

V. Topological vector spaces, weak topologies, and the Banach-Alaoglu theorem.

In Analysis one encounters function spaces with a natural topology that however cannot be described by a single norm: for example the space of continuous functions on \mathbb{R} with the topology of uniform convergence on compact sets. Another problem one encounters is the fact that the unit ball in an infinite dimensional Banach space is never compact.

- V-2-

To remediate these problems we are going to study topological vector spaces whose topology is given by a family of seminorms. On one hand this allows us to study natural function spaces with the tools of functional analysis and on the other hand will lead to weaker topologies on Banach spaces thereby restoring compactness in certain situations.

V. 1. Basic definitions and Examples.

We begin by recalling Def. I.4 :

Def. V.1 A topological vector space is a \mathbb{K} -vector space V endowed with a topology such that the maps :

$$(1) \quad \mathbb{K} \times V \longrightarrow V \\ (\lambda, v) \longmapsto \lambda \cdot v$$

and

$$(2) \quad V * V \longrightarrow V \\ (v, w) \longmapsto v + w$$

are continuous.

Let's draw the following very useful

conclusions : let $M_\lambda : V \longrightarrow V$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$
 $v \longmapsto \lambda \cdot v$

and $L_v : V \longrightarrow V$
 $w \longmapsto v + w$.

then

Lemma I. 2. $\forall \lambda \neq 0$, $M_\lambda : V \rightarrow V$ and
 $\forall u \in V$, $L_u : V \rightarrow V$ are homeomorphisms.

Proof: M_λ is continuous with continuous
left and right inverse $M_{\lambda^{-1}}$; L_u is
continuous with continuous left and right
inverse L_{-u} . \square

We now turn to describe the topology on
a \mathbb{K} -vector space V generated by a family
of seminorms (see Def. I. 6).

Let V be a \mathbb{K} -vector space and $\{ \| \cdot \|_\alpha : \alpha \in A \}$
a family of seminorms

$$\| \cdot \|_\alpha : V \rightarrow [0, +\infty[$$

on V . There is a priori no restriction on

the cardinality of A .

$\forall v \in V$, $F \subset A$ finite, and $r > 0$

let

$$N(v; F; r) = \left\{ w \in V : \|w - \alpha\|_X < r \quad \forall \alpha \in F \right\}$$

Def. II.3:

Define $\Omega \subset V$ to be open if $\forall v \in \Omega$
 $\exists F \subset A$ finite and $r > 0$ such that
 $N(v; F; r) \subset \Omega$.

Then \emptyset and V are open and it is also clear that an arbitrary union of open sets is open. As for ~~the~~ finite intersections observe first that

$$N(v; F; r) = \bigcap_{\alpha \in F} N(v; \alpha; r).$$

Next let $v_1, v_2 \in V$ and

$$v_3 \in N(v_1; \alpha_1; r_1) \cap N(v_2; \alpha_2; r_2).$$

Then ~~with~~ we have: $\|v_3 - v_1\|_{\alpha_1} < r_1$

and $\|v_3 - v_2\|_{\alpha_2} < r_2$. Let

$$\varepsilon = \min(r_1 - \|v_3 - v_1\|_{\alpha_1}, r_2 - \|v_3 - v_2\|_{\alpha_2})$$

Then it follows from the triangle inequality:

$$N(v_3; \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}; \varepsilon) \subset N(v_1; \alpha_1; r_1) \cap N(v_2; \alpha_2; r_2).$$

This implies that a finite intersection of open subsets is open.

Def. IV. 4 The topology on V generated by

the family of semi-norms $\{\|\cdot\|_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in A\}$

is the topology whose open subsets are

given by Def. V. 3.

Lemma II.5 The topology on V generated by the family of seminorms $\{\|\cdot\|_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$ endows V with the structure of a topological vector space.

Proof: This follows from

$$(1) N(\lambda \cdot v; F; |\lambda| \cdot r) = \lambda \cdot N(v; F; r)$$

and

$$(2) N(v_1; F_1; r_1) + N(v_2; F_2; r_2)$$

$$\subset N(v_1 + v_2; F_1 \cap F_2; r_1 + r_2). \quad \square$$

Of course, if $A = \emptyset$ then the topology on V has two open sets, namely \emptyset and V . The following property keeps degenerate cases away:

Def. V.6. A family A of seminorms on V is sufficient if $\forall v \in V, v \neq 0$ there is $\alpha \in A$ with $\|v\|_\alpha \neq 0$.

Lemma V.7 If A is sufficient then the topology generated by A is Hausdorff.

Proof: By using translations we are reduced to the case where we need to separate 0 from $v \neq 0$. Pick $\alpha \in A$ with $\|v\|_\alpha \neq 0$; let $\varepsilon = \|v\|_\alpha > 0$.

Then $N(0; \alpha; \frac{\varepsilon}{3}) \cap N(v; \alpha; \frac{\varepsilon}{3}) = \emptyset$.

□

A particularly important case is when we have a countable family of seminorms.
sufficient

Prop. V.8 If $\{ \| \cdot \|_n : n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1} \}$ is a sufficient countable family of seminorms on V , the generated topology is metrizable.

Proof: Since the family is sufficient one verifies easily that

$$d(v, w) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\|v-w\|_n}{1+\|v-w\|_n} \right) \frac{1}{2^n}$$

defines a distance on V . Then observe

that $\forall n \geq 1$ and $l \geq 1$:

$$\frac{1}{2^n} \left(\frac{\|v-w\|_n}{1+\|v-w\|_n} \right) \leq d(v, w) \leq \sum_{k=1}^l \left(\frac{\|v-w\|_k}{1+\|v-w\|_k} \right) \frac{1}{2^k} + \frac{1}{2^l}.$$

From the second inequality we deduce

that if $\frac{1}{2^l} \leq \varepsilon$ then:

- V-10-

$$N(v; \{\|u_1\|, \dots, \|u_n\|\}; \varepsilon) \subset B_{\leq 2\varepsilon}(v)$$

(where $B_{\leq r}(v)$ refers to balls for the distance d);

$$\text{Let } \varepsilon \leq 1 \text{ and } d(v, w) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{n+1}}.$$

Then the first inequality implies

$$\frac{1}{2^n} \left(\frac{\|v-w\|_n}{1+\|v-w\|_n} \right) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{n+1}}$$

and hence

$$(2-\varepsilon)\|v-w\|_n < \varepsilon$$

and hence since $\varepsilon \leq 1$:

$$\|v-w\|_n < \varepsilon.$$

Thus if $\varepsilon \leq 1$, $B_{\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{n+1}}}(v) \subset N(v; \{\|u_1\|, \dots, \|u_n\|\}; \varepsilon)$.

□

- V - u -

Now it is time to move to examples:

Example V.9: Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff space. For every compact subset

$K \subset X$ define

$$\|f\|_K := \sup_{x \in K} |f(x)|, \quad f \in C(X).$$

Then $\{\|\cdot\|_K : K \subset X, \text{ compact}\}$ is a

sufficient family of seminorms. We

have that $f_n \rightarrow f$ in this topology \iff

f_n converges to f uniformly on every compact

subset. Observe that if $X = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} K_n$

is a countable union of compact subsets

then $\{\|\cdot\|_{K_n} : n \geq 1\}$ is a countable

sufficient family of seminorms inducing

the same topology and hence $C(X)$ is

metrizable in this case.

It is left as an exercise to show that if X is not compact, there is no norm on $C(X)$ inducing the same topology.

Example V.10. Let (X, \mathcal{F}, μ) be a triple consisting of a locally compact Hausdorff space X , μ a positive regular Borel measure on X and \mathcal{F} the σ -algebra of μ -measurable sets. Define

$$L^p_{loc}(X) := \left\{ f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : \text{measurable} \right. \\ \left. \text{such that } \forall K \subset X \text{ compact, } \right. \\ \left. f \cdot \chi_K \in L^p(X) \right\}.$$

Then $\|f\|_{p,K} := \|f \cdot \chi_K\|_p$, $K \subset X$

compact defines on $L^p_{loc}(X)$ a sufficient

family of semi-norms. Observe that if X is a countable union of compact sets, the topology on $L_{loc}^p(X)$ is metrizable.