

**Problem 1.**

Denote the morphism by  $\phi$ . It follows from the assumptions that the module  $P := N/\text{Im}(\phi)$  is finitely generated and satisfies  $P = \mathfrak{m}P$ . It follows from Nakayama's lemma (Corollary 3.27 in the Gathmann's textbook) that there is  $a \in \mathfrak{m}$ , such that  $(1 - a)|_P = 0$ . But  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  is local, hence  $1 - a$  is invertible, therefore  $P = 0$ , i.e.  $\text{Im}(\phi) = N$ , which is equivalent to  $\phi$  being surjective.

**Problem 2.**

See the proof of Lemma 4.7 in the Gathmann's textbook.

**Problem 3.1.**

Denote the morphisms in the exact sequence by  $\phi: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$  and  $\psi: M_2 \rightarrow M_3$ . Note that exactness is equivalent to saying that  $\phi$  is the kernel of  $\psi$ . The arrows in the Hom-sequence correspond to composition with  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  respectively.

Let us show exactness of the Hom-sequence in the middle term: suppose  $\theta: N \rightarrow M_2$  satisfies  $\psi \circ \theta = 0$ . Then  $\theta$  should factor through the kernel of  $\psi$  which is  $\phi$ , i.e. there is a morphism  $\eta: N \rightarrow M_1$ , s.t.  $\theta = \phi \circ \eta$ .

Now let us show exactness of the Hom-sequence in the first term: suppose  $\eta: N \rightarrow M_1$  satisfies  $\phi \circ \eta = 0$ . Then by injectivity of  $\phi$  we have  $\eta = 0$ .

**Problem 3.2.** Take  $R = \mathbb{Z}$  and the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0.$$

Applying  $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$  to the sequence we obtain the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{0} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0,$$

which is not exact in the right  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  term.

**Problem 3.3.**

1  $\implies$  3: Suppose  $P$  is projective. Given a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{\phi} P \rightarrow 0$$

applying  $\text{Hom}(P, -)$  we get a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(P, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(P, N) \xrightarrow{\phi_*} \text{Hom}(P, P) \rightarrow 0.$$

In particular the element  $\text{id}_P \in \text{Hom}(P, P)$  can be lifted to  $\text{Hom}(P, N)$ , i.e. there is a morphism  $\psi: P \rightarrow N$ , such that  $\phi \circ \psi = \text{id}_P$  giving a splitting of the initial short exact sequence.

3  $\implies$  2: Suppose any short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{\phi} P \rightarrow 0$$

2

splits. Any module has a surjection from a free one so take any such surjection  $R^{\oplus I} \xrightarrow{\psi} P$  and denote by  $K \hookrightarrow R^{\oplus I}$  its kernel. Then we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow R^{\oplus I} \xrightarrow{\psi} P \rightarrow 0$$

which splits by assumption providing an isomorphism  $K \oplus P \simeq R^{\oplus I}$ .

2  $\implies$  1: Note that  $\text{Hom}(R^{\oplus I}, M) = M^{\oplus I}$  and

$$\text{Hom}(R^{\oplus I}, (M \xrightarrow{\phi} N)) = M^{\oplus I} \xrightarrow{\phi^{\oplus I}} N^{\oplus I},$$

so any free module is projective. Also we have

$$\text{Hom}(N \oplus P, -) = \text{Hom}(N, -) \oplus \text{Hom}(P, -),$$

thus a direct summand of a projective module is again projective (as a direct sum of two sequences is exact iff they are both exact).