

## Solutions 12

### ANALYTIC CLASS NUMBER FORMULA, DENSITY

1. Let  $\Gamma$  be a complete lattice in a finitely dimensional euclidean vector space  $V$  of dimension  $n$ . Consider a subset  $X \subset V$  whose boundary  $\partial X$  is  $(n - 1)$ -Lipschitz parametrizable. Show that for  $t \rightarrow \infty$  we have

$$|\Gamma \cap tX| = \frac{\text{vol}(X)}{\text{vol}(V/\Gamma)} \cdot t^n + O(t^{n-1}).$$

(A subset  $Y \subset V$  is  $k$ -Lipschitz parametrizable if there exist finitely many Lipschitz continuous maps  $[0, 1]^k \rightarrow Y$  whose images cover  $Y$ .)

**Solution:** See VI §2 Thm. 2 in [Lang: Algebraic Number theory, Springer 1994].

2. Verify that the analytic class number formula is correct for  $K = \mathbb{Q}$ .

**Solution:** For  $K = \mathbb{Q}$  we have  $r = 1$  and  $s = 0$ , and the class number of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathbb{Z}$  is  $h = 1$ . As the group of units is finite, the regulator is  $R = 1$ . Moreover we have  $w = |\mathbb{Z}^\times| = 2$  and  $d_K = 1$ . The analytic class number formula therefore asserts that

$$\text{Res}_{s=1} \zeta_{\mathbb{Q}}(s) = \frac{2^r (2\pi)^s R h}{w \sqrt{|d_K|}} = \frac{2^1 \cdot (2\pi)^0 \cdot 1 \cdot 1}{2 \cdot 1} = 1.$$

This agrees with the residue of the Riemann zeta function  $\text{Res}_{s=1} \zeta(s) = 1$ .

3. Compute the residue of  $\zeta_K(s)$  at  $s = 1$  for
  - (a)  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$
  - (b)  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{11})$ .

**Solution:**

- (a) We have  $r = 2$  and  $s = 0$ . By Example 5.1.4, the number of roots of unity of  $K$  is  $w = 2$ . Moreover, by Proposition 3.5.2, the discriminant of  $K$  is 5. Using discriminant bounds, we see that every ideal class in  $\text{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_K)$  contains an ideal of norm  $\leq 2$ . It can be checked no ideal of norm 2 exists. Hence the class number  $h$  is 1.

Next, we compute the regulator of  $K$ . In exercise 6 of sheet 7, we have seen that  $\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$  is a fundamental unit of  $\mathcal{O}_K^\times$ . Moreover, we have  $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(H) = 1$  by Lemma 5.2.3 and thus  $\text{vol}(H/\Gamma) = \log(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2})$ .

By Theorem 7.2.7 the residue is therefore

$$\operatorname{Res}_{s=1} \zeta_K(s) = \frac{2^r (2\pi)^s R h}{w \sqrt{|d_K|}} = \frac{2^2 \cdot \log\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)}{2 \cdot \sqrt{5}} \approx 0.4304 \dots$$

- (b) As in (a), we have  $r = 2$  and  $s = 0$  and  $w = 2$ . In exercise 1 of sheet 6, we have seen that the class number  $h$  of  $K$  is 1.

By Proposition 5.4.2, we have  $\mathcal{O}_K^\times = \{a + b\sqrt{11} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, a^2 - b^2 11 = \pm 1\}$  and the fundamental unit is the element of  $\mathcal{O}_K^\times \cap \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  with the smallest value for  $b$ . For  $b = 1, 2$ , the numbers  $b^2 11 \pm 1$  are no square numbers. However, we have  $9 \cdot 11 + 1 = 100 = 10^2$  and thus  $10 + 3\sqrt{11}$  is a fundamental unit of  $K$ . As in (a), we get  $\operatorname{vol}(H/\Gamma) = \log(10 + 3\sqrt{11})$ .

By Theorem 7.2.7 the residue is therefore

$$\operatorname{Res}_{s=1} \zeta_K(s) = \frac{2^r (2\pi)^s R h}{w \sqrt{|d_K|}} = \frac{2^2 \cdot \log(10 + 3\sqrt{11})}{2 \cdot \sqrt{4 \cdot 11}} \approx 0.9025 \dots$$

4. For any subset  $A \subset \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  the value

$$\gamma(A) := \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\{n \leq x : n \in A\}|}{x}$$

is called the *natural density* of  $A$ , if it exists, and the value

$$\mu(A) := \lim_{s \rightarrow 1^+} \frac{\sum_{n \in A} n^{-s}}{\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} n^{-s}}$$

is called the *Dirichlet density* of  $A$ , if it exists. Determine both densities of ...

- (a) ... the set of all squares.
- (b) ... the set of positive integers which do not contain the decimal digit 7.
- (c) ... the set of positive integers which have an even number of decimal digits.

**Solution:**

- (a) For the set of all squares we have

$$\gamma(A) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{O(\sqrt{x})}{x} = 0$$

and

$$\mu(A) = \lim_{s \rightarrow 1^+} \frac{\sum_{n \geq 1} n^{-2s}}{\sum_{n \geq 1} n^{-s}} = \lim_{s \rightarrow 1^+} \frac{\zeta(2s)}{\zeta(s)} = \lim_{s \rightarrow 1^+} \frac{O(1)}{\frac{1}{s-1} + O(1)} = 0.$$

- (b) First we fix an integer  $k \geq 1$  and let  $A_k$  be the set of positive integers  $n$  whose last  $k$  decimal digits are  $\neq 7$ . This condition depends only on  $n$  modulo  $10^k$  and allows precisely  $9^k$  of the  $10^k$  residue classes modulo  $10^k$ . Among any  $10^k$  successive positive integers there are therefore at most  $9^k$  that lie in  $A_k$ . For any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  it follows that

$$N_k(x) := |\{n \leq x : n \in A_k\}| \leq \left(\frac{9}{10}\right)^k x + 10^k.$$

Therefore

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N_k(x)}{x} \leq \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \left(\left(\frac{9}{10}\right)^k + \frac{10^k}{x}\right) = \left(\frac{9}{10}\right)^k.$$

On the other hand, for any integer  $k \geq 1$  there are at most  $9^k$  integers  $n \in A \cap [10^{k-1}, 10^k)$ . Therefore

$$\sum_{n \in A} n^{-1} = \sum_{k \geq 1} \sum_{\substack{n \in A \\ 10^{k-1} \leq n < 10^k}} n^{-1} \leq \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{9^k}{10^{k-1}} = 90$$

and so

$$\limsup_{s \rightarrow 1^+} \frac{\sum_{n \in A} n^{-s}}{\sum_{n \geq 1} n^{-s}} \leq \lim_{s \rightarrow 1^+} \frac{90}{O(1) + \frac{1}{s-1}} = 0.$$

In summary  $A$  has natural and Dirichlet density 0.

- (c) Let  $A$  be the set of positive integers which have an even number of decimal digits. Then for any  $k \geq 1$ , all integers  $n$  satisfying  $10^{2k-1} \leq n < 10^{2k}$  lie in  $A$  and all those satisfying  $10^{2k} \leq n < 10^{2k+1}$  lie outside  $A$ . Thus

$$\frac{|\{n \leq 10^{2k} : n \in A\}|}{10^{2k}} \geq \frac{|\{10^{2k-1} \leq n < 10^{2k}\}|}{10^{2k}} = \frac{10^{2k} - 10^{2k-1}}{10^{2k}} = \frac{9}{10}$$

and

$$\frac{|\{n \leq 10^{2k+1} : n \in A\}|}{10^{2k+1}} \leq \frac{|\{1 \leq n < 10^{2k}\}| + 1}{10^{2k+1}} = \frac{10^{2k}}{10^{2k+1}} = \frac{1}{10}.$$

Therefore the natural density of  $A$  does not exist.

To compute the Dirichlet density we observe that for any  $s > 1$  the function  $x \mapsto x^{-s}$  is monotone decreasing; hence for every  $n \geq 2$  we have

$$\int_n^{n+1} x^{-s} dx \leq n^{-s} \leq \int_{n-1}^n x^{-s} dx.$$

For any  $k \geq 1$  we therefore have

$$\int_{10^{2k-1}}^{10^{2k}} x^{-s} dx \leq \sum_{n=10^{2k-1}}^{10^{2k}-1} n^{-s} \leq (10^{2k-1})^{-s} + \sum_{n=10^{2k-1}+1}^{10^{2k}} n^{-s} \leq (10^{2k-1})^{-s} + \int_{10^{2k-1}}^{10^{2k}} x^{-s} dx.$$

Here the integral computes to

$$\int_{10^{2k-1}}^{10^{2k}} x^{-s} dx = \frac{x^{1-s}}{1-s} \Big|_{10^{2k-1}}^{10^{2k}} = \frac{(10^{2k})^{1-s} - (10^{2k-1})^{1-s}}{1-s}.$$

Summing over  $k$  we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \in A} n^{-s} &= \sum_{k \geq 1} \left( O((10^{2k-1})^{-s}) + \frac{(10^{2k})^{1-s} - (10^{2k-1})^{1-s}}{1-s} \right) \\ &= O\left(\sum_{k \geq 1} 10^{-2(k-1)s} \cdot 10^{-s}\right) + \sum_{k \geq 1} 10^{2(k-1)(1-s)} \cdot \frac{10^{2(1-s)} - 10^{1-s}}{1-s} \\ &= O\left(\frac{10^{-s}}{1-10^{-2s}}\right) + \frac{1}{1-10^{2(1-s)}} \cdot \frac{10^{1-s} - 10^{2(1-s)}}{s-1} \\ &= O(1) + \frac{1}{(10^{s-1} + 1)(s-1)} \\ &= O(1) + \frac{1}{2(s-1)} \end{aligned}$$

for  $s \rightarrow 1+$ . Since  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} n^{-s} = \frac{1}{s-1} + O(1)$ , we find that the Dirichlet density exists and has the value

$$\mu(A) = \lim_{s \rightarrow 1+} \frac{\frac{1}{2(s-1)} + O(1)}{\frac{1}{s-1} + O(1)} = \frac{1}{2}.$$