

## Solutions 8

### UNITS, DECOMPOSITION OF PRIME IDEALS

- \*1. (a) Let  $M$  be a bounded subset of a finite dimensional real vector space  $V$ . Construct another bounded subset  $N \subset V$  such that for any complete lattice  $\Gamma \subset V$  with  $V = \Gamma + M$ , the subset  $\Gamma \cap N$  generates  $\Gamma$ .
- (b) Deduce that, in principle, for every number field  $K$  one can effectively find generators of  $\mathcal{O}_K^\times$ .

**Solution:** See for example [Borewicz-Shafarevic: Zahlentheorie (1966) Kapitel II §5.3]. Alternatively, here is an ad hoc solution for (a):

After replacing  $M$  by the convex closure of  $M + (-M)$  we may assume that  $M$  is convex and centrally symmetric. Let  $n := \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(V)$ . We claim that then  $N := \max\{n, 2\}M$  has the desired property.

First let  $\Gamma'$  be the subgroup generated by  $\Gamma \cap 2M$ . By the assumption  $V = \Gamma + M$ , for any  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  there exist  $\delta \in \Gamma$  and  $m \in M$  such that  $\frac{\gamma}{2} = \delta + m$ . Then  $2m = \gamma - 2\delta \in \Gamma \cap 2M \subset \Gamma'$ ; hence  $\gamma \in 2\Gamma + \Gamma'$ . Since  $\gamma$  was arbitrary, it follows that the composite homomorphism  $\Gamma' \hookrightarrow \Gamma \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma/2\Gamma$  is surjective. But  $\Gamma$  is a lattice of rank  $n$ , and so  $\Gamma'$  is a sublattice of some rank  $n' \leq n$ . We thus have a surjective homomorphism  $\mathbb{Z}^{n'} \cong \Gamma' \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma/2\Gamma \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n$ , which implies that  $n' = n$ .

We can therefore choose  $\mathbb{R}$ -linearly independent elements  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n \in \Gamma \cap 2M$ . With  $\Gamma'' := \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z}\gamma_i$  we then have  $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{R}\gamma_i = \Gamma'' + \Phi$  for the subset  $\Phi := \sum_{i=1}^n [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]\gamma_i$ . Here the fact that  $\gamma_i \in 2M$  and the assumption that  $M$  is convex and centrally symmetric implies that  $[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]\gamma_i \subset M$ . Again by the convexity of  $M$  we therefore have  $\Phi \subset nM \subset N$ , and so  $V = \Gamma'' + N$ . Finally this implies that  $\Gamma = \Gamma'' + (\Gamma \cap N)$ . Since  $\Gamma''$  is already generated by a subset of  $\Gamma \cap 2M \subset \Gamma \cap N$ , it follows that  $\Gamma$  is generated by  $\Gamma \cap N$ , as desired.

2. Prove that for any odd prime number  $p$  the following are equivalent:
- (a)  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ .
  - (b)  $p$  splits in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ .
  - (c)  $p = a^2 + b^2$  for some  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Solution:** With  $K := \mathbb{Q}(i)$  we already know that  $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[i]$ . For any odd prime  $p$ , by the first supplement to Gauss's quadratic reciprocity law we also know that  $\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) = (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}$ . By Example 6.2.5 of the lecture  $p$  is therefore split if  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , and inert if  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ . In particular this proves (a)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (b).

Next suppose that  $p$  splits in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ , that is, that  $p\mathcal{O}_K = \mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{p}'$  for distinct prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}' \subset \mathcal{O}_K$ . As  $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[i]$  is a principal ideal domain, we then have  $\mathfrak{p} = (a + bi)$  for some  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Also, since  $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$  acts transitively on the primes above  $p$ , it follows that  $\mathfrak{p}' = (a - bi)$ . Together this implies that  $(p) = (a + bi)(a - bi) = (a^2 + b^2)$ . Therefore  $p$  and  $a^2 + b^2$  differ by a factor on  $\mathcal{O}_K^\times = \{\pm 1, \pm i\}$ . But as both numbers are positive rational, this factor must be 1; hence  $p = a^2 + b^2$ . This shows (b) $\Rightarrow$ (c).

Now suppose that  $p = a^2 + b^2$  for some  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then we have  $p = (a + bi)(a - bi)$ . Here  $a, b \neq 0$ , because  $p$  is not a square in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . In particular neither of  $a \pm bi$  is a unit; thus  $p$  is not prime in  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . Being odd, it is also not ramified in  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . It only remains that  $p$  is split in  $\mathcal{O}_K$ , and then  $p = (a + bi)(a - bi)$  is actually its prime factorization in  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . In particular this proves (c) $\Rightarrow$ (b).

\*3. Show that the ring of integers of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})$  is  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt[3]{2}]$  and compute its discriminant.

**Solution:** This solution is based partly on <https://math.stackexchange.com/a/183093>. Abbreviate  $\omega := \sqrt[3]{2}$  and set  $K := \mathbb{Q}(\omega)$ . Then  $\omega$  is integral over  $\mathbb{Z}$  and therefore  $\mathbb{Z}[\omega] \subset \mathcal{O}_K$ . Conversely we can write any element  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K$  uniquely in the form  $\alpha = a_1 + a_2\omega + a_3\omega^2$  with all  $a_i \in \mathbb{Q}$  and must prove that all  $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

For this observe that  $\alpha$  is a zero of the polynomial  $f(X) := \prod_{i=1}^3 (X - \sigma_i(\alpha))$  for the three embeddings  $\sigma_i: K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . Using the fact that these map  $\omega$  to  $\omega$  and  $\zeta\omega$  and  $\zeta^2\omega$  for  $\zeta := e^{2\pi i/3}$ , an explicit computation shows that

$$f(X) = X^3 - 3a_1X^2 + (3a_1^2 - 6a_2a_3)X + (6a_1a_2a_3 - a_1^3 - 2a_2^3 - 4a_3^3).$$

Here  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K$  implies that all coefficients lie in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . In particular we have  $\text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) = 3a_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Similarly we obtain  $\text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\omega\alpha) = 6a_3 \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\omega^2\alpha) = 6a_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Next we have

$$\begin{aligned} -27 \cdot 4 \cdot \text{Nm}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) &= 27 \cdot 4 \cdot (6a_1a_2a_3 - a_1^3 - 2a_2^3 - 4a_3^3) \\ &= 6 \cdot 3a_1 \cdot 6a_2 \cdot 6a_3 - 4 \cdot (3a_1)^3 - (6a_2)^3 - 2 \cdot (6a_3)^3. \end{aligned}$$

Here the left hand side is an even integer, and by what we have already seen the right hand side is an integer congruent to  $(6a_2)^3$  modulo (2). Thus  $6a_2$  is even and therefore  $3a_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ . This in turn implies that the right hand side is an integer congruent to  $2 \cdot (6a_3)^3$  modulo (4). As the left hand side is divisible by 4, it follows that  $6a_3$  is even and therefore  $3a_3 \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Together we thus have  $3a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  for all  $i$ .

After adding to  $\alpha$  an element of  $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$  we can now assume without loss of generality that  $3a_i \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$  for all  $i$ . In other words we have  $|a_i| \leq \frac{1}{3}$ , which implies that

$$|\text{Nm}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha)| = |6a_1a_2a_3 - a_1^3 - 2a_2^3 - 4a_3^3| \leq \frac{6 + 1 + 2 + 4}{27} < 1.$$

As the left hand side is an integer, it follows that  $\text{Nm}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) = 0$ . But this holds only for  $\alpha = 0$ . We have therefore shown that  $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ .

Finally the discriminant of  $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$  is the discriminant of the minimal polynomial  $X^3 - 2$  of  $\omega$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . It is therefore equal to

$$\begin{aligned} (\omega - \zeta\omega)^2(\omega - \zeta^2\omega)^2(\zeta\omega - \zeta^2\omega)^2 &= \omega^6(1 - \zeta)^2(1 - \zeta^2)^2(\zeta - \zeta^2)^2 \\ &= -\omega^6[(1 - \zeta)(1 - \zeta^2)]^3\zeta^3 \\ &= -4 \cdot 3^3 = -108. \end{aligned}$$

*Remark:* In fact 108 is the smallest possible absolute value of the discriminant of a cubic number field.

4. In the number field  $K := \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})$ , what are the possible decompositions of  $p\mathcal{O}_K$  for rational primes  $p$ ?

**Solution:** Let  $p$  be a rational prime and  $p\mathcal{O}_K = \prod_{i=1}^r \mathfrak{p}_i^{e_i}$  its prime factorization in  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . Then  $\sum_{i=1}^r e_i f_i = [K/\mathbb{Q}] = 3$ . Hence  $1 \leq r \leq 3$  and the possibilities for  $(r; e_1, f_1; e_2, f_2; \dots)$  are, up to permutation of the  $\mathfrak{p}_i$ :

$$\begin{aligned} r = 1 : & \quad (1; 3, 1) \\ & \quad (1; 1, 3) \\ r = 2 : & \quad (2; 1, 1; 2, 1) \\ & \quad (2; 1, 1; 1, 2) \\ r = 3 : & \quad (3; 1, 1; 1, 1; 1, 1) \end{aligned}$$

To compute the decomposition recall from exercise 3 above that  $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt[3]{2}] \cong \mathbb{Z}[X]/(X^3 - 2)$ . For any prime  $p$  we therefore have  $\mathcal{O}_K/p\mathcal{O}_K \cong \mathbb{F}_p[X]/(X^3 - 2)$ , and the prime factorization of  $p\mathcal{O}_K$  corresponds to the prime factorization of  $X^3 - 2$  in  $\mathbb{F}_p[X]$ . For instance

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_K/2\mathcal{O}_K &\cong \mathbb{F}_2[X]/(X^3) && \rightsquigarrow (1; 3, 1) \\ \mathcal{O}_K/3\mathcal{O}_K &\cong \mathbb{F}_3[X]/(X - 2)^3 && \rightsquigarrow (1; 3, 1) \\ \mathcal{O}_K/5\mathcal{O}_K &\cong \mathbb{F}_5[X]/((X - 3)(X^2 + 3X + 4)) && \rightsquigarrow (2; 1, 1; 1, 2) \\ \mathcal{O}_K/7\mathcal{O}_K &\cong \mathbb{F}_7[X]/(X^3 - 2) && \rightsquigarrow (1; 1, 3) \\ \mathcal{O}_K/31\mathcal{O}_K &\cong \mathbb{F}_{31}[X]/((X - 4)(X - 7)(X - 20)) && \rightsquigarrow (3; 1, 1; 1, 1; 1, 1) \end{aligned}$$

Hence we found all theoretically possible decompositions except  $(2; 1, 1; 2, 1)$ . We claim that this type does not occur:

If the decomposition  $(2; 1, 1; 2, 1)$  occurs for some prime  $p$ , we must have  $X^3 - 2 \equiv (X - a)^2(X - b) \pmod{p}$  for some distinct  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Hence the image of  $X^3 - 2$  in  $\mathbb{F}_p[X]$  is not separable. In this case, we have for the discriminant  $\Delta$  of  $X^3 - 2$ :

$$0 \equiv \Delta = -\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -2 \\ 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = -108 = -2^2 3^3 \pmod{p},$$

where the matrix is the Sylvester matrix of  $X^3 - 2$  and  $\frac{d}{dX}(X^3 - 2) = 3X^2$ . Hence  $p \in \{2, 3\}$ . But in these cases the decomposition type is  $(1; 3, 1)$ , as shown above. In conclusion, the decomposition cannot be of the form  $(2; 1, 1; 2, 1)$ .

5. Consider a Dedekind ring  $A$  with quotient field  $K$ , a finite separable extension  $L/K$  of degree  $n$ , and let  $B$  be the integral closure of  $A$  in  $L$ . Assume that  $L = K(\alpha)$ , where the minimal polynomial  $f(X) = X^n + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i X^i$  of  $\alpha$  over  $K$  lies in  $A[X]$  and is *Eisenstein* at a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $A$ , that is, all  $a_i \in \mathfrak{p}$  and  $a_0 \notin \mathfrak{p}^2$ . Show that  $\mathfrak{p}B = \mathfrak{q}^n$  with  $\mathfrak{q} := \mathfrak{p}B + \alpha B$  prime, so that  $\mathfrak{p}$  is totally ramified in  $B$ .

(*Hint*: Prove that  $\mathfrak{p}B \subset \mathfrak{q}^j$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq n$  by induction on  $j$ .)

**Solution:** Since  $f(\alpha) = 0$ , the element  $\alpha$  is integral over  $A$  and hence lies in  $B$ . Next consider any prime ideal  $\mathfrak{q}' \subset B$  over  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Then the equation  $f(\alpha) = 0$  shows that  $\alpha^n \in \mathfrak{p}B \subset \mathfrak{q}'$ . Thus the residue class of  $\alpha$  is a nilpotent element of  $B/\mathfrak{q}'$  and therefore zero. It follows that  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{q}'$  and hence  $\mathfrak{q} := \mathfrak{p}B + \alpha B \subset \mathfrak{q}'$ .

Next we claim that  $\mathfrak{p}B \subset \mathfrak{q}^j$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . Since  $\mathfrak{p}B \subset \mathfrak{q}$  this is clear for  $j = 1$ . So assume that it holds for some  $1 \leq j < n$ . Then we have  $\alpha^n \in \mathfrak{q}^n \subset \mathfrak{q}^{j+1}$ , and for all  $0 < i < n$  we have  $a_i \alpha^i \in \mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{q}^i \subset \mathfrak{q}^{j+1}$ . The equation  $f(\alpha) = 0$  thus implies that  $a_0 \in \mathfrak{q}^{j+1}$ . But since  $a_0 \in \mathfrak{p} \setminus \mathfrak{p}^2$ , we have  $\mathfrak{p} = a_0A + \mathfrak{p}^2$  and hence

$$\mathfrak{p}B = a_0B + \mathfrak{p}^2B \subset \mathfrak{q}^{j+1} + (\mathfrak{q}^j)^2 = \mathfrak{q}^{j+1}.$$

The claim thus follows by induction on  $j$ .

In particular we have  $\mathfrak{p}B \subset \mathfrak{q}^n \subset \mathfrak{q}'^n$  and hence  $\mathfrak{p}B = \mathfrak{q}'^n \mathfrak{b}$  for some other non-zero ideal  $\mathfrak{b} \subset B$ . Now write  $\mathfrak{p}B = \mathfrak{q}_1^{e_1} \cdots \mathfrak{q}_r^{e_r}$  with distinct prime ideals  $\mathfrak{q}_i$ , exponents  $e_i \geq 1$ , and residue degrees  $f_i \geq 1$ . From the lecture we know that  $\sum_{i=1}^r e_i f_i = n$ . Looking at the number of prime factors in the factorization  $\mathfrak{q}_1^{e_1} \cdots \mathfrak{q}_r^{e_r} = \mathfrak{p}B = \mathfrak{q}'^n \mathfrak{b}$  thus shows that  $\sum_i e_i = n$  and that  $\mathfrak{b} = (1)$ . The factorization therefore reduces to  $\mathfrak{p}B = \mathfrak{q}'^n$ . The inclusions  $\mathfrak{p}B \subset \mathfrak{q}^n \subset \mathfrak{q}'^n = \mathfrak{p}B$  then also imply that  $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{q}'$ . Thus  $\mathfrak{q}$  is the unique prime of  $B$  over  $\mathfrak{p}$  and  $\mathfrak{p}B = \mathfrak{q}^n$ .

6. Consider the polynomial ring  $A := k[x]$  over a field  $k$  of characteristic  $p > 0$ . Take an element  $t \in k^\times$  and let  $y$  be a zero of the polynomial

$$f(Y) := Y^p - x^{p-1}Y - t \in A[Y]$$

in an algebraic closure of  $K := \text{Quot}(A)$ .

- (a) Show that  $f$  is invariant under the substitutions  $Y \mapsto Y + \alpha x$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_p$ .
- (b) Show that  $f$  is separable and irreducible over  $K$ .
- (c) Show that  $L := K(y)/K$  is galois with Galois group isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{F}_p, +)$ .
- \* (d) Show that the integral closure  $B$  of  $A$  in  $L$  is equal to

$$\begin{cases} A[z] & \text{for } z := \frac{x}{y-s} \text{ if } t = s^p \text{ for some } s \in k, \\ A[y] & \text{if } t \text{ does not lie in the subfield } k' := \{a^p \mid a \in k\}. \end{cases}$$

- (e) Determine the behavior of the prime  $\mathfrak{p} := Ax \subset A$  in  $B$ .
- (f) Discuss the action of  $\text{Gal}(L/K)$  on the residue field extension at  $\mathfrak{p}$ .

**Solution:**

- (a) For any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_p$  we have  $\alpha^p = \alpha$  and hence

$$f(Y + \alpha x) = (Y + \alpha x)^p - x^{p-1}(Y + \alpha x) - t = Y^p + \alpha x^p - x^{p-1}Y - \alpha x^p - t = f(Y).$$

- (b) By (a) the polynomial  $f$  has the  $p$  distinct roots  $y + \alpha x$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_p$ . Being a polynomial of degree  $p$ , it is therefore separable.

Also, the substitutions  $Y \mapsto Y + \alpha x$  induce an action of the group  $(\mathbb{F}_p, +)$  on the ring  $A[Y]$ . By (a) this action fixes  $f$ , so it permutes the different monic irreducible factors of  $f$ . As the action on the roots is already transitive, it is also transitive on the irreducible factors. Since  $(\mathbb{F}_p, +)$  is cyclic of prime order, the number of irreducible factors is therefore either 1 or  $p$ . In the first case  $f$  is irreducible, as desired.

In the second case we must have  $y \in K$ . Since  $y^p - x^{p-1}y - t = 0$ , the element  $y$  is also integral over  $A = k[x]$ , and since  $k[x]$  is a normal integral domain, we then have  $y \in k[x]$ . Now the equation  $y^p = x^{p-1}y + t$  implies that  $p \cdot \deg_x(y) = p - 1 + \deg_x(y)$  and therefore  $\deg_x(y) = 1$ . Thus we must have  $y = ax + b$  for some  $a, b \in k$ . But

$$f(ax + b) = (ax + b)^p - x^{p-1}(ax + b) - t = (a^p - a)x^p - bx^{p-1} + (b^p - t)$$

can only vanish if  $b$  and  $b^p - t$  vanish, which is impossible because  $t \neq 0$ . Thus the second case does not occur.

- (c) We have already seen that all roots of  $f$  lie in  $L := K(y)$  and are transitively permuted by  $(\mathbb{F}_p, +)$ . Thus  $L/K$  is a splitting field of  $f$  and hence Galois with Galois group  $(\mathbb{F}_p, +)$ .
- \* (d) Suppose first that  $t = s^p$  for some  $s \in k$ . Then  $z := \frac{x}{y-s}$  satisfies  $y = \frac{x}{z} + s$  and hence

$$0 = f\left(\frac{x}{z} + s\right) = \left(\frac{x}{z} + s\right)^p - x^{p-1}\left(\frac{x}{z} + s\right) - s^p = \frac{x^p}{z^p} - \frac{x^p}{z} - x^{p-1}s$$

and therefore

$$x - xz^{p-1} - sz^p = 0. \quad (*)$$

As  $s \in k^\times$  this shows that  $z$  is integral over  $A$  and therefore lies in  $B$ . Also  $s \neq 0$  implies that  $1 - z^{p-1} \neq 0$  and hence  $x = sz^p/(1 - z^{p-1})$ . Since  $x$  is transcendental over  $k$ , this shows that  $z$  is also transcendental over  $k$ . We can therefore treat it like a variable over  $k$ , so that the subring  $A[z] = k[x, z] \subset B$  becomes the subring

$$k\left[z, \frac{sz^p}{1 - z^{p-1}}\right] \subset k(z).$$

This subring contains the element

$$s^{-1} \cdot \frac{sz^p}{1 - z^{p-1}} + z = \frac{z^p}{1 - z^{p-1}} + z = \frac{z}{1 - z^{p-1}}$$

and thus also the element

$$z^{p-2} \cdot \frac{z}{1 - z^{p-1}} + 1 = \frac{z^{p-1}}{1 - z^{p-1}} + 1 = \frac{1}{1 - z^{p-1}}$$

and is therefore equal to

$$k\left[z, \frac{1}{1 - z^{p-1}}\right] \subset k(z).$$

But this is the localization of the principal ideal domain  $k[z]$  obtained by inverting  $1 - z^{p-1}$ , which is again normal by Proposition 1.4.4. Thus  $A[z] = B$ , as desired.

Now suppose that  $t$  does not lie in the subfield  $k' := \{a^p \mid a \in k\}$ . Computing the formal derivative  $\frac{df}{dY} = -x^{p-1}$  we find that the discriminant of  $f$  is

$$\pm \prod_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_p} \frac{df}{dY}(y + \alpha x) = \pm \prod_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_p} x^{p-1} = \pm x^{p(p-1)}.$$

By Propositions 1.7.4–5 we therefore have  $B \subset x^{-p(p-1)}A[y]$ . If  $B \neq A[y]$ , there is therefore an element in  $B \cap x^{-1}A[y] \setminus A[y]$ . After subtracting an element of  $A[y]$  we can write this in the form  $x^{-1}g(y)$  for some non-zero

polynomial  $g(Y) \in k[Y]$  of degree  $< p$ . As this element is integral over  $A$ , its norm must satisfy

$$\mathrm{Nm}_{L/K}(x^{-1}g(y)) = \prod_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_p} x^{-1}g(y + \alpha x) \in A.$$

Multiplying by  $x^p$  then implies that

$$\prod_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_p} g(y + \alpha x) \in x^p A.$$

Since  $g(y + \alpha x) \equiv g(y)$  modulo  $xB$ , this in turn implies that  $g(y)^p \in xB$ . Writing out  $g(y) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} a_i y^i$  with  $a_i \in k$  we can now deduce that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} a_i^p y^{pi} = \left( \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} a_i y^i \right)^p \in xB.$$

But  $f(y) = 0$  implies that  $y^p \equiv t \pmod{xB}$ ; so we obtain that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} a_i^p t^i \in xB.$$

Here the left hand side is contained in  $k$ , and the right hand side is a proper ideal of  $B$ ; so we must have  $\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} a_i^p t^i = 0$ . This means that  $t$  is a root of the non-zero polynomial  $g'(Y) := \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} a_i^p Y^i \in k'[Y]$ . As this polynomial has degree  $< p$ , it follows that  $t$  is separable over  $k'$ . But  $t^p \in k'$  already implies that the minimal polynomial of  $t$  over  $k'$  is a divisor of  $Y^p - t^p \in k'[Y]$  and therefore has only the single root  $t$ . Together this shows that the minimal polynomial must be equal to  $Y - t$  and therefore  $t \in k'$ . As this contradicts our assumption, we conclude that  $B = A[y]$  in this case.

(e) In the case  $t = s^p$  for some  $s \in k$  we have

$$B = A[z] \cong k[x, Z]/(x - xZ^{p-1} - sZ^p)$$

by (d) and (\*). Modulo  $\mathfrak{p} = (x)$  we therefore have

$$B/\mathfrak{p}B \cong k[x, Z]/(x - xZ^{p-1} - sZ^p, x) \cong k[Z]/(sZ^p).$$

By Proposition 6.2.5 of the lecture it follows that  $\mathfrak{p}B = \mathfrak{q}^p$  with the maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{q} := (x, z) \subset B$ . Thus  $\mathfrak{p}$  is totally ramified in  $B$ .

*Aliter:* The polynomial  $x - xZ^{p-1} - sZ^p \in A[Z]$  satisfies the Eisenstein criterion for the prime  $\mathfrak{p} = (x) \subset A$ . Thus  $\mathfrak{p}B = \mathfrak{q}^p$  follows from the above exercise 5, without having to determine the precise form of  $B$  in (d).

In the case  $t \notin k'$  we have  $B = A[y] \cong k[x, Y]/(f)$  by (d). Modulo  $\mathfrak{p} = xA$  we therefore have

$$B/\mathfrak{p}B \cong k[x, Y]/(f, x) \cong k[Y]/(Y^p - t).$$

Here by assumption  $Y^p - t$  has no zero in  $k$ . Any irreducible factor in  $k[Y]$  therefore has degree  $> 1$ . As any irreducible polynomial of degree  $< p$  over  $k$  is separable, it then follows that  $Y^p - t$  is already irreducible over  $k$ . Thus the factor ring  $k[Y]/(Y^p - t)$  is already a field, and so  $\mathfrak{q} := B\mathfrak{p}$  is the unique prime ideal of  $B$  above  $\mathfrak{p}$ .

- (f) In the first case of (e) the residue field extension is trivial, and in the second case it is purely inseparable of degree  $p$ , because the polynomial  $Y^p - t$  is inseparable. In both cases we have  $\text{Aut}(k(\mathfrak{q})/k(\mathfrak{p})) = 1$ , and  $\text{Gal}(L/K)$  acts trivially on  $k(\mathfrak{q})$ .

*Remark:* In the second case it is still best to define the inertia group  $I_{\mathfrak{q}}$  as the kernel of the homomorphism  $\text{Gal}(L/K) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(k(\mathfrak{q})/k(\mathfrak{p}))$ , although we then have  $|I_{\mathfrak{q}}| = p \neq 1 = e$ . In the case of imperfect residue fields the correct definition of an unramified prime  $\mathfrak{q}|\mathfrak{p}$  requires that  $e_{\mathfrak{q}/\mathfrak{p}} = 1$  and that the residue field extension is separable. In that way an unramified extension always remains unramified when one enlarges the base field  $k$  to an inseparable extension  $k(s)$ .