq i_{1}} B\left(x_{j}, r_{j}\right)$ cannot disconnect $\gamma$ and therefore they must have a point in common inside $\gamma$. Thus there exists an index $i_{2} \neq i_{1}$ such that $B\left(p, 2 r_{i_{1}}\right) \cap B\left(x_{i_{2}}, r_{i_{2}}\right) \neq \varnothing$. Now it is easy to see that the enlarged ball $B\left(p, 2 r_{i_{1}}+2 r_{i_{2}}\right)$ contains $B\left(x_{i_{2}}, r_{i_{2}}\right)$ as well, so we may iterate the argument and consider the covering of $\gamma$ by $B\left(p, 2 r_{i_{1}}+2 r_{i_{2}}\right)$ and $\bigcup_{j \neq i_{1}, i_{2}} B\left(x_{j}, r_{j}\right)$ to find a third index $i_{3}$ with $B\left(p, 2 r_{i_{1}}+2 r_{i_{2}}\right) \cap B\left(x_{i_{3}}, r_{i_{3}}\right) \neq \varnothing$ and deduce $B\left(x_{i_{3}}, r_{i_{3}}\right) \subset B\left(p, 2 r_{i_{1}}+2 r_{i_{2}}+2 r_{i_{3}}\right)$.
By repeating this argument we conclude that all the original balls are contained in $B\left(p, 2 r_{i_{1}}+\right.$ $\left.\cdots+2 r_{i_{N}}\right)=B\left(p, 2 r_{1}+\cdots+2 r_{N}\right)$; in particular, $q$ is also contained there, and it follows that $\operatorname{dist}(p, q) \leq 2\left(r_{1}+\cdots+r_{N}\right) \leq 2 \sum_{B \in \mathscr{B}} \operatorname{radius}(B)$. Fix $\delta>0$ and take the infimum over such collections of balls with radius at most $\delta$ to deduce that $\mathcal{H}_{\delta}^{s}(\gamma) \geq \operatorname{dist}(p, q) / 2$, so the same holds when we take the limit $\delta \searrow 0$.
Remark: It is also possible to show this by considering the projection of the graph of $f$ restricted to $\left[a_{n+1}, a_{n}\right]$ onto the Y-axis, which (as one can easily show) does not increase Hausdorff measures, and conclude by comparing the $\mathcal{H}^{1}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{1}$ measures on the real line.
(b) Show that $\mathcal{H}^{s}(A)=0$ if $s>1$.

Solution: We use the same trick as in Exercise 6.2: we can write

$$
A=\operatorname{graph}(f)=\bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}} \operatorname{graph}\left(\left.f\right|_{\left[j^{-1}, 1\right]}\right)
$$

and pass to the limit once we show that graph $\left(\left.f\right|_{[a, 1]}\right)$ has $\mathcal{H}^{1}$ measure zero for each $a>0$. But this is a consequence of Exercise 6.1(b): $\left.f\right|_{[a, 1]}$ is smooth and in particular Lipschitz (that is, $\alpha$-Hölder with $\alpha=1$ ), hence the condition $s>2-\alpha=1$ holds.
Remark. A more explicit solution can be given by covering $A$ by small balls and using directly the definition of the Hausdorff measure.
(c) Conclude that $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathcal{H}}(A)=1$.

Solution: The fact that $\mathcal{H}^{1}(A)=\infty$ implies that $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathcal{H}}(A) \geq 1$, and the fact that $\mathcal{H}^{s}(A)=0$ for any $s>1$ implies that $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathcal{H}}(A) \leq s$ for any $s>1$. Therefore it must be $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathcal{H}}(A)=1$.

