

20.12.23

①

## The analytic continuation of the Riemann zeta function.

We've seen that (Notes 12, 25-10.23)

① Prop (2.1, chapter 6) The series  $\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}$

conv. abs. and unif on every half plane

$U_\delta := \{s \in \mathbb{C} \mid \text{Res} \geq 1 + \delta\}$ ,  $\delta > 0$  and

is holomorphic in  $\{s \in \mathbb{C} \mid \text{Res} > 1\}$ .

We've also seen

② Prop  $\forall z \in \mathbb{H}$ ,  $\theta(z) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{\pi i n^2 z}$

converges and defines a holomorphic function in  $\mathbb{H} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \text{Im} z > 0\}$ .

From Notes 7, 10-10.23 we have

③ For a function  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  which is Riemann integrable on every  $[a, b]$  and  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(t)| dt$  converges, its Fourier transform is defined as

$$\hat{f}(\xi) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) e^{-2\pi i \xi x} dx$$

②

We also have shown that  $f(x) = e^{-\pi x^2}$   
has  $\hat{f}(z) = e^{-\pi z^2}$

What we have not seen but can be proved is the following results about Fourier transform which can be found in Chapter 4 of the book.

For  $a > 0$ , denote by  $\mathcal{F}_a$  the class of all functions  $f$  that satisfy the following 2 conditions:

①  $f$  is holomorphic in the horizontal strip  $S_a = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |\operatorname{Im} z| < a\}$

②  $\exists$  a constant  $A > 0$  s.t.  
 $|f(x+iy)| \leq \frac{A}{1+x^2} \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, |y| < a$

(i.e.  $f$  is of moderate decay on each horizontal line  $\operatorname{Im} z = y$  uniformly in  $-a < y < a$ )

Example  $f(z) = e^{-\pi z^2} \in \mathcal{F}_a$  for all  $a > 0$ .

let  $\mathcal{F} = \{f \mid f \in \mathcal{F}_a \text{ for some } a\}$ .

(3)

Fourier inversion says

Thm 2.2 if  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ , then

$$f(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i x \xi} d\xi \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$(\widehat{\widehat{f}})(x) = f(-x)$$

Poisson summation says

Thm 2.4 if  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  then

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f(n) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{f}(n)$$

Cor Poisson summation formula applied to  $f(x) = e^{-\pi t x^2}$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$

gives 
$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\pi t n^2} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} t^{-1/2} e^{-\pi n^2/t}$$

Hence 
$$\mathcal{V}(t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-\pi t n^2} = t^{-1/2} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2/t} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \mathcal{V}\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{\mathcal{V}(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \mathcal{V}\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)}$$

Note  $\mathcal{V}(t) = \Theta(\sqrt{t})$

We can now use this transformation of  $\Theta$ -function to give analytic continuation and func'l eqn of  $\zeta(s)$ .

Thm 2.3 Let  $\Lambda(s) := \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \zeta(s)$ ,  $\text{Re } s > 1$

Then  $\Lambda(s)$  has a meromorphic continuation to all of  $s$ -plane, with simple poles at  $s=0, 1$ , and satisfies the func'l equation

$$\Lambda(1-s) = \Lambda(s).$$

Recall  $\Gamma(s) := \int_0^{\infty} e^{-t} t^s \frac{dt}{t}$ ,  $\text{Re } s > 0$

Thm 1.3

$\Gamma$  has analy. continuation to a meromorphic function on  $\mathbb{C}$ , with simple poles at  $s=0, -1, -2, \dots$  and residue of  $\Gamma(s)$  at  $s=-n$  is  $\frac{(-1)^n}{n!}$

Proof of thm 2.3

Idea: To relate  $\Lambda(s)$  and

$\mathcal{V}(t) := \Theta(it)$  via an integral transform and use the transformation property of  $\mathcal{V}(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \mathcal{V}\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)$

(5)

inside the integral  
to analytically continue  $\Lambda(s)$ .

We start by collecting growth and decay  
properties of  $\mathcal{V}(t)$

$$\mathcal{V}(t) \leq C t^{-1/2} \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0 \quad \left( \begin{array}{l} \text{Follows} \\ \text{from func. eqn} \end{array} \right)$$

and  $|\mathcal{V}(t) - 1| \leq C e^{-\pi t}$  for some  $C > 0$   
and  $\forall t \geq 1$ .

Since  $2 \sum_{n \geq 1} e^{-\pi n^2 t} \leq 2 \sum_{n \geq 1} e^{-\pi n t} \leq C e^{-\pi t}$   
 $t \geq 1$

The relation between  $\Lambda$  and  $\mathcal{V}$  is now  
given by the fact that, for  $\text{Re } s > 1$

$$\Lambda(s) = \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \zeta(s) = \int_0^{\infty} (\mathcal{V}(t) - 1) t^{\frac{s}{2}} \frac{dt}{t}$$

This is based on the simple observations  
that

(a)  $\int_0^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2 t} t^{\frac{s}{2}} \frac{dt}{t} = (\pi n^2)^{-s/2} \Gamma(s)$   
 $= \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma(s) n^{-s}$

(b)  $\mathcal{V}(t) - 1 = 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2 t}$

(6)

Using the estimates on  $\mathcal{O}(t)$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$   
as  $t \rightarrow \infty$   
one can justify the change of  $\int, \sum$

to get  $\frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\infty} (\mathcal{O}(t) - 1) t^{s/2} \frac{dt}{t}$ ,  $\text{Re } s > 1$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2 t} t^{s/2} \frac{dt}{t} = \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma(s) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} = \Lambda(s)$$

$$\Lambda(s) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\infty} (\mathcal{O}(t) - 1) t^{s/2} \frac{dt}{t}, \text{Re } s > 1$$

Now we'll see that we can make sense of the RHS for  $s \in \mathbb{C}$ .

Now

$$|\mathcal{O}(t) - 1| < e^{-\pi t} \text{ for } t \geq 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \int_1^{\infty} (\mathcal{O}(t) - 1) t^{s/2} \frac{dt}{t} \text{ converges } \forall s.$$

Hence defines an analytic function  $\forall s$ .

(7)

On the other hand for

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 (\mathcal{O}(t) - 1) t^{s/2} \frac{dt}{t} \quad \text{we use the}$$

func'l eqn of  $\mathcal{O}(t) = t^{-1/2} \mathcal{O}(1/t)$

This gives for  $\mathcal{O}(t) - 1 = t^{-1/2} \mathcal{O}(1/t) - 1$

$$= t^{-1/2} (\mathcal{O}(1/t) - 1) + t^{-1/2} - 1$$

Hence  $\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 (\mathcal{O}(t) - 1) t^{s/2} \frac{dt}{t}$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 (t^{-1/2} (\mathcal{O}(1/t) - 1) + t^{-1/2} - 1) t^{s/2} \frac{dt}{t}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 (\mathcal{O}(1/t) - 1) t^{\frac{s-1}{2}} \frac{dt}{t} + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 t^{\frac{s-1}{2}} \frac{dt}{t}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 (\mathcal{O}(1/t) - 1) t^{\frac{s-1}{2}} \frac{dt}{t} - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 t^{s/2} \frac{dt}{t}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 (\mathcal{O}(1/t) - 1) t^{\frac{s-1}{2}} \frac{dt}{t} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{t^{\frac{s-1}{2}}}{(\frac{s-1}{2})} \Big|_0^1$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 (\mathcal{O}(1/t) - 1) t^{\frac{s-1}{2}} \frac{dt}{t} + \frac{1}{s-1} - \frac{1}{s}$$

Now we make the change of variables  
 $u = 1/t$      $\frac{du}{u} = -dt/t$     we get

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 (\psi(1/t) - 1) t^{\frac{s-1}{2}} \frac{dt}{t} = \int_1^\infty (\psi(u) - 1) u^{\frac{1-s}{2}} \frac{du}{u}$$

Hence overall we have

$$\Lambda(s) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty (\psi(t) - 1) \frac{dt}{t} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{s-1} - \frac{1}{s} + \int_1^\infty (\psi(t) - 1) \left[ t^{s/2} + t^{1-s/2} \right] \frac{dt}{t} \right]$$

Note the integral on the right defines an entire func. for all  $s$  due to the exponential decay of  $|\psi(t) - 1| < C e^{-\pi t}$      $t \geq 1$

Hence  $\Lambda(s)$  has a continuation to all  $s$ -plane which is holomorphic except for simple poles at  $s=1, 0$  w/ residues  $1, -1$  resp.

Both  $\frac{1}{s-1} - \frac{1}{s}$  and  $\int_1^\infty (\psi(t) - 1) \left[ t^{s/2} + t^{1-s/2} \right] \frac{dt}{t}$  are invariant under  $s \rightarrow 1-s$  hence

$$\Lambda(s) = \Lambda(1-s)$$



9

$\Lambda(s) = \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \zeta(s)$  has A.C. to

all  $s$  except for simple poles at  $s=0$   
 $s=1$ ,

Since  $\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)$  has poles at  $\frac{s}{2}=0, -1, -2, \dots$

$\zeta(s)$  does not have a pole at  $s=0$

and must vanish at  $s=-2, -4, -6, \dots$

since  $\Lambda(s)$  doesn't have poles at  $s=-2, -4, \dots$

These are called the trivial zeros of  $\zeta(s)$

For  $\text{Re } s > 1$ ,

$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}$  also has an infinite product expansion called Euler product

$\zeta(s) = \prod_{p \text{ primes}} (1 - p^{-s})^{-1}$  which is an analytic statement

of fund. thm of arithmetic:  
Every positive integer is a unique product of prime powers.

Euler product  $\Rightarrow \zeta(s) \neq 0$  for  $\text{Re } s > 1$

By func'l eqn  $\zeta(s) \neq 0$  for  $\text{Re } s < 0$

(10)

Riemann hypothesis: If  $s \neq -2, -4, \dots, s \in \mathbb{C}$   
with  $\zeta(s) = 0$  then  $\operatorname{Re} s = \frac{1}{2}$

PNT Prime number theorem: Let  
 $\pi(x) := \# \{p \text{ prime} \mid p < x\}$

Then

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\pi(x)}{x / \log x} = 1$$

PNT is a consequence of

Thm If  $\operatorname{Re} s = 1$  then  $\zeta(s) \neq 0$